# TOWARDS NNLO CORRECTIONS TO TOP PAIR PRODUCTION AT THE LHC

GABRIEL ABELOF ETH ZÜRICH

HP2.4 - MUNICH, SEPTEMBER 2012

IN COLLABORATION WITH AUDE GEHRMANN-DE RIDDER

Importance of top quark physics:

- Large Yukawa coupling. Sensitivity to electroweak symmetry breaking
- Large cross section for  $t\bar{t}$  production at the LHC:  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(14 \text{ TeV}, p_T^{top} > 700 \text{ GeV}) \approx 700 \text{ fb}$
- Background to various new physics searches
- Preferred channel for the decay of potential new heavy resonances
- Forward-backward asymmetry at Tevatron

Need for a full NNLO calculation for  $t\bar{t}$  production at the LHC:

- An experimental error of ~5% is expected for  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$
- NLO<sup>[1]</sup> + N(N)LL<sup>[2]</sup> calculations give a theoretical uncertainty of ~10%
  - [1] Nason, Dawson, Ellis '88-'90; Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith '89-91
  - <sup>[2]</sup> Kidonakis, Sterman '97; Bonciani et al. '98; Cacciari et al. '08; Moch, Uwer '08; Kidonakis '08
  - Recently completed NNLL resumation: Ahrens et al. '11

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

Total cross section for  $t\bar{t}$  production

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{pp\to t\bar{t}} = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_1}{\xi_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_2}{\xi_2} f_a(\xi_1,\mu_F) f_b(\xi_2,\mu_F) \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to t\bar{t}}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\mu_F,\mu_R)$$

Four types of contributions to  $d\hat{\sigma}_{ab \rightarrow t\bar{t}}$  at NNLO



G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

State of the art towards a full NNLO calculation

- 2-loop corrections
  - Matrix elements computed in the limit  $s >> m_t^2$ : Czakon, Mitov, Moch '07 '08
  - Matrix elements for  $q\bar{q}$  channel evaluated numerically: Czakon '08
  - All IR poles evaluated analytically: Ferroglia et al. '09
  - Fermionic and leading colour parts of the qq̄ channnel, and leading colour part of the gg channel computed analytically: Bonciani et al. '08 -'09 -'11
- •1 x 1-loop corrections
  - Matrix element fully known: Korner et al. '06; Anastasiou, Aybat '08; Kniehl et al. '08
- Real-virtual corrections
  - IR structure of the massive one-loop amplitudes studied: Bierenbaum, Czakon, Mitov '11
- Double real corrections
  - Purely numerical implementation: sector decomposition + subtraction: Czakon '10 '11
  - Antenna subtraction with massive fermions: Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann '09;

GA, Gehrmann-De Ridder '11 -'12; → This talk Bernreuther, Bogner, Dekkers '11

• Full NNLO corrections to the purely fermionic channels: Baernreuther, Czakon, Mitov '12

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

In order to apply the antenna subtraction method to the double real corrections to  $t\bar{t}$  production at hadron colliders we

 Fully extended the method at NLO (single unresolved NNLO) to treat massive quarks in hadronic collisions
 GA and Gehrmann-De Ridder, JHEP, 1104, 063 (2011)

- Constructed NLO subtraction terms for σ<sub>tt̄</sub> and σ<sub>tt̄+jet</sub> (single unresolved part of σ<sub>tt̄</sub> at NNLO)
- Integrated the relevant massive 3-parton antenna functions

 Computed NNLO subtraction terms for the double real radiation processes GA and Gehrmann-De Ridder, JHEP, 1204, 076 (2012)
 GA and Gehrmann-De Ridder arXiv:1112.4736 [hep-ph]

 $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q'\bar{q'} \quad q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q} \quad qq' \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq' \quad qq \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq \quad gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$ 

Integrated the relevant massive 4-parton antenna functions
 GA, Dekkers, Gehrmann-De Ridder (in preparation)

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

HP2.4 - Munich, September 2012

 $\rightarrow$  This talk

5

NNLO subtraction for m-jet production in hadronic collisions

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO} &= \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2}} \left( \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} \right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \left( \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RV} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS} \right) + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,1} \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,2} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS} \end{split}$$

- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,1}$ ,  $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,2}$ : mass factorisation counterterms
- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S}$ ,  $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS}$ : subtraction terms
  - Approximate the double-real (real-virtual) radiation matrix element in all singular regions.
  - Can be integrated over a factorised form of the phase space making poles in  $\epsilon$  explicit
- Each line is free of infrared poles and integration over the phase space can be carried out numerically in 4 dimensions

NNLO subtraction for m-jet production in hadronic collisions

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO} &= \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2}} \left( \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} \right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \left( \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RV} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS} \right) + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,1} \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,2} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS} \end{split}$$

- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,1}$ ,  $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,2}$ : mass factorisation counterterms
- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S}$ ,  $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS}$ : subtraction terms
  - Approximate the double-real (real-virtual) radiation matrix element in all singular regions.
  - Can be integrated over a factorised form of the phase space making poles in  $\epsilon$  explicit
- Each line is free of infrared poles and integration over the phase space can be carried out numerically in 4 dimensions

Double real radiation corrections to  $t\bar{t} + (m)jets$  production

$$\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR}(p_1, p_2) = \mathcal{N}_{NNLO} \sum d\Phi_{m+2}(p_Q, p_{\bar{Q}}, p_5, \dots, p_{m+4}; p_1, p_2) \\ \times \frac{1}{S_{m+2}} |\mathcal{M}_{m+4}^0(p_Q, p_{\bar{Q}}, p_5, \dots, p_{m+4}; p_1, p_2)|^2 J_m^{(m+2)}(p_Q, p_{\bar{Q}}, p_5, \dots, p_{m+4})$$

Antenna subtraction terms

C

- Reproduce the behaviour of  $|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}^0|^2$  in all their infrared limits
  - ▶ Based on the universal factorisation properties of  $|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}^0|^2$  in these singular limits Campbell, Glover '98; Catani, Grazzini '99 -'00
- Constructed as products of antenna functions and reduced matrix elements with remapped momenta

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### Single unresolved limits of $|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}|^2$

$$|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}^{0}(\ldots,i,j,k,\ldots)|^{2} \xrightarrow{p_{j}\to 0} \mathcal{S}(i,j,k)|\mathcal{M}_{m+3}^{0}(\ldots,i,k,\ldots)|^{2}$$
$$|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}^{0}(\ldots,i,j,k,\ldots)|^{2} \xrightarrow{j||k} \frac{1}{s_{jk}} P_{jk\to l}(z)|\mathcal{M}_{m+3}^{0}(\ldots,i,l,\ldots)|^{2}$$

Subtracted with (in the final-final case)

$$X_{ijk}^0 |\mathcal{M}_{m+3}^0(\dots, I, K, \dots)|^2$$

#### 3-parton antenna functions $X_{ijk}^0$

• Two hard particles i,k (hard radiations) and an unresolved particle j

- Give the right unresolved factor (splitting function, soft eikonal factor) in each limit
- Derived from physical matrix elements (more on this later)

Phase space mapping for reduced matrix elements  $\mathcal{M}_{m+3}^0(\ldots, I, K, \ldots)$ 

- (3  $\rightarrow$  2) mapping required to define  $(p_I, p_K)$  from  $(p_i, p_j, p_k)$
- Remapped kinematics reduces to Born kinematics in each limit

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### Double unresolved limits of $|\mathcal{M}_{m+4}|^2$

- Double soft limits
- Soft  $q\bar{q}$  limits
- Triple collinear limits
- Soft and collinear limits
- Double collinear limits



Subtracted with (for final-final colour-connected configurations)

 $X_{ijkl}^0 |\mathcal{M}_{m+2}^0(\dots, I, L, \dots)|^2$ 

### 4-parton antenna functions $X_{ijkl}^0$

• Two hard particles i,l (hard radiations) and two unresolved particles j,k

- Give the right unresolved factor in each limit
- Derived from physical matrix elements (more on this later)

Phase space mapping for reduced matrix elements  $\mathcal{M}_{m+2}^0(\ldots, I, L, \ldots)$ 

- (4  $\rightarrow$  2) mapping required to define  $(p_I, p_L)$  from  $(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l)$
- Remapped kinematics reduces to Born kinematics in each limit

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich) HP2.4 - Munich, September 2012

