# The Emergent String Conjecture and Universal Properties in Quantum Gravity

Timo Weigand, Colloquium at Max-Planck-Institute for Physics, Munich, 24/09/2024







CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE QUANTUM UNIVERSE



### Fundamental physics is experiencing extraordinary times:

### **Triumphant success of**

### **Standard Model** of Particle Physics



ATLAS, 2207.00092



# **Standard Model** of Cosmology (ACDM)





### Fundamental physics is experiencing extraordinary times:

### **Triumphant success of**

### **Standard Model** of Particle Physics

**Quantum field theory**/ **Quantum gauge theory** 

> microscopic scales





# **Standard Model** of Cosmology (ACDM)

### Classical **General Relativity**

astronomical/ cosmological scales

Length scales



### Fundamental physics is experiencing extraordinary times:

### **Deep theoretical and conceptual challenges**

What is the reason for matter-antimatter asymmetry?

Why are there hierarchical couplings in the SM?

> Reason for hierarchy between electroweak scale and Planck scale? Why is gravity to so weak?

### Motivation

Nature of Dark Matter?

Origin of cosmic acceleration? Nature of Dark Energy? What explains its scale?

### Key conceptual question: How to describe gravity as a quantum theory?

$$S_{\rm EH} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \sqrt{-g} R + \dots$$

Quantum gravity as theory of spin-2 fields is not perturbatively renormalisable.

 $\implies$  Effective field theory valid at energy

Among the technical problems: UV divergences in gravity



**Dimensionful**  
**Coupling:** 
$$\kappa_4 = \frac{1}{M_{\text{Planck}}} = (8\pi G_N)^{1/2}$$

gies below cutoff 
$$\Lambda_{\rm QG} \sim M_{\rm Planck} \sim 10^{19}\,{\rm Ge}$$





### **Top down approach** to Quantum Gravity :

- Reproduces at low energies gauge theory and classical Einstein gravity
- ✓ Perturbatively free of ultra-violet divergences
- ✓ Unique as a theory up to dualities: no free parameters
- ✓ Study of its rich vacuum structure in lower dimensions makes contact with frontiers in modern geometry
- $\implies$  String compactifications may provide UV completion for particle physics and cosmological evolution of the Universe.



String Theory as currently best developed candidate





X





### Motivation

### Goal: Development of new stringy mechanisms to address challenges in particle physics and cosmology

- Generation of hierarchically small couplings via stringy instantons  $\bullet$ new effects not based on strong gauge dynamics Applications e.g. to neutrino masses [Blumenhagen, Cvetic, TW'06] [lbanez,Uranga'06]
- Development of F-theory as a non-perturbative framework for string compactifications:
  - including new ideas for Grand Unified Theories (GUTs)
  - along with developing technology in algebraic geometry





### [Krause, Mayrhofer, TW'11]

SU(2)-curve

### Motivation

### **SWAMPLAND:**

coined by **[Vafa'05]** 

Effective field theories consistent as QFTs, but with no UV completion as a quantum gravity

Infinite set of theories

 $\implies$  Limited predictive power

**Bottom up approach:** Can we identify general principles which a theory with a UV completion as a QG theory must satisfy?

### LANDSCAPE:

Effective field theories arising as low-energy limit of fully consistent quantum gravity

Hope: Finite set of theories  $\implies$  Improved predictive power



### Arguments oftentimes conjectural in full generality and based on insights on black hole physics



- Quantitative tests possible in string theory, e.g. based on properties (quantum) geometry of compactifications
- $\implies$  connection to mathematics and even predictions for mathematics (see later)

## Motivation

Two key types of observations:

Gravity can cease to be described as a weakly coupled local QFT 1) parametrically below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ :

Species scale  $\Lambda_{\rm sp} \sim N^{-\frac{1}{d-2}} M_{\rm Planck}$ 

- N: number of light weakly coupled particle species enforced by QG
- If  $N \gg 1$ :  $\Lambda_{\rm sp} \ll M_{\rm Planck}$
- Example:

 $\Lambda_{\rm sp} \sim g_{U(1)} M_{\rm Planck}$  for certain weakly coupled U(1) - see later

When is  $\Lambda_{
m sp} \ll M_{
m Planck}$  and what does this mean for the physical theory?

