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## Outline:

## LHC physics and achievements so far

## General intro

The challenges ahead

## How to face these challenges

The (not so small) print:
focus on the CMS experiment, for "practical" reasons....
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## Collisions at the LHC

```
Centre-of-Mass Energy = 0.9-2.36-5-7-8-13/14 TeV
Bunch separation : 50-25 ns
Beam crossings : 20-40 Million / sec
p p-Collisions :~1 Billion / sec
Events to tape : ~1000/sec
```
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## The basics...
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## Delivery of (lots of) data

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp


## Stairway to

Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements


## Where are we now?

## - The Higgs boson

- Couplings to vector bosons measured at the ~20\% level
\% Observation of coupling to tau leptons
© Observation of coupling to b- and top quarks
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- The Higgs boson
- Couplings to vector bosons measured at the ~20\% level
© Observation of coupling to tau leptons
Observation of coupling to $\mathbf{b}$ - and top quarks
- Searches for new Physics

SUSY particles probed (and not found) up to ~2 TeV
Heavy Vector Bosons excluded up to 3-4 TeV
\& and many (!) other limits....

- Closed-in on some very rare processes

$$
\text { eg. } \left.B_{s \rightarrow \mu \mu} \quad \text { (at 10-9 level }\right)
$$

- Some anomalies in the flavour sector? coupled to LFV?

- The current precision, due to low statistics or systematics (see next), is not sufficient to probe most possible (Higgs) scenarios alternative to the SM: will the SM withstand more accurate tests?
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- The current precision, due to low statistics or systematics (see next), is not sufficient to probe most possible (Higgs) scenarios alternative to the SM: will the SM withstand more accurate tests?
- The Higgs mechanism has only been tested on a fraction of the SM particles, due to low statistics or systematics: do the other particles (e.g. muon, charm, etc) interact with the Higgs as predicted by the SM?
Example: currently expected that more than $300 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ are required to establish $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ at $5 \sigma$
Q What gives mass to the Higgs?
Obvious question, with a trivial answer in the SM: the Higgs gives mass to itself! But we have to "measure it and see" !
- Are there more Higgs bosons?
\& Most theories beyond the SM have more Higgs bosons
- What protects the Higgs mass from "exploding"??

Is there new physics, and where?
Q Are the current flavour anomalies our first glimpse of such new physics?


## Already now, we are often hitting the systematics wall; some examples:

overall ATLAS-CMS Higgs combination

$$
\mu=1.09_{-0.10}^{+0.11}=1.09_{-0.07}^{+0.07}(\text { stat })_{-0.04}^{+0.04}(\text { expt })_{-0.03}^{+0.03}(\text { thbgd })_{-0.06}^{+0.07}(\text { thsig })
$$

Higgs to tau tau:
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## How to fight this wall:

- Hunt for the very rare
© the obvious, statistics limited by definition
- Attack new/difficult/extreme regions of phase space
- More statistics allows for more "calibration/tuning/ cross checks/constraints", thus reduce systematics
- Provide (even) better theoretical predictions
- What is needed is not necessarily precision in terms of small uncert., we need sensitivity
- And of course: make sure you have an excellent detector!
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## so far, recorded only $\sim 5 \%$ of total expected data set!
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Direct stau pair production:
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current exclusion limits about 110 GeV

## HL-LHC Physics Objectives in a nutshell

- Higgs boson
\& Push the couplings measurements to the few-\% level
. Study Higgs production at large transv. mom.
\& A key deliverable: Higgs self-coupling!
- Searches for New Physics
- SUSY: explore difficult parameter regions, go for "weak production" modes
Exotica: push the limits, probe small prod. rates
- Use (rare) flavour processes to look for the new
\& eg. anomalous top couplings, FCNC
\& $B_{d} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ at the $5 \sigma$ level, $\delta\left(B_{d} \mu \mu / B_{s} \mu \mu\right) \sim 20 \%$
closing-in on (excluding or confirming) the recent flavour anomalies?

Direct stau pair production:


## The power of large $\mathbf{p T}_{T}$

Higgs as a BSM probe: precision vs dynamic reach
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Ultra-precise measurements of differential cross sections (ZZ channel shown) ~ 4-9\% (stat.)

For H production off-shell or with large momentum transfer $\mathrm{Q}, \mu \sim \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\delta O \sim\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda}\right)^{2} & \Rightarrow \text { kinematic reach probes large } \Lambda \text { even if } \\
\text { precision is low }
\end{array}\right\} \text { e.g. } \delta O=15 \% \text { at } \mathrm{Q}=1 \mathrm{TeV} \Rightarrow \Lambda \sim 2.5 \mathrm{TeV} \text {. }
$$
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- Probe the Higgs potential!
- Cross section very small: ~33 fb-1 (~1000 smaller than single Higgs prod !)
\& Current projections:

- ~30\% precision on signal yield (assuming SM)
- expect to exclude zero self-coupling at 95\% C.L.