- Normalised physical colour-ordered matrix elements squared with two hard particles (radiators) and unresolved radiation emitted between them
- Divided into different types
  - According to the number of particles that they contain
    - Three-parton antennae → One unresolved particle
    - Four-parton antennae → Two unresolved particles
  - According to the type of hard radiators
    - Quark-antiquark antennae
    - Quark-gluon antennae
    - Gluon-gluon antennae
  - According to whether the hard radiators are in the initial or in the final state
    - Final-final antennae
    - Initial-final antennae
    - Initial-initial antennae
- > All of them are needed in hadron collider observables

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

# SUBTRACTION TERMS FOR $t\bar{t}$ production

In the processes

$$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q'\bar{q'} \quad q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q} \quad qq' \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq' \quad qq \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq \quad gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$$

- Soft  $q\bar{q}$  limits
- Initial-final triple collinear limits
- Double collinear initial-final limits
- Single final-final and initial-final collinear limits
- No collinear limits involving the heavy fermions. Mass acts as a regulator.

#### To subtract these limits we employ

- Four-parton antennae
  - Massive final-final:  $B_4^0(Q, \bar{q}, q, \bar{Q})$ Bernreuther, Bogner, Dekkers '11
  - Massive initial-final:  $B_4^0(Q, \bar{q}, q, \hat{q}'), E_4^0(Q, q, \bar{q}, \hat{g}), \tilde{E}_4^0(Q, q, \bar{q}, \hat{g})$ GA, Gehrmann-De Ridder '11-'12
  - ► Massless initial-initial: B-type, C-type, G-type → See A. Gehrmann-De Ridder's talk Boughezal, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann '10; Gehrmann, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann '12
- Three-parton antennae
  - Massive and massless in all configurations (f-f, i-f, i-i) Gehrmann, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover '05; Daleo, Gehrmann, Maître '07; Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann '09; GA, Gehrmann-De Ridder '11
    - G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

# SUBTRACTION TERMS FOR $t\bar{t}$ production

**Example:**  $q\bar{q} \longrightarrow Q\bar{Q}q'\bar{q}'$ 

The colour decomposition reads

$$M_6^0(1_Q, 2_{\bar{Q}}, \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, \hat{4}_q, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'}) = g^4 \left( \delta_{i_1 i_6} \delta_{i_5 i_4} \delta_{i_3 i_2} \mathcal{M}_6^0(1_Q, 6_{\bar{q}'}; ; 5_{q'}, \hat{4}_q; ; \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, 2_{\bar{Q}}) + \dots \right)$$

Squaring yields

$$|M_6^0(1_Q, 2_{\bar{Q}}, \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, \hat{4}_q, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'})|^2 = g^8(N_c^2 - 1) \left( N_c |\mathcal{M}_6^0(1_Q, 6_{\bar{q}'}; ; 5_{q'}, \hat{4}_q; ; \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, 2_{\bar{Q}})|^2 + \dots \right)$$

Unresolved limits of  $|\mathcal{M}_{6}^{0}(1_{Q}, 6_{\bar{q}'}; ; 5_{q'}, \hat{4}_{q}; ; \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, 2_{\bar{Q}})|^{2}$ 

- Soft  $q\bar{q}$  limit  $p_5, p_6 \rightarrow 0$  (hard radiators:  $1_Q, \hat{4}_q$ )
- Triple collinear limit  $\hat{4}||5||6$
- Single collinear limit 5||6

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

<u>Example</u>:  $q\bar{q} \longrightarrow Q\bar{Q}q'\bar{q}'$ 

The subtraction terms for this amplitude are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} \propto & E_{3}^{0}(1_{Q}, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'}) |\mathcal{M}_{5}^{0}((\widetilde{15})_{Q}, (\widetilde{56})_{g}, \hat{4}_{q}; ;\hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, 2_{\bar{Q}})|^{2} J_{2}^{(3)}(p_{1}, p_{2}, \widetilde{p_{56}}) \\ &+ \left( B_{4}^{0}(1_{Q}, 6_{\bar{q}'}, 5_{q'}, \hat{4}_{q}) - E_{3}^{0}(1_{Q}, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'}) A_{3}^{0}((\widetilde{15})_{Q}, (\widetilde{56})_{g}, \hat{4}_{q}) \right) \\ &\times |\mathcal{M}_{4}^{0}((\widetilde{156})_{Q}, 2_{\bar{Q}}, \hat{3}_{\bar{q}}, \hat{4}_{q})|^{2} J_{2}^{(2)}(\widetilde{p_{156}}, p_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

