FOS irradiation Results and Omega Shape manufacture

 D. Moya, I. Vila, A. L. Virto, F.J. Muñoz, J. Duarte Instituto de Física de Cantabria M. Frovel, J.G. Carrión Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial Y. Morillo, J.García, M. C. Jiménez Centro Nacional de Aceleradores









20 January 2011





- Introduction to Irradiation
- Activation of the Samples
- Parameters of influence
- Preliminary conclusions
- Stability of the FBG's after irradiation
- Omega shape manufacture
- Schedule and Outlook

Irradiation campaign: facility



- Irradiation
 campaign at
 Centro Nacional de
 Acceleradores
 (CSIC) in Seville.
- New Cyclotron facility (max. energy of 18 MeV protons, here 15.5 MeV protons)



FBG sensors irradiation: Introduction



- Sensors (written in nine optical fibers) supported by an aluminum holder.
 - Irradiation intensity uniform over all the sensors
 - An FBG sensor was glued to the FOS Al support outside the irradiation area used as reference in order to measure the temperature change.
 - Final fluency of 3.3 10E15 protrons/cm2 (expected SLHC values)
- F.O embedded in Composite samples
 - 20 samples where irradiated up to 4 different doses
 - 5 at 2.5 x 10¹⁴ protons / cm²
 - 5 at 9 x 10¹⁴ protons / cm²
 - 5 at 15 x 10¹⁴ protons / cm²
 - 5 at 33 x 10¹⁴ protons / cm²
 - They are now been metalographically prepared at INTA for the Nanoindentation at Universidad Carlos III



Activation of the Irradiation Sample



Both samples were activated after irradiation

- The F.O. embedded composite samples where dismounted and sent to INTA for the preparation of Nanoindentation
- The FBG's samples are still activated (the support or the Ormocer coating). Finally it is going to be necessary dismounting the Fibers from the support with the samples still hot.
 - Problem: We would like to make a visual inspection of each sensor position in the irradiation area. With the support irradiated the fibers must be dismounted as fast as possible.
 - Then the fibers will be measured. If they are activated, we will have to wait until they de-activation. If not, we will start with the calibration of the sensors



- The Core of the Fiber optic can be of different types
 - Pure Silica: More resistant to radiation in terms of attenuation of the signal. The problem is that they are not photosensitive, and the fabrication of FBG-s in this kind of material is more difficult and expensive
 - Ge doped: The core is doped with Ge and sometimes with Ce. It Is the more usual fiber in the market. This type of core is photosensitive. In this kind of fibers the FBG sensors can be fabricated by ultraviolet laser. The fabrication in this kind of fiber is less expensive.
- The Ge dopping concentration is an important parameter.



- There are many fabrication types. Three of them were used for the FBG-s irradiated:
 - Type I FBG's Fabrication method. Only for Ge doped silica. The FBG is written by an Ultraviolet light. 2 Types:
 - Normal: Once the F.O. is manufactured, the coating is removed and with an UV light the grating period is recorded during 2 minutes. Then the F.O. is recoated
 - Drawtower: During the manufacture of the Fiber, before apply the coating of the F.O., the grating period is recorded during some seconds, and then the fiber is coated.
 - Type II FBG's Fabrication method. This method can be used for any F.O.. A phentosecond Laser is used to record the bragg grating period. The core is practically melted by the high energy of the laser



- The coating can change the initial value of the wavelength of the FBG. This is because of the internal stress introduced on the core as result of the coating. Three different coatings were chosen:
 - Acrylato: smaller mechanical strength
 - Ormocer: middle strength
 - Polyimide: highest strength
- The coating also affect the reaction of the FBG-s with the strain and Temperature (K factor is dependence of the coating young module)

Preliminary conclusions: Fiber optics position



- There were problems with the positioning of the FBG's before irradiation. Some ormocer sensors seems not to been irradiated at all. To be confirmed
 - Difference between the peak-shift of the same type of sensors.

| 02 Ormocer Fiber | Sensor № | 021 | 022 | 023 | 024 |
|------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Peak shift | -0,30617 | -0,37754 | -0,33223 | -0,04462 |
| 01 Ormooor Eibor | Sensor Nº | 011 | 012 | 013 | 014 |
| UT Ormocer Fiber | Peak shift | -0,02211 | -0,0068 | -0,0116 | -0,00183 |

