Jli Haisch, MPI Project Review 2024, 9.12.24

POWER TO THE LHC!



Higgs precision physics @ LHC



Higgs measuremer |)[pb/GeV] 10² ⊧ ATLAS $H \rightarrow ZZ^*, H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

[ATLAS, 2304.01532]







Higgs precision physics @ LHC





[ATLAS, 2304.01532]

3 pT,h or off-shell rate?



Constraining κ_{λ} using $p_{T,h}$ distribution



[UH & Marco Niggetiedt, 2408.13186]



Non-trivial shape change of $p_{T,h}$ spectrum in ggF Higgs production due to 2-loop diagrams involving a trilinear Higgs self-coupling modifier (κ_{λ})





Constraining κ_{λ} using $p_{T,h}$ distribution



[We are trying to convince Tae Park, a post-doc in Sandra Kortner's group, to help us]



Dedicated studies planned to quantify precise impact of differential single-h measurements in global hh+h analyses to put constraints on κ_{λ}



Higgs portal interactions



|H|² provides a simple portal to dark or hidden sectors. At dimension four one has couplings of |H|² to spin-0 & spin-1 fields, while interactions with spin-1/2 fields are of dimension five. Dimension-six derivative spin-0 coupling also interesting, as dark matter (DM) direct detection (DD) cross section momentum suppressed

[for a recent review see for instance Spyros Argyropoulos, Oleg Brandt & UH, 2109.13597]







Higgs portal searches @ LHC



If DM states are kinematically accessible in Higgs decays, LHC searches for $h \rightarrow invisible$ superior to present DD limits

 $\sigma_{WIMP-nucleon} [cm^2]$



Higgs portal searches @ LHC



Can LHC say something about region where DM is inaccessible in $h \rightarrow$ invisible?



Searches for Higgsphilics in $pp \rightarrow 4l$



[UH & Gabriel Koole, 2201.09711; UH, Max Ruhdorfer, Konstantin Schmid & Andi Weiler; 2311.03995]

DM particles, top partners, ..., spectral density of Higgs ŝ h

Off-shell Higgs measurements in $pp \rightarrow 4l$ allow to scan \hat{s} -dependence of Higgs propagator, which is sensitive to virtual exchange of light Higgsphilic states



HL-LHC bounds on fermionic Higgs portal



[UH, Max Ruhdorfer, Konstantin Schmid & Andi Weiler; 2311.03995]

р р н

Z

Ζ

What about quartic Higgs self-coupling?



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing]

Direct probe of quartic Higgs self-coupling (κ_4) provided @ 1-loop by 3h production, while indirect sensitivity in hh & h production through 2-loop & 3-loop corrections



Higgs self-couplings after LHC Run 2



Bounds on Higgs self-couplings from hh & 3h production orthogonal in k₃-k₄ plane

[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing; $pp \rightarrow 3h$ bound from ATLAS, 2411.02040]





Higgs self-couplings after LHC Run 2



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing; $pp \rightarrow 3h$ bound from ATLAS, 2411.02040]

New limit from h production poor as LHC Run 2 measurements not precise enough

Vh production in SMEFT @ NNLO+PS



[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Luc Schnell, 2311.06107]

Vh MiNNLO_{PS} generator



POWHEG-BOX

Vh production in SMEFT @ NNLO+PS

If stringent constraints from M_W, $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \&$ $h \rightarrow \gamma Z$ are imposed, numerical impact of Wilson coefficients C_{HW}, C_{HB} & C_{HWB} on kinematic distributions in pp $\rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I+I-h$ rather limited, amounting to relative deviations of no more than 5%





Vh production in SMEFT @ NNLO+PS

Enhanced sensitivity of $pp \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I+I-h$ to C_{Hq}, C_{Hq}, C_{Hu} & C_{Hd} arises from energy growth of amplitudes. Effects can reach 50% in p_{T,Z} spectrum in region where Higgs decay products are significantly boosted. Vh production @ HL-LHC may allow to constrain Z-boson couplings to light quarks as well as SLC & LEP





LHC tau-pair production constraints on a_{τ}



[UH, Luc Schnell & Joachim Weiss, 2307.14133; pp $\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ data from ATLAS, 2002.12223]



LHC tau-pair producti



[UH, Luc Schnell & Joachim Weiss, 2307.14133; pp→τ+τ- data from ATLAS, 2002.12223]

Limit on a_{τ} better than those from DELPHI (e+e-), ATLAS & CMS (PbPb, pp) in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

$$a_{\tau} < 1.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \simeq 1.5 \cdot a_{\tau}^{\rm SM}$$

$$\frac{|c_{\tau\gamma}|}{\Lambda^2} < \frac{1}{\left(1.5\,\mathrm{TeV}\right)^2}$$

ion constraints on
$$a_{\tau}$$



SMEFT effects & Lam-Tung relation



[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Joachim Weiss, ongoing; Drell-Yan data from ATLAS, 1912.02844]