•  $E_3^0(1_Q, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'}) | \mathcal{M}_5^0(\ldots) |^2 J_2^{(3)}(\ldots)$ 

Subtracts the single collinear limit 5||6
 Introduces a spurious collinear singularity 4̂||(56)

•  $B_4^0(1_Q, 6_{\bar{q}'}, 5_{q'}, \hat{4}_q) |\mathcal{M}_4^0(\ldots)|^2 J_2^{(2)}(\ldots)$ 

Subtracts the soft qq limit and the triple collinear limit
Introduces a spurious collinear singularity 5||6

•
$$E_3^0(1_Q, 5_{q'}, 6_{\bar{q}'})A_3^0((\widetilde{15})_Q, (\widetilde{56})_g, \hat{4}_q)|\mathcal{M}_4^0(\ldots)|^2 J_2^{(2)}(\ldots)$$
  
•Subtracts both spurious collinear limits

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

# SUBTRACTION OF SOFT $q\bar{q}$ limits

In leading colour pieces, the subtraction of  $q\bar{q}$  limits follows the general antenna subtraction scheme

• Sub-amplitudes squared factorise as

$$|\mathcal{M}_n^0(...,a,c_{\bar{q}};;d_q,b,...)|^2 \xrightarrow{p_c,p_d \to 0} \mathcal{S}_{acdb}(m_a,m_b)|\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^0(...,a,b,...)|^2$$

• Soft factor Bernreuther, Bogner, Dekkers '11

$$S_{acdb}(m_a, m_b) = \frac{2(s_{ab}s_{cd} - s_{ac}s_{bd} - s_{bc}s_{ad})}{s_{cd}^2(s_{ac} + s_{ad})(s_{bc} + s_{bd})} + \frac{2}{s_{cd}^2} \left[ \frac{s_{ac}s_{ad}}{(s_{ac} + s_{ad})^2} + \frac{s_{bc}s_{bd}}{(s_{bc} + s_{bd})^2} \right] \\ - \frac{2m_a^2}{s_{cd}(s_{ac} + s_{ad})^2} - \frac{2m_b^2}{s_{cd}(s_{bc} + s_{bd})^2}$$

• Hard radiators (a,b) can be immediately identified from the colour connection

• This singularity is subtracted with one four-parton antenna

$$X_4^0(a, c_{\bar{q}}, d_q, b) |\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^0(..., A, B, ...)|^2$$

since

$$X_4^0(a, c_{\bar{q}}, d_q, b) \xrightarrow{p_c, p_d \to 0} \mathcal{S}_{acdb}(m_a, m_b)$$

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

# SUBTRACTION OF SOFT $q\bar{q}$ limits

In certain subleading colour pieces there are sub-amplitudes like

 $|\mathcal{M}_n^0(...,a;;d_q,c_{\bar{q}})|^2$ 

- Colour factor at the amplitude level contains  $\delta_{i_c,i_d}$
- Soft  $q\bar{q}$  pair splits from a photon-like propagator
- Hard radiators cannot be identified from the colour connection
- Soft  $q\bar{q}$  limit cannot be subtracted with a single antenna function

To subtract the soft  $q\bar{q}$  limits in these types of subleading colour contributions we examine the universal factorisation properties of colour ordered matrix elements at the amplitude level

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

In the leading colour case

 $\mathcal{M}_n^0(\dots,a,c_{\bar{q}};;d_q,b,\dots) \xrightarrow{p_c,p_d\to 0} \left[\bar{u}_d\gamma_\mu v_c\right] \left(J_a^\mu(p_c,p_d) - J_b^\mu(p_c,p_d)\right) \mathcal{M}_{n-2}^0(\dots,a,b,\dots)$ 

•Soft currents  $J_i^{\mu}(p_j, p_k) = \frac{p_i^{\mu}}{s_{jk}(s_{ij}+s_{ik})}$ 

• Squaring  $[\bar{u}_d \gamma_\mu v_c] (J^\mu_a(p_c, p_d) - J^\mu_b(p_c, p_d))$  we obtain  $S_{acdb}(m_a, m_b)$ 