So much different behavios during irradiation



20th January '11, D. Moya / moyad@ifca.unican.es

Preliminary conclusions: Fiber optics position



- We have this same problem with another sensors.
- The acrylate sensors has a very small change of peakshift or atenuation
- We have the same problem with the polyimide sensor 211
- All the sensors will be visually inspected by a microscope so we can check if the sensors has been irradiated.

| Sensor | Coating | Attenuation | Peak- shift |
|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 011 | ormocer | 0,56 | 25 |
| O12 | ormocer | 0,37 | 10 |
| O13 | ormocer | 0,39 | 12 |
| O14 | ormocer | 0,59 | 3 |
| O21 | ormocer | 6,23 | 308 |
| O22 | ormocer | 8,8 | 379 |
| O23 | ormocer | 5 | 334 |
| O24 | ormocer | 1,14 | 46 |
| O3 | ormocer | 0,01 | 206 |
| O4 | ormocer | 0,03 | 307 |
| O5 | ormocer | 0,21 | 359 |
| 111 | acrylato | 0,42 | -3 |
| 112 | acrylato | 0,15 | -14 |
| TEMP | polimida | 0,07 | -3 |
| 211 | polimida | 0,44 | 10 |
| 212 | polimida | 6,05 | 140 |
| 213 | polimida | 6,26 | 173 |
| 214 | polimida | 7,16 | 175 |
| 221 | polimida | 7,56 | 158 |
| 222 | polimida | 6,92 | 175 |
| 223 | polimida | 6,92 | 173 |

Preliminary conclusions : $\Delta\lambda$



It seems that the peak shift is only dependent of the coating of the fiber. The FBG's wavelength change because of the change of the mechanical properties of the coating. For the ormocer for example there are 2 fibers of Type 1 drawtower and 3 of Type II. The peak shift is of the same order for all of them.

| SENSOR | 111 | 112 | TEMP | 021 | 022 | 023 | 024 |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| λ before | 1543,09147 | 1556,70164 | 1579,97002 | 1530,36627 | 1540,23824 | 1550,35274 | 1560,23057 |
| λ After | 1543,08417 | 1556,68547 | 1579,96555 | 1530,67244 | 1540,61578 | 1550,68497 | 1560,27519 |
| Δλ(nm) | 0,0073 | 0,01617 | 0,00447 | -0,30617 | -0,37754 | -0,33223 | -0,04462 |

| SENSOR | 03 | 011 | 012 | 013 | 014 | 05 | 04 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| λ before | 1530,23316 | 1530,34985 | 1540,25489 | 1550,3163 | 1560,22263 | 1549,92204 | 1540,06483 |
| λ After | 1530,43829 | 1530,37196 | 1540,26169 | 1550,3279 | 1560,22446 | 1550,27877 | 1540,36961 |
| Δλ (nm) | -0,20513 | -0,02211 | -0,0068 | -0,0116 | -0,00183 | -0,35673 | -0,30478 |

| SENSOR | 211 | 212 | 213 | 214 | 221 | 222 | 223 |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| λ before | 1530,78032 | 1534,79001 | 1538,78534 | 1542,65345 | 1546,67373 | 1550,56059 | 1554,44931 |
| λ After | 1530,78833 | 1534,9268 | 1538,9554 | 1542,82597 | 1546,83117 | 1550,73343 | 1554,6216 |
| Δλ (nm) | -0,00801 | -0,13679 | -0,17006 | -0,17252 | -0,15744 | -0,17284 | -0,17229 |

Preliminary conclusions: Attenuation



 The signal of the fiber optic sensor is attenuated as the fiber is irradiated.
 The attenuation of the signal after irradiation can be seen in the next table:

| Sensor | Coating | Туре | Manufacture | Ge-doped | Attenuation(dB) |
|--------|----------|------|-------------|----------|-----------------|
| O11 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | Yes (?) | 0,56 |
| O12 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | Yes (?) | 0,37 |
| O13 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 0,39 |
| O14 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 0,59 |
| O21 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 6,23 |
| O22 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 8,8 |
| O23 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 5 |
| O24 | ormocer | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 1,14 |
| O3 | ormocer | Ш | ¿Normal? | No? | 0,01 |
| O4 | ormocer | Ш | ¿Normal? | No? | 0,03 |
| O5 | ormocer | Ш | ¿Normal? | No? | 0,21 |
| 111 | acrylato | I | Normal | yes (?) | 0,42 |
| 112 | acrylato | I | Normal | yes (?) | 0,15 |
| TEMP | polimida | Ι | Drawtower | yes (?) | 0,07 |
| 211 | polimida | Ι | Drawtower | yes (?) | 0,44 |
| 212 | polimida | Ι | Drawtower | yes (?) | 6,05 |
| 213 | polimida | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 6,26 |
| 214 | polimida | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 7,16 |
| 221 | polimida | | Drawtower | yes (?) | 7,56 |
| 222 | polimida | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 6,92 |
| 223 | polimida | I | Drawtower | yes (?) | 6,92 |

Preliminary conclusions: Attenuation



- The three FBG's of Type II and the Acrylate Fiber manufactured in a normal way has an small attenuation.
- Fibers fabricated by drawtower have an attenuation of orders of magnitude larger than the other types of fibers (dB).
 - Exceptions:
 - The 4 Ormocer sensors which seen not to be irradiated
 - The Temperature sensor (no irradiated)
 - The 211 polyimide sensor (left outside the irradiation area in order to compare the results with irradiated sensors.)
- Conclusion: The attenuation of the fiber seens not to be dependant of the material of coating. Two main factors could affect the attenuation:
 - □ Fabrication method (Normal, Type II < Drawtower)
 - □ The type of fiber core used (pure silica > Ge⁺ doped)

Preliminary conclusions: Stability



- A study of stability was made.
- The data for this stability study are taken during the hours after irradiation.
- The stability of all the sensors was between 1 and 2 pm (0.1 or 0.2 °C perhaps?) after the irradiation.

| Sensor | Coating | Stability |
|--------|--------------|-----------|
| O11 | ormocer | 2,1 |
| O12 | ormocer | 2,2 |
| O13 | ormocer | 2 |
| O14 | ormocer | 1,5 |
| O21 | ormocer | 1,1 |
| O22 | ormocer | 0,9 |
| O23 | ormocer | 0,9 |
| O24 | ormocer | 1,9 |
| O3 | ormocer | 1,7 |
| O4 | ormocer | 1,1 |
| O5 | ormocer | 1,4 |
| 111 | acrylato | 1,5 |
| 112 | acrylato | 1,3 |
| TEMP | polimida | 0,8 |
| 211 | polimida | 1 |
| 212 | polimida | 0,7 |
| 213 | polimida | 1 |
| 214 | polimida | 0,6 |
| 221 | polimida | 1 |
| 222 | polimida 1,2 | |
| 223 | polimida | 1 |
| | | |

Preliminary conclusions: K factor



- The Fiber Optic Sensors must be dismounted before from the suport. Then after checking that none of the fiber has been activated, they are going to be recalibrated.
- The mechamical recalibration will be made at INTA with the same set up used before irradiation



- The thermal callibration will be made in the INTA and in the IFCA
- Then we will see which parameter has more influence in the change of the K factor with irradiation.
- It is expected the coating be the most important parameter.

Omega Shape : Manufacture



- Two omega Shapes are been manufactured at INTA with fiber optic embeded. Two different layout of composites are being used, one with 4 layers and the other with 6 layers. This will allow us to see how the fabrication parameters (temperature, pressure, output of fiber....) can be optimized for the omega and s-shape fabrication.
- As soon as this 2 first omega Shapes are manufactured and after they are checked in the INTA, they will be sent to Imanuel
- Then the prototypes of Omega Shape and S-shape will be manufactured
- Finally the are going to be characterized.

Schedule & outlook



Free FBG-s irradiation conclusions

- We have some preliminary conclusions :
 - □ The coating is the most important parameter for the Peak-shift
 - The Fabrication method and the Type of fiber used are the most important parameter for the attenuation
- But:
 - More details about the fiber used for the fabrication of the sensors is needed
 - □ A better support structure must be design
 - More irradiations tests must be make (Next irradiation is being planed)

Omega and S-shape Manufacture

- 2 first omegas with FO embedded are being fabricated at INTA to optimize the process.
- They will be sent to Imanuel as soon as possible