Current Calibration Results

Eugenie Zaitseva

MPP

July 3, 2025

Europio (autoova (
LUPETIE Zauseva i	

< 3 >

э

Why calibrate?



Figure: MADMAX setup used at Cryolab

Eugenie Zaitseva (MPP)

Current Calibration Results

イロト 不良 トイヨト イヨト

э

One-port Calibration



Figure: Γ^{M} measured reflectivity, Γ refelctivity of device under test. Solving the equations below using three well characterized, independent standards allows to de-embed the unknown components.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{M1} & -\Gamma_{1} \\ 1 & \Gamma_{2}\Gamma_{M2} & -\Gamma_{2} \\ 1 & \Gamma_{3}\Gamma_{M3} & -\Gamma_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{00} \\ e_{11} \\ \Delta_{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{M1} \\ \Gamma_{M2} \\ \Gamma_{M3} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Gamma = \frac{\Gamma^{M} - e_{00}}{\Gamma^{M} e_{11} - \Delta_{e}}$$
$$M \qquad E = \Gamma_{M}$$

Setup at room temperature



Figure: recreated MADMAX setup at room temperature

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Setup at room-temperature



Figure: Close-up photo of the main components in the setup

∃ ⇒

< f³ ► <

Data



Figure: Reference refelctivity Γ_{DUT} (CB100 connected directly to the VNA cable) compared to uncalibrated reflectivity Γ^{M} of the full setup

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Ideal vs measured standards



Figure: Calibration with standards assumes as "ideal" ($\Gamma_{short} = -1$, $\Gamma_{open} = 1$, $\Gamma_{load} = 0$) compared to calibration with measured standards.

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Uncertainties

In order to estimate the uncertainties of the calibration, I applied a **Monte-Carlo method**:

Uncertainties from switch and VNA:

- phase: 2.062°
- magnitude: -44.655 dB

Assuming uniform distribution

 \rightarrow deliberately introduced deviations within bounds

 \rightarrow performed calibration \rightarrow 2000 times.

This led to average uncertainties of the calibration:

• phase : \approx 4°

• magnitude: pprox -31 dB

Calibration



Figure: Calibrated CB100 spectrum between 18 and 20 GHz

Eugenie Zaitseva (MPP)

< ⊒ > July 3, 2025

< ∃⇒

< 47 ▶

Calibration



Figure: Calibrated data in the Booster-Peak region

∃ →

A B A B A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
A
A
A
A

Phase



Figure: Unwrapped phase calibrated CB100 data between 18 and 20 GHz

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

What causes phase shift?



Figure: Radiall R591 switch: ports have slightly different internal lengths \Rightarrow causes phase shift

Eugenie Zaitseva (MPP)

Current Calibration Results

July 3, 2025

Image: A matrix

 $12 \, / \, 14$

De-embedding seperate switch ports



Figure: Unwrapped phase calibrated CB100 data between 18 and 20 GHz

Eugenie Zaitseva (MPP)

Current Calibration Results

July 3, 2025

< f³ ► <

De-embedding seperate switch ports



Figure: Calibrated data with de-embedding switch ports. De-embedding too many components might accumulate errors.

Eugenie Zaitseva (MPP)
--------------------	------

Current Calibration Results

July 3, 2025