[Dvali'07] [Dvali,Redi'07] [**Dvali**,**Gomez**'08] ...

[Harvard group '22-23] [Madrid group '22-23] [MPI groups '22-'24]





# Motivation

Two key types of observations:

Principle of charge completeness in QG 2)  $\implies$  higher-dimensional physical objects  $\implies$  additional consistency conditions

 $\Rightarrow$  Finiteness and positivity

More details later!





# Part I:

# Emergent String Conjecture

Or at least asymptotically, at parametrically weak coupling?

### **Conjecture:**

[Lee,Lerche,TW'19]

## Main question of this talk

Which types of quantum gravity theories are possible?

(Almost) Universal behaviour at infinite distance in moduli space of a quantum gravity theory (in asymptotically Minkowski,  $d \ge 4$ )

### In a theory of quantum gravity in d > 2 dimensions, all **Conjecture:** dimensionless couplings are dynamical.

"Concerning such [dimensionless constants] I would like to state a theorem which at present cannot be based upon anything more than upon a faith in the simplicity of nature: there are no arbitrary constants of this kind ...."

Albert Einstein, 1949

### No coupling constants in QG



### **Conjecture:** In a theory of quantum gravity in d > 2 dimensions, all dimensionless couplings are dynamical.

$$\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} F(x) \wedge F(x)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} F(x) = 0$$

parameter

### No coupling constants in QG

**Example 1:** This underlies the idea of solving the strong CP problem via an axion.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} a(x) F(x) \wedge F(x)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} dynamical$$
field



### **Conjecture:** In a theory of quantum gravity in d > 2 dimensions, all dimensionless couplings are dynamical.

**Example 2:** In string theory all dimensionless couplings are set by vacuum expectation values of dynamical scalar fields = moduli

$$S = \int \frac{1}{2g^2(\varphi)} F^2 + \dots + G_{ij}(\varphi) d\varphi$$

$$\int \int dynamical means scalar fields means constrained on the scalar field of the$$

### In geometric compactifications:

moduli = fluctuations of spacetime metric along extra dimensions

## No coupling constants in QG

 $\partial_{\mu}\varphi^{i}\partial^{\mu}\varphi^{j}$ 

etric on the oduli space



### **Conjecture:** In a theory of quantum gravity in d > 2 dimensions, all dimensionless couplings are dynamical.

**Example 2:** In string theory all dimensionless couplings are set by vacuum expectation values of dynamical scalar fields = moduli

$$g_i = \langle \varphi_i \rangle$$

In geometric compactifications: moduli = fluctuations of metric along extra dimensions

Space of physical couplings



No coupling constants in QG



Shape and size of extra dimensions





 $\times$ 









[Perlmutter,Rastelli,Vafa,Valenzuela'20] [Baume,Calderon-Infante'23] [Ooguri,Wang'24]

[Castellano,Font,HerraezIbanez'21]

# Asymptotics of Quantum Gravities

What is the **nature of the asymptotic gravity theory**?

- ➡ Is it a known theory?
- at finite distance?

What is the **nature of the asymptotic tower of light states**, up to duality? What is the **species scale**?

What is the exponential vanishing rate  $\alpha$  in  $M \sim M_0 e^{-\alpha \frac{\Delta}{M_{\text{Pl}}}}$ ? needed for practical applications e.g. to cosmological evolution

 $\rightarrow$  Or is it a mess with infinitely many massless states defying a sensible EFT? Can one discover new theories in this way, similar to behaviour at strong coupling

# **Emergent String Conjecture**

Consider a quantum gravity theory in  $d \ge 4$  dimensions, asymptotically Minkowski. Every infinite distance limit in the moduli space (if existent) is one of 2 types:



### **Decompactification limit :**

- One or several extra dimensions decompactify.
- Leading tower: KK modes

Higher-dimensional theory

[Lee,Lerche,TW'19]