## Rare processes: examples

- Di-Higgs production: a key process
* Probe the Higgs potential!
- Cross section very small: ~33 fb-1 (~1000 smaller than single Higgs prod !)
\& Current projections:

- ~30\% precision on signal yield (assuming SM)
- expect to exclude zero self-coupling at 95\% C.L.
- Rare (or new) Higgs decays

Higgs to two muons (at $\sim 15 \%$ level)
Higgs to $\mathbf{Z Y}$ (at $\sim 10 \%$ level)
\& (VBF) Higgs to "invisible"
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## Searches: the new frontiers

Q Leave no stone unturned
important focus on electro-weak particles (eg Winos, Higgsinos, Binos)

- could be part of the Dark Matter story
- SUSY: difficult parameter regions
* example: $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_{1} \tilde{t}_{1}$ production

$$
m_{\mathrm{t}_{1}}-\mathrm{m}_{\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}}=173 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

\& 300 to $3000 \mathrm{fb}^{-1} \Rightarrow$ difference between 'a little excess' and 'discovery'

- Heavy Vector Bosons
decays to leptons: reach up to $\sim 6 \mathrm{TeV}$
decays to top quarks: up to $\sim 4 \mathrm{TeV}$
 (limits today: 2 TeV )


# The experimental challenges (and proposed solutions) 

but first a short pre-amble

## The Particle-Flow concept

Q Use a global event description ("particle flow") :
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Q Use a global event description ("particle flow") :


- In multi-jet events, only $10 \%$ of the energy goes to neutral (stable) hadrons ( $\sim 60 \%$ charged, $\sim 30 \%$ neutral electromagnetic)
- Use a global event description :
- Optimal combination of information from all subdetectors
* Returns a list of reconstructed particles (e,mu,photons,charged and neutral hadrons)
. Used as building blocks for jets, taus, missing transverse energy, isolation and PU particle ID


## Pile-up (1)
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$L x$ total cross section $x$ bunch separation time
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Each of these:
$\sim 6$ charged particles per unit rapidity, over range of +- 5 units in rapidity: O(10000) particles per collision !!
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distributed over $\sim 5 \mathrm{~cm}$, or: $\sim 150-200 \mathrm{ps}$ !


## CMS <br> Pile-up (2)
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- Low operating temperature $\simeq$ $10^{\circ}$
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## Trigger/HLT/DAQ

- Track information in hardware event selection
- 750 kHz hardware event selection
- 750 kHz hardware event s

New Tracker


- Rad. Tolerant
- 5D measurement

Upgrades of very large scope: complexity and size similar to original construction!

- Rad. Tolerant - light
- High Definition measurement
- 40 MHz selective readout for hardware trigger
- Extended Pixel coverage to $\eta \approx 3.8$

Beam radiation and luminosity
Common systems and infrastructure

# "CMS likes to do bold projects...." (J. Butler) Obviously, just the same is true for the ATLAS upgrades... 

## A new Tracker, with Trigger
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Higher granularity
(>2 billion pixels and strips!) less material, large angular coverage.
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Entirely new endcap calorimeter!
High granularity silicon detector with tungsten/brass absorber (plastic scintillator and brass absorber in back part)

## a "first" for a hadron collider exp.!
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Entirely new endcap calorimeter!
High granularity silicon detector with tungsten/brass absorber (plastic scintillator and brass absorber in back part)

## a "first" for a hadron collider exp.!



$600 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{Si}$ 6 M channels
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## Timing information






Dedicated timing detectors proposed in the barrel and the endcap.

Aim: resolution of $\sim 30$ ps

## For example: enhancement by ~20 \% (!) in signal yield of HH (bbyy)
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## And not to be forgotten:

## the computing challenge!



Intensive R\&D and follow industry developments (both hardware and software)
particularly "hot topic"
Machine learning (deep learning)
applications in all areas

## Just scaling what we have doesn't work!

Community is working on this intensively HSF Community White Paper WLCG Strategy Document

(simulation, reconstruction, monitoring, analysis...)
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## In summary, the scenarios are:

Q The "no-matter-what-the-LHC-finds" scenario:
\% push to a corner the tests of SM properties of the Higgs boson
measure rare Higgs decays (e.g. $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ and $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \gamma$ couplings)
\& measure the Higgs self-coupling
I explore up-side-down the SM dynamics at the $\mathrm{GeV} \rightarrow \mathrm{TeV}$ scale, from flavour physics in B decays, to TeV -scale scattering of W bosons

- The"LHC-makes-a-discovery" scenario:
what is it exactly that was discovered? given current LHC constraints, $300 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ won't be enough to explore new physics to be found during Run 2 or beyond ....
- If SUSY, how do we know? where are the partners of leptons, gauge bosons, quarks, Higgs, etc? what else is there?
- If mising energy: is it really the Dark Matter particle?
- If $Z$ ': what is it? How does it couple?
- The "still-don't-know-what's-next" scenario

LHC is the only guaranteed machine we have. If nothing else is approved within the next 10-15 years, we must rely on HL-LHC and possible further evolutions of the LHC complex to guarantee the future of our exploration
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## Conclusions

- Exploration of a new territory has just begun and, for the first time, without solid theoretical guidance
- Extensive physics program identified for the HL-LHC, in order
© to probe our new "gold-mine", the Higgs (aka the electroweak symmetry breaking sector)
and to explore new territories beyond the Standard Model
- New Physics might show up as a coherent set of (subtle) deviations from the SM predictions, in several places
precision physics time has come...
huge room (and need!) for
- new and clever ideas
- new methods (eg. Machine Learning tools)
- new paradigms, ....
- The detector upgrades address the challenges posed by the LHC machine conditions and the requirements from physics


## Thank you for your attention!




[^0]:    G. Dissertori