In the subleading colour case, all hard fermions can radiate the soft  $q\bar{q}$  pair

$$\mathcal{M}_n^0(\dots,a;;d_q,c_{\bar{q}}) \xrightarrow{p_c,p_d \to 0} [\bar{u}_d \gamma_\mu v_c] \bigg( \sum_{i \in \{q\}} J_i^\mu(p_c,p_d) - \sum_{j \in \{\bar{q}\}} J_j^\mu(p_c,p_d) \bigg) \mathcal{M}_{n-2}^0(\dots,a)$$

Squaring this gives

$$|\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0}(...,a;;d_{q},c_{\bar{q}})|^{2} \xrightarrow{p_{c},p_{d} \to 0} \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in \{q\}\\j \in \{\bar{q}\}}} \mathcal{S}_{icdj}(m_{i},m_{j}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{q\}\\i \neq j}} \mathcal{S}_{icdj}(m_{i},m_{j}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{\bar{q}\}\\i \neq j}} \mathcal{S}_{icdj}(m_{i},m_{j}) \right) |\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^{0}(...,a)|^{2}$$

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

# Subtraction of soft $q\bar{q}$ limits

Therefore, to subtract soft  $q\bar{q}$  limits in these subleading colour pieces we need a combination of several antennae

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} \sim \sum_{\substack{i \in \{q\}\\j \in \{\bar{q}\}}} X_{4}^{0}(i,c,d,j) |\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^{0}(...,A)_{(i,j)}|^{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{q\}\\i \neq j}} X_{4}^{0}(i,c,d,j) |\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^{0}(...,A)_{(i,j)}|^{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{\bar{q}\}\\i \neq j}} X_{4}^{0}(i,c,d,j) |\mathcal{M}_{n-2}^{0}(...,A)_{(i,j)}|^{2}$$

Crucial: this subtraction term also reproduces the triple collinear limits involving the soft  $q\bar{q}$  pair without introducing any spurious singularity

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### NUMERICAL CHECKS

To test our subtraction terms

- Generate phase space points in the vicinity of each limit
- Define a variable x for each limit that controls the proximity of the phase space points to the singularity
- Compute the ratio  $R = d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR} / d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S}$  for several values of x



G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)



Histrograms become more sharply peaked around R=1 as we get closer to the singularities by making x smaller

Similar results are obtained in all limits for all the other partonic processes

 $\Rightarrow d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S}$  is a correct approximation of  $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR}$  in all singular regions!

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### **INTEGRATED ANTENNAE**

Subtraction terms need to be integrated

- Factorise the phase space into a product of an antenna phase space and a reduced phase space (different factorisation for f-f, i-f, i-i configurations)
- Integrate antenna functions over the corresponding antenna phase space analytically

• Leave the reduced matrix elements unintegrated

Schematically, we do the following (for subtraction terms with 4-parton antennae)

$$d\Phi_{m+2} = d\Phi_m \cdot d\Phi_{X_4}$$
Antenna phase space. Different for (f-f,i-f,i-i)  

$$\Rightarrow \int d\Phi_{m+2} X_4^0 |\mathcal{M}_{m+2}|^2 J_m^{(m)} = \int d\Phi_{X_4} X_4^0 \cdot \int d\Phi_m |\mathcal{M}_{m+2}|^2 J_m^{(m)}$$

$$= \mathcal{X}_4^0 \cdot \int d\Phi_m |\mathcal{M}_{m+2}|^2 J_m^{(m)}$$
Integrated antenna  
(explicit poles in  $\epsilon$ )
Integrated subtraction term

The integrated subtraction term can be then combined with the double virtual and mass factorisation terms cancelling IR poles. Integration over  $d\Phi_m$  can be safely done in d=4.