### **Emergent String Limit:**

- A *unique* <u>critical</u> string becomes asymptotically tensionless w.r.t. Planck scale and weakly coupled.
- •Leading tower: String excitations accompanied by tower KK modes at same scale (unless d = 10)

Weakly coupled string theory in same dimension





Evidence

M-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,4} \times X_3$ 



**Physics: Parametrises the** gauge couplings



$$S = \int \frac{1}{2g^2(\varphi)} F^2 + \dots + G_{ij}(\varphi) \,\partial_\mu \varphi^i \,\partial^\mu \varphi^j$$

Intuition:  $X_3$  must become very anisotropic

**Locally:**  $X_3 \simeq F \times B$ 

**Globally:**  $X_3$  has structure of a fibration, with small fiber F

### M-Theory on Calabi-Yau 3-fold



$$\mathcal{V}_F \to 0 \qquad \mathcal{V}_B \to \infty$$

 $\mathcal{V}_{X_3} \sim \mathcal{V}_F \times \mathcal{V}_B \sim \text{const}$ 



# M-Theory on Calabi-Yau 3-fold

### Result of systematic geometric classification: [Lee,Lerche,TW'19 (1)(2)(3)]

In every such infinite distance limit in classical Kähler moduli space there is a unique fiber shrinking at the fastest rate, with two possibilities:





# M-Theory on Calabi-Yau 3-fold



$$\frac{M_n}{M_{\rm Pl}} \sim n \mathcal{V}_{T^2} \to 0$$

# Three potential pitfalls

### **Caveat 1:** Emergent strings are critical strings.

 $\checkmark$  guaranteed by properties of fiber as K3 or  $T^4$  surface in geometry!

### **Caveat 2:** Emergent strings are always unique.

 $\checkmark$  guaranteed by explicit geometric realisation of limits

 $\checkmark$  avoids potential inconsistencies

### **Caveat 3:** Emergent strings are always accompanied by a KK tower.

 $\checkmark$  never find new critical string of purely d<10 dimensional type

 $\checkmark$  often guaranteed only due to quantum corrections to moduli space

- [Baume,Marchesano,Wiesner'20] [Kläwer,Lee,TW,Wiesner'20] [Alvarez-Garcia,Kläwer,TW'21]

# Bottom-up arguments

Evidence presented so far is top-down, starting from string or M-theory and via dualities

Recent arguments constrain possible light weakly coupled towers from bottom-up

- USING Species entropy [Basile, Lüst, Montella'23] [Herraez, Lüst, Masias, Scalisi'24]
- using black hole thermodynamics [Bedroya, Mishra, Wiesner'24]

These results indeed suggest:

Possible towers are

- KK-towers, or
- or towers with exponential degeneracy (string-like behaviour)

# ESC and positivity in supergravity

Which properties must a supergravity theory obey to comply with the EmergentString Conjecture?[Kaufmann,Lanza,TW in progress]

Preliminary results: **Positivity of topological couplings** key to guarantee uniqueness of emergent strings and absence of pathological limits

Example: 5d N=1 SUGRA  $S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,4}} \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^3}{2} \sqrt{-g} R + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g$ 

$$-f_{IJ}F^{I} \wedge *F^{J} + \frac{1}{6}C_{IJK}A^{I} \wedge F^{J} \wedge F^{K} + \dots$$

Positivity  $C_{IJK} \ge 0$ seems required

# Some consequences

### Weak Gravity Conjecture

### A U(1) gauge theory coupled to quantum gravity must possess <u>some</u>

super-extremal state with



required super-extremal state

Arguments a priori heuristic (every black hole should be able to decay)

In asymptotically weak coupling limits:

[Cota, Mininno, TW, Wiesner'23]

Consistency under circle reduction requires a tower of super-extremal states

[Heidenreich, Reece, Rudelius'15] [Montero, Shiu, Soler'16] [Andriolo, Junghans, Noumi, Shiu'18]

[Arkani-Hamed,Motl,Nicolas,Vafa'05]

Extremal charged black hole sets largest charge-to-mass ratio of all black hole











### The Asymptotic Tower Weak Gravity Conjecture ...

### ... follows from the Emergent String Conjecture!

- Asymptotic weak coupling limits are infinite distance limits in moduli space.
- The only weakly coupled gauge groups are therefore:





Kaluza-Klein tower

Both include a tower of super-extremal states!

$$\frac{g_{\mathrm{U}(1)} q}{m} \ge \frac{g_{\mathrm{U}(1)} Q_{\mathrm{BH,ext.}}}{M_{\mathrm{BH,ext.}}}$$

**Perturbative gauge groups** from (heterotic) string theory

### Perturbative string excitation tower

**Detailed analysis:** 

• F-theory: [Lee,Lerche,TW'18-20]

[Lee,Lerche,Lockhart,TW'20] [Kläwer,Lee,TW,Wiesner'21]

M-theory: [Cota, Mininno, TW, Wiesner'22-23]





taken from [Lee,Lerche,TW'18]



### Refined SDC and Emergent Strings

**Exponential scaling behaviour:** 

 $\alpha \geq$  -



### **Emergent String Conjecture** implies:

[Etheredge, Heidenreich, Kaya, Qiu, Rudelius '22] [Agmon, Dedroya, Kang, Vafa'22]



for light towers at infinite distance in moduli space

**Crucial for applications e.g. to cosmology:** How small can decay rate  $\alpha$  become?









**Explicit realisations:** [Blumenhagen,Brinkmann,Makridou'22]

### Dark Dimension Scenario



 $m_{\text{tower}} \sim |\Lambda|^{1/4} \sim \mathcal{O}(eV)$ 

### What is the nature of the tower?

$_{\rm g} = \mathcal{O}(eV)$	<b>Excluded experimentally!</b>	see hov [Basile,L
		—

$$M_{\rm KK} = \mathcal{O}(eV)$$

**1** mesoscopic extra dimension •  $R \sim 10 \mu m$ •  $\Lambda_{\text{species}} = 10^9 - 10^{10} \text{GeV} = M_{\text{Pl}}$ 

Distinguish: [Antoniadis,Arkani-Hamed,Dvali'98] Large extra dimension scenario  $M_{\rm Planck; higher} \sim {\rm TeV}$ 









• 
$$R \sim 10 \mu m$$
  
•  $\Lambda_{\text{species}} = 10^9 - 10^{10} \text{GeV} = M_{\text{Planck,5d}}$ 

### **Potentially interesting connections to phenomenology**, including, among others:

- Prospect to be ruled out/in by upcoming measurements of Newton's law at  $\mu m$  scale
- KK tower giving sterile right-handed neutrinos?
- Dark Matter candidates: KK tower or 5d primordial black hol
- GUTs at  $10^{16}$  GeV would imply charged KK modes at  $\mathcal{O}(1 10 \text{TeV})$  [Heckman, Vafa, TW, Xu'24]



	cf. [Dienes et al.'98]
	[ArkaniHamed,Dimopoulos,Dvali,March-Ruse
	[Carena et al.'17]
	[Gonzalo,Montero,Obied,Vafa'22]
les	[Achordoqui,Anoniadis,Lüst'22],





# Finiteness and positivity from probe arguments

# Part II:

# Finiteness and positivity from probe branes

- What is the maximal possible rank of the gauge group in a quantum gravity theory?
- What is the maximal possible light charged matter content or the highest charge of light states?
- Which is the structure of allowed couplings?

### Not just of theoretical/academic interest:

Example: Bounds on charges and/or number of U(1) gauge factors would constrain proposals for producing hierarchies such as via clockwork mechanism

**Example:** Is SU(N) gauge theory,  $N \to \infty$ , consistent with gravity in the same dimension?

**Example:** Is a non-chiral U(1) gauge theory with massless matter charged with  $q_1 = 1$ ,  $q_2$  arbitrary ok in gravity?

Are all couplings compatible with symmetries allowed?

