MPP Project Review 2015

Run: 266919 Event: 19982211 2015-06-04 00:21

MPP ATLAS group

Munich, December 14th, 2015

Standard Model Physics at

- Top quark mass measurements
- WW cross section analysis strategy
- Topological calorimeter clusters and their calibration
- Prospects and conclusions



ATLAS

Cortiana

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

MPP ATLAS group

Director:	S. Bethke
Senior scientists (10):	T. Barillari, A. Kiryunin, S. Kluth, O. Kortner, S. Kortner, H. Kroha, S. Menke, R. Nisius,
	D. Salihagic, S. Stonjek
Emeriti (4):	H. Oberlack, R. Richter, P. Schacht, H. von der Schmitt
Post docs (14):	G. Compostella, G. Cortiana, C. Delle Fratte, M. Flowerdew, C. Giuliani, T. Ince,
	A. La Rosa, A. Macchiolo, F. Müller, M. Nagel, P. Schwegler, , F. Sforza, E. Takasugi,
	A. Wildauer
PhD (12):	K. Ecker, M. Goblirsch-Kolb, N. Köhler, D. Krauss, A. Maier, S. Nowak, R. Röhrig,
	N. Savic, K. Schmidt-Sommerfeld,, F. Spettel, S. Terzo, G. Wichmann
Master (6):	E. Fons, P. Gadow, J. Junggeburth, S. Maschekl,, V. Walbrecht, I. Weimer
Bachelor (8):	S. Annies, J. Corella Puertas, D. Joseph, D. Kresse, D. Nebe, M. Rendel, L. Schlechter,
	C. Schmid
ATLAS wide responsib	pilities:
	C. Kluthy ATLAS coffuero quality accordinator

S. Kluth: ATLAS software quality coordinator
O. Kortner: Deputy muon project leader
A. Macchiolo: ATLAS pixel sensor upgrade co-coordinator
S. Menke: ATLAS liquid argon electronics upgrade co-coordinator

in 2015:

24 ATLAS publications (14 in peer-reviewed journals)

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



Introduction: top quark

top-quark pair production:

Top Pair Branching Fractions





dominant at the LHC √s ≥ 7 TeV τ+τ 1% τ+μ 2% τ+μ 2% τ+μ 2% τ+μ 2% τ+μ 2% τ+e 15% μ+jets 15% "lepton+jets"

top-quark decays



Experimental signatures are classified according to the W boson decay modes

MPP analysis activities cover all final states and deliver precision measurements of the top quark mass



Stringent tests of the SM and its extensions Important implication for the EW vacuum stability



"alljets" 46%

Analysis techniques: the template method



can be obtained from a kinematic best fit to the decay hypothesis, considering all jet permutations and taking into account physics object resolutions





Template method:

the data distribution of a given m_{top} estimator (i.e. m_{top}^{reco}) is fitted to the sum of signal and background PDFs (probability distribution functions)

The problem...



The uncertainties on the jet energy scale (JES) are O(few %) and vary with jet properties, flavor and event topology

The problem... and its solution





The problem... and its solution



The m_{top}^{reco}, m_W^{reco} and R_{ba}^{reco} templates are used in a 3d fit to the data to determine m_{top}, JSF and bJSF

Dilepton final states



- Excellent S/B ratio
- Under-constrained event kinematics (two escaping v)
- Use the template method with the m_{lb}^{reco} observable as an estimator for m_{top}: exploiting a partial reconstruction of the event



 $\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{top}}$

Dilepton final states



- Excellent S/B ratio
- Under-constrained event kinematics (two escaping v)
- Use the template method with the m_{lb}^{reco} observable as an estimator for m_{top}: exploiting a partial reconstruction of the event





No direct in-situ (b)JSF determination possible. No JSF/bJSF transfer from I+jets analysis, to minimize correlations and maximize gain in the combination



All-jets final states

- Poorer S/B ratio
- Particular attention required to model the background via data-driven techniques
- The R_{3/2} observable, defined as m_{jjb}/m_{jj}, is used as for the 7 TeV analysis (carried out at LMU) which yielded:

 $m_{\rm top} = 175.1 \pm 1.8 \ (1.4_{\rm stat} \oplus 1.2_{\rm syst}) \ {\rm GeV}$

 it achieves a partial cancellation of the systematic effects common to m_{top}^{reco} and m_W^{reco}



Outlook:

- MPP is leading the efforts towards m_{top} analysis updates using the 8 TeV dataset (x4 more data allows trade-offs between statistical and systematic uncertainties)
- All analyses are well advanced
 - All-jets: PhD thesis T. McCarthy (Sept. 2015, Carleton University + MPP)
 - Dilepton: PhD thesis A. Maier (end 2015)
 - Lepton+jets: phase-space optimization ongoing
- Publications planned for 2016 (including their combination)