• Phase space factorises as

 $d\Phi_{m+2}(p_3, \dots, p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l, \dots, p_{m+4}; p_1, p_2) = d\Phi_m(p_3, \dots, p_I, p_L, \dots, p_{m+2}; p_1, p_2)$  $d\Phi_{X_{ijkl}}(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l; p_I + p_L)$ 

• Massive antenna phase space related to the massive  $1 \rightarrow 4$  phase space

$$d\Phi_4(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l; p_I, p_L) = P_2(q^2, m_Q) \times d\Phi_{X_{ijkl}}(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l; p_I, p_L)$$

$$Inclusive 2-particle phase space with  $q = p_I + p_L$$$

Integrated antennae

$$\mathcal{X}_{ijkl}^{0} = \frac{1}{[C(\epsilon)]^2} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{X_{ijkl}} X_{ijkl}^{0} \qquad C(\epsilon) = (4\pi)^{\epsilon} \frac{e^{-\epsilon\gamma}}{8\pi^2}$$

Depend on two variabes  $\mathcal{X}_{ijkl}^0 = \mathcal{X}_{ijkl}^0(q^2, m_Q^2)$ 

• Used to evaluate the integrated antennae  $\mathcal{B}^0_{Q\bar{q}q\bar{Q}}$ Bernreuther, Bogner, Dekkers '11

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### INTEGRATED MASSIVE INITIAL-FINAL ANTENNAE

• Phase space factorises as

• Massive antenna phase space related to the massive  $2 \rightarrow 3$  phase space

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi_{X_{1,jkl}}(p_j, p_k, p_l; p_1, q) = \frac{Q^2 + m_j^2 + m_k^2 + m_l^2}{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\Phi_3(p_j, p_k, p_l; p_1, q)$$

Integrated antennae

$$\mathcal{X}_{1,jkl}^{0} = \frac{1}{[C(\epsilon)]^2} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{X_{1,jkl}} X_{1,jkl}^{0}$$

• Used to evaluate the integrated antennae  $\mathcal{B}^{0}_{q',Q\bar{q}q}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{0}_{g,Q\bar{q}q}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{0}_{g,Q\bar{q}q}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{0}_{g,Q\bar{q}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{0}_{g,Q\bar{q}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}$ 

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### INTEGRATED MASSIVE INITIAL-FINAL ANTENNAE

Integration of initial-final 4-parton massive antennae  $\mathcal{B}_{q',Q\bar{q}q}^0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{g,Q\bar{q}q}^0$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{g,Q\bar{q}q}^0$ ,  $\tilde{$ 

$$q + p_2 \to p_1 + p_3 + p_4$$
  $p_1^2 = m_Q^2$   $p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_4^2 = 0$   $q^2 < 0$ 

• We use the following variables to parametrise the kinematics

$$Q^{2} = -q^{2} \qquad y = 1 - \frac{Q^{2} + m_{Q}^{2}}{2p_{2} \cdot q} \qquad z = \frac{m_{Q}^{2}}{(q + p_{2})^{2}} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{X}_{i,jkl}^{0} = \mathcal{X}_{i,jkl}^{0}(Q^{2}, y, z)$$

- Express the phase space integrals as cuts of two-loop four-point functions with two offshell legs in forward scattering kinematics
- Reduce to master integrals

$$I_{[0]} = \int d\Phi_{3}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}; p_{2}, q) \\I_{[-8]} = \int d\Phi_{3}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}; p_{2}, q)((p_{1} + p_{3})^{2} - m_{Q}^{2}) \\I_{[4]} = \int d\Phi_{3}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}; p_{2}, q) \frac{1}{(q - p_{1})^{2}} \\I_{[4,9]} = \int d\Phi_{3}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}; p_{2}, q) \frac{1}{(q - p_{1})^{2}((p_{1} - p_{2})^{2} - m_{Q}^{2})} \\Computed using differential equations (NEW) G. Abelof (ETH Zürich) HP2.4 - Munich, September 2012 24$$

- We applied the antenna subtraction method at NNLO to evaluate the double real corrections to heavy quark pair production in hadronic collisions
- We built subtraction terms for the processes

$$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q'\bar{q'} \quad q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q} \quad qq' \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq' \quad qq \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq \quad gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$$

- We tested numerically that our subtraction terms correctly approximate the double real radiation matrix elements in all their unresolved limits: non trivial check on our extension of the antenna subtraction method to treat massive final states
- We reduced the corresponding initial-final massive four-parton antenna to master integrals and evaluated these integrals using differential equations. Integrated antennae to come out soon
- •Next tasks
  - Construct subtraction terms for the remaining partonic processes
  - Tackle the real-virtual contributions



• The peaks around R=1 are not very sharp

• The peaks do not become sharper as we make x smaller

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### SPIN CORRELATIONS

In building our subtraction terms we assumed

$$|\mathcal{M}_m(\ldots,\bar{q},q,\ldots)|^2 \xrightarrow{q||\bar{q}} \frac{1}{s_{q\bar{q}}} P_{q\bar{q}\to G}(z)|\mathcal{M}_{m-1}(\ldots,G,\ldots)|^2$$