$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}s_w\Lambda^2} \sum_{q=u,d} C_q \bar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} q_R Z^{\mu\nu} + \text{h.c.}$





SMEFT effects & Lam-Tung relation



[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Joachim Weiss, ongoing; Drell-Yan data from ATLAS, 1912.02844]





SMEFT effects & Lam-Tung relation



[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Joachim Weiss, ongoing; measurement of the angular coefficients from ATLAS, 1606.00689]

Light-quark dipole effects far too small to account for discrepancy between SM prediction & ATLAS data for Lam-Tung combination A₀ - A₂ of angular coefficients in high-p_{T,II} tail of spectrum



2HDM+a model reloaded @ LHC

- 2HDM+a model is a cornerstone of both ATLAS & CMS interpretations of missing transverse energy (MET) aka DM searches
- All Run 2 benchmarks feature type-II Yukawas & degenerate 2HDM Higgs mass spectrum. Degenerate 2HDM Higgs masses avoid constraints from EW precision observables, but in type II lead to TeVish 2HDM spectrum, as flavor physics requires charged Higgs to be heavier than about 600 GeV



















Conclusions



- Broad range of activities with a strong focus on LHC BSM phenomenology. Ongoing collaborations with internal & external ATLAS researchers & LHC DM working group
- Due to lack of time, I have not discussed research on flavor physics done in last year



Backup



Trilinear Higgs self-coupling from $pp \rightarrow 4l$



In SM effective field they (SMEFT), κ_{λ} receives contributions from more than a single Wilson coefficient





Trilinear Higgs self-coupling from $pp \rightarrow 4l$



[Ongoing effort with Tae Park to make old analysis more realistic]



HL-LHC bounds on marginal Higgs portal



[UH & Gabriel Koole, 2201.09711]





Derivative Higgs portal: DM-N scattering



[see for example Reuven Balkin, Max Ruhdorfer, Ennio Salvioni & Andi Weiler, 1809.09106]

Due to momentum suppression, DD limits easily avoided for new-physics scales f of O(1 TeV)





[Spyros Argyropoulos, Oleg Brandt & UH, 2109.13597]



Global picture of marginal Higgs portal







Future probes of neutrino floor





Future probes of neutrino floor





Higgs self-couplings in HL-LHC era



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing]

 κ_3

Hypothetical HL-LHC bound of O(10) on 3h signal strength will set best bound on κ_4





Higgs self-couplings in HL-LHC era



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing]

 κ_3

Flat direction in k₃ of 3h constraint, partly resolved by indirect hh & h probes



Higgs self-couplings in FCC era



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing]

 κ_3

Single-h bounds notable improved due to permille accuracy of Zh @ FCC-ee



Higgs self-couplings in FCC era



[UH, Aparna Sankar & Giulia Zanderighi, ongoing]

 κ_3

Indirect probes remove degeneracy of direct pp \rightarrow 3h constraint in κ_3 - κ_4 plane



Bottom dipole in $pp \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I+I-bb$



extra gluon emission in leading-order Q_{bG} contribution tends to reduce dibottom invariant mass relative to SM

[UH, Darren Scott, Marius Wiesemann, Giulia Zanderighi & Silvia Zanoli, 2204.00663]



Bottom dipole in $pp \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I+I-bb$



size of effect depends on radius parameter R used to

[UH, Darren Scott, Marius Wiesemann, Giulia Zanderighi & Silvia Zanoli, 2204.00663]



$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_{ll}^2 d\Omega} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_l^2}$

 $+A_1 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi$

$$\frac{1}{2l} \left[(1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{A_0}{2} (1 - 3\cos^2 \theta) + \frac{A_2}{2} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi + A_3 \sin \theta \cos \phi \right]$$

 $+A_4 \cos\theta + A_5 \sin^2\theta \sin 2\phi + A_6 \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + A_7 \sin \theta \sin \phi$



$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_{ll}^2d\cos\theta} = \frac{3}{8}\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_{ll}^2}$

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_{ll}^2d\phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,ll}dy_{ll}dm_{ll}^2} \left[1 \right]$

$$\left[\left(1+\frac{A_0}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{2-3A_0}{2+A_0}\cos^2\theta\right)+A_4\cos\theta\right]$$

$$1 + \frac{A_2}{4} \cos 2\phi + \frac{3\pi}{16} A_3 \cos \phi$$

$$+\frac{A_5}{2}\sin 2\phi + \frac{3\pi}{16}A_7\sin\phi$$





[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Joachim Weiss, ongoing]







[Rhorry Gauld, UH & Joachim Weiss, ongoing]

$$\frac{k\left(1-4s_{w}^{2}+8s_{w}^{4}\right)v^{2}\left|C_{q}\right|^{2}}{192\pi s_{w}^{4}c_{w}^{2}\Lambda^{4}}\frac{\hat{s}^{2}}{\left(\hat{s}-M_{Z}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos^{2}\theta\right)^{2}}$$

$$A_0 \neq 0, \qquad A_2 = 0$$