(boosted) WW x-section measurement



- In addition to being the main background for H->WW analyses, the WW production is sensitive to anomalous triple gauge boson couplings (aTGCs), and to possible new particles decaying into vector bosons (see Claudia's Higgs+NP talk)
- Increasingly important at higher √s and in boosted topologies
 - PhD thesis: F. Spettel Analysis strategy: Master thesis: E. Fons
 - One leptonically decaying W
 - One hadronically decaying W (boosted)
- Use fat-jets techniques based on jet sub-structure identification, as well as multivariate techniques to improve signal/background ratio

Calorimeter topo-clusters and their calibration

- For all analyses described before, jet calibration (and its uncertainty) constitutes a critical input
- MPP developed and fully commissioned novel ideas for the clustering and calibration of calorimeter signals into topological clusters (topo-clusters) that are used in subsequent physics object reconstruction
 - follow electromagnetic / hadronic shower development
 - suppress noise and pile-up energy contributions (see also <u>arXiv:1510.03823</u>)
 - their features are used to classify and calibrate clusters



- Default for $\sqrt{s} \ge 8$ TeV analyses
 - particularly important for E_T^{miss} and jet (sub-structures) calibration
 - provides a reduced JES uncertainty (important for precision analyses)
 - ATLAS paper to appear soon



Conclusions

- The MPP group is very active on high impact Standard Model measurements performed using ATLAS data
 - it provides the most precise ATLAS m_{top} results and has a long history driving multiexperiment combination efforts (LHC and Tevatron+LHC). This will resume as soon as 8 TeV results are published
 - it is pursuing a WW -> lvjj production cross section measurement in the boosted regime, very sensitive to anomalous triple gauge boson coupling
 - it delivers standards for the calorimeter energy measurements and calibrations vital for physics object reconstruction and precision measurements (successful hand-shake between physics analyses and detector performance studies)
 - Papers / theses based on 8 TeV datasets are in the pipeline ... know-how and expertise ready to be applied to the 13 TeV dataset

Stay tuned! Thanks for your attention!



proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV centre-of-mass energy Run: 266919 Event: 19982211 2015-06-04 00:21

Backup



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

 $\Delta p \cdot \Delta q \ge \frac{1}{2} t$



A₄-Δ₉≥±t x-Planck-Institut für Physik



Similar performance/conditions as for 2011 data, but with almost doubled $\sqrt{s!}$

18

G. Cortiana

50

The top-quark mass ^{0.10}

Higgs, top quark, and W boson masses are related



A precise determination of m_{top} combined with EW precision measurements allows for stringent tests of the SM and its extensions





- Also interesting theoretically due to its possible cosmological implications:
 - depending on the values of m_H and m_{top}, the Higgs quartic coupling could be rather small, vanish or even turn negative at a scale smaller than the Planck scale.
 - This affects the shape of the SM Higgs potential: if the Higgs field is trapped in a local minimum during the early universe, it can cause inflation (requires a non-minimal Higgs coupling to gravity)

On the m_{top} definition

Pole mass = pole in the propagator

$$\longrightarrow \qquad i\frac{p+m}{p^2-m^2+i\epsilon}$$

but there are self-energy corrections:



and Σ' is divergent. The choice of the renormalization scheme corresponds to a particular definition of the mass parameter

$$m_{\rm top}^{\rm scheme} = m_0 + \delta m$$

 δm can be used to absorb the divergencies

$$m_{\rm top}^{\rm pole}:\delta m\equiv \Sigma'$$

- Renormalization schemes are defined by which quantum fluctuations are kept in the dynamical matrix elements and which ones are absorbed into the couplings and masses
 - Different renormalization schemes yield different mass definitions



• e.g.: $\Delta(m_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{pole}} - m_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{MC}}) \leq \mathcal{O}(1\mathrm{GeV})$

m_{top} summary, $\sqrt{s} = 1.96-8$ TeV Oct. 2015



Ref.

[53]

[55]

[57]

[58]

[59]

[60]

[61]

[57]

[59]

[64]

[65]

[59]

[67]

[68]

[69]

[73]

[76]

[62]

[42]

[82]

[57]

[59]

[83]

[89]

[84]

[86]

[71,72]

[62,66]

[41.62]



IS to O_{tot}.

* = Preliminary





Calorimeter topo-clusters and their calibration

- For all analyses described before, jet calibration (and its uncertainty) constitutes a critical input
- MPP developed and fully commissioned novel ideas for the clustering and calibration of calorimeter signals into topological clusters (topoclusters) that are used in subsequent physics object reconstruction
 - follow electromagnetic (EM) / hadronic (HAD) shower development
 - suppress noise and pile-up energy contributions (see also <u>arXiv:1510.03823</u>)







Local Hadron Calibration

Topo-custer shapes and other features are used to classify EM-like/HAD-like cluster for proper MC based local calibration



- in addition out-of-cluster and dead-material corrections are applied Fractional JES uncertainty
- Default for $\sqrt{s} \ge 8$ TeV analyses
 - particularly important for E_{τ}^{miss} and jet (sub-structures) calibration
 - provides a reduced JES uncertainty (important for precision analyses)
- ATLAS paper to appear soon