We used this factorisation

- To subtract single collinear limits from the real radiation matrix elements
- To remove spurious single collinear limits from 4-parton antennae

However, in limits arising from a gluon splitting angular correlations are also present In these types of limits amplitudes actually factorise as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_{n}^{0}(\ldots,\bar{q},q,\ldots)|^{2} & \xrightarrow{q||\bar{q}|} & \frac{1}{s_{q\bar{q}}}P_{q\bar{q}\rightarrow G}^{\mu\nu}(z,k_{\perp})|\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{0}(\ldots,G,\ldots)|^{2}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= & \frac{1}{s_{q\bar{q}}}P_{q\bar{q}\rightarrow G}(z)|\mathcal{M}_{m-1}(\ldots,G,\ldots)|^{2} + ang \end{aligned}$$

•  $P_{q\bar{q}\to G}^{\mu\nu}(z,k_{\perp}) = -g^{\mu\nu} + 4z(1-z)\frac{k_{\perp}^{\mu}k_{\perp}^{\nu}}{k_{\perp}^{2}}$ : tensorial splitting functiong

• *ang* : angular correlation terms

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

### SPIN CORRELATIONS

With a suitable parametrisation of the kinematics in the limit it can be shown that



 $\Rightarrow$  The angular correlation terms corresponding to a given phase space point cancel against those corresponding to the same point with the collinear pair rotated about the collinear axis by  $\pi/2$ 



G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

- Normalised physical matrix elements with two hard particles (radiators) and unresolved radiation emitted between them
- Divided into different types
  - According to the type of hard radiators
  - According to the number of particles that they contain
  - According to whether the hard radiators are in the initial or in the final state

- Normalised physical matrix elements with two hard particles (radiators) and unresolved radiation emitted between them
- Divided into different types
  - According to the type of hard radiators
    - Quark-antiquark antennae: calculated from  $|\mathcal{M}^0(\gamma^* \to q\bar{q} + X)|^2 / |\mathcal{M}^0(\gamma^* \to q\bar{q})|^2$

E.g. 
$$A_3^0(q, g, \bar{q}) = \frac{|\mathcal{M}^0(\gamma^* \to qg\bar{q})|^2}{|\mathcal{M}^0(\gamma^* \to q\bar{q})|^2}$$

- Quark-gluon antennae: calculated from  $|\mathcal{M}^0(\tilde{\chi} \to \tilde{g} + X)|^2 / |\mathcal{M}^0(\tilde{\chi} \to \tilde{g}g)|^2$ 

E.g. 
$$D_3^0(q, g, g) = \frac{|\mathcal{M}^0(\tilde{\chi} \to \tilde{g}gg)|^2}{|\mathcal{M}^0(\tilde{\chi} \to \tilde{g}g)|^2}$$

- Gluon-gluon antennae: calculated from  $|\mathcal{M}^0(H \to X)|^2 / |\mathcal{M}^0(H \to gg)|^2$ 

E.g. 
$$F_3^0(g, g, g) = \frac{|\mathcal{M}^0(H \to ggg)|^2}{|\mathcal{M}^0(H \to gg)|^2}$$

- According to the number of particles that they contain
- According to whether the hard radiators are in the initial or in the final state

G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)

- Normalised physical matrix elements with two hard particles (radiators) and unresolved radiation emitted between them
- Divided into different types
  - According to the type of hard radiators
  - According to the number of particles that they contain
    - -Three-parton antennae  $X_{ijk}^0$ 
      - ✓ One unresolved particle j
      - ✓ Subtract single unresolved limits
    - Four-parton antennae  $X_{ijkl}^0$ 
      - ✓ Two unresolved particles j,k
      - ✓ Subtract (colour-connected) double unresolved limits
  - According to whether the hard radiators are in the initial or in the final state

- Normalised physical matrix elements with two hard particles (radiators) and unresolved radiation emitted between them
- Divided into different types
  - According to the type of hard radiators
  - According to the number of particles that they contain
  - According to whether the hard radiators are in the initial or in the final state



G. Abelof (ETH Zürich)