> [Choi,Im'15] [Kaplan,Rattazzi'15] [Giduice, McCollough'16] [Saraswat'16]







# Finiteness and positivity from probe branes

### **Probe brane idea:**

- QG may contain higher-dimensional objects.
- Consistency of their worldvolume theory  $\implies$  strong constraints
- Many theories contain 2-form gauge fields  $B_2$ . e.g. 4d N=1 SUGRA, asymptotically, 5d and 6d SUGRA
- In QG, these objects <u>are assumed</u> to be physical (Completeness Hypothesis). [Polchinski'03] [Banks, Seiberg'10]
- [Callan,Harvey'85] Anomaly inflow from bulk theory produces anomaly on string worldsheet.

**Consistency of** string (probe brane):







# Finiteness from probe branes

### Bound on number of U(1) gauge factors in 6d N=(1,0) supergravities

 $r_{\rm U(1)} \le 22$ (with at least 1 tensor)

[Lee,TW'19]

Quantum gravity prediction for algebraic geometry!



to F-theory

Bound on rank of Mordell-Weil group of rational sections of elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-folds

Current record:  $r_{\rm max} = 10$ [Grassi, TW'21], [Elkies unpublished]

# Positivity from probe branes

Bounds on higher order couplings in 4d N=1 supergravity

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \sqrt{-gR} - \frac{C}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \sqrt{-gR} - \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \sqrt{-gR} - \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \sqrt{-$$

Bound from consistency of axionic strings:  $C \in 3\mathbb{N}$ 

In particular:  $C \ge 0$  and  $r_G \le 2C - 2$  in presence of gauge fields!

Positivity built into quantum gravity!





# Conclusions

### Goal: Find general principles in Quantum Gravity complementary to top-down construction e.g. via string theory



Extra Material

## Further Evidence

(Quantum) Kähler moduli spaces F-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times Y_3$ : 6d N=1 Lee, Lerche, TW'18 F-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times Y_4$ : 4d N=1 Lee, Lerche, TW'19

4d N=1: quantum corrections shield pathological limits with  $M_{\rm str} \ll M_{\rm KK}$ 

Complex structure moduli spaces F-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,7} \times K3$ : 8d N=1 Lee,TW'21 Lee, Lerche, TW'21 F-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,5} \times Y_3$ : Alvarez-Garcia, Lee, TW'23 (2x) 6d N=1 + to appear M-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,4} \times Y_3$ : 5d N=1 Alvarez-Garcia, Kläwer, TW'21





# Three potential pitfalls

n

### **Caveat 3: Emergent strings always** accompanied by KK tower

✓ never find new critical string of purely d<10 dimensional type</p>

✓ often guaranteed only due to quantum corrections to moduli space
 [Baume,Marchesano,Wiesner'20]
 [Kläwer,Lee,TW,Wiesner'20] [Alvarez-Garcia,Kläwer,TW'21]



# M-Theory on Calabi-Yau 3-fold



 $\implies$  **Decompactification Limit** - but in a dual sense!  $\checkmark$ 

Counted by GV invariants  $N_{g=0}(nT^2) = \chi(X_3) \ \forall n$ Leading tower: M2-brane wrapped *n*-times on torus fiber:

$$M_n^2 = n^2 M_0^2$$
  $M_0 = T_{M2} \mathcal{V}_{T^2}$ 

Behaviour of KK tower for decompactification

 $5d \rightarrow 6d$  (F-theory limit of M-theory) in the well-known sense of [Vafa'96] [Witten'96]

# M-Theory on Calabi-Yau 3-fold



**Emergent String Limit in 5d:** heterotic / Type II 🗸

M5 brane on  $K3/T^4$ :

Heterotic / Type II critical string in 5d [Harvey, Strominger'95]

 $T_{\rm em} \sim T_{\rm M5} \mathcal{V}_{K3/T^4} \sim \lambda^{-2} \to 0$ 

2 leading towers at same scale:

String excitation tower:

Kaluza Klein towers:

• SUGRA states from large base  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 

M2-branes on curves

 $C \cdot_{\mathrm{K3/T^4}} C \geq 0$ 

Emergent string setting dual Heterotic / Type II Frame

$$M_n^2 \sim n T_{\rm em}$$

$$M^2_{\mathrm{KK},1} \sim \mathcal{V}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \sim \mathcal{V}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$$

 $M_{\rm KK.2}^2 \sim \mathcal{V}_C^2 \sim \lambda^{-2}$