


REDUCTION AT THE INTEGRAND LEVEL BEYOND NLO



Costas G. Papadopoulos

NCSR "Demokritos", Athens, Greece
&
CERN, Geneva, Switzerland



HP², MPP, Munich, September 9, 2012

- LHC outstanding performance calls for serious theoretical work




- Precision becomes very important for most of the processes
- Fixed-order calculations need to advance
- Capitalize over previous achievements

DYSON-SCHWINGER RECURSIVE EQUATIONS

- **1999** HELAC: The first code to calculate recursively tree-order amplitudes for (practically) arbitrary number of particles

A. Kanaki and C. G. Papadopoulos, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **132** (2000) 306 [arXiv:hep-ph/0002082].



DYSON-SCHWINGER RECURSIVE EQUATIONS

- 1999 HELAC: The first code to calculate recursively tree-order amplitudes for (practically) arbitrary number of particles
- 2000 PHEGAS: The first code to automatically produce phase-space mappings based on all FD

C. G. Papadopoulos, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **137** (2001) 247 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0007335](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0007335)].

DYSON-SCHWINGER RECURSIVE EQUATIONS

- 1999 HELAC: The first code to calculate recursively tree-order amplitudes for (practically) arbitrary number of particles
- 2000 PHEGAS: The first code to automatically produce phase-space mappings based on all FD
- Including all SM, in both unitary and F-gauge, masses, CKM, unstable particle widths, complex mass scheme, etc.

DYSON-SCHWINGER RECURSIVE EQUATIONS

- 1999 HELAC: The first code to calculate recursively tree-order amplitudes for (practically) arbitrary number of particles
- 2000 PHEGAS: The first code to automatically produce phase-space mappings based on all FD
- Including all SM, in both unitary and F-gauge, masses, CKM, unstable particle widths, complex mass scheme, etc.
- For QCD color connection representation: revival of the 't Hooft ideas ('71) in the modern era. [Citation Alert !](#)

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_2, \dots, j_k}^{a_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} t_{i_1 j_1}^{a_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1} j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2} j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k} j_k} A_{\sigma}$$


gluons $\rightarrow (i, j)$, quark $\rightarrow (i, 0)$, anti-quark $\rightarrow (0, j)$, other $\rightarrow (0, 0)$

$$\sum_{\{i\}, \{j\}} |\mathcal{M}_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}|^2$$

$$\sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} A_{\sigma}^* C_{\sigma, \sigma'} A_{\sigma'}$$

$$C_{\sigma, \sigma'} \equiv \sum_{\{i\}, \{j\}} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1} j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2} j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k} j_k} \delta_{i_{\sigma'_1} j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma'_2} j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma'_k} j_k} = N_C^{m(\sigma, \sigma')}$$

Color-connection Feynman Rules



- 2007 HELAC: <http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/>

A. Cafarella, C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1941 [arXiv:0710.2427 [hep-ph]].

- 2007 HELAC: <http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/>
- Generate all subprocesses for pp , $p\bar{p}$ collisions, calculate cross sections, produce Les Houches accord file

HELAC TREE ORDER CURRENT VERSION

- 2007 HELAC: <http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/>
- Generate all subprocesses for pp , $p\bar{p}$ collisions, calculate cross sections, produce Les Houches accord file
- Very easy to use: just edit the `user.inp` file and then execute the command `./run.sh`

```
# Compulsory information
colpar 1      # colliding particles: 1=pp, 2=ppbar, 3=e+e-
inist 35 35  # initial state; enter 0 to sum over initial states
finst 35 35  # final state
energy 14000 # collision energy (GeV)

# For reference, here is the particle numbering:
# ve e u d vm mu c s vt ta t b a z w+ w- g h chi f+ f- jet
# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 31 32 33 34 35 41 42 43 44 100
# The respective antiparticles have a minus sign (for example: positron is -2)
# A jet in the final state is denoted by the number 100

# Enter here your additional commands if you wish to alterate the default values
```

- 2007 HELAC: <http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/>
- Generate all subprocesses for pp , $p\bar{p}$ collisions, calculate cross sections, produce Les Houches accord file
- Very easy to use: just edit the `user.inp` file and then execute the command `./run.sh`
- Including kt-reweight for jet matching

- 2007 HELAC: <http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/>
- Generate all subprocesses for pp , $p\bar{p}$ collisions, calculate cross sections, produce Les Houches accord file
- Very easy to use: just edit the `user.inp` file and then execute the command `./run.sh`
- Including kt-reweight for jet matching
- Latest: $W + 5$ jets at LHC

- Tree-order integrated over m -body phase space + SF in $D=4$
- Virtual corrections integrated over m -body phase space + SF in $D=4$
- Real corrections subtracted integrated over $(m+1)$ -body phase space + SF in $D=4$
- I- integrated over m -body phase space + SF in $D=4$
- KP- integrated over m -body phase space + SF integrated over SF, in $D=4$

THE HELAC-NLO ADVENTURE

- **2006** OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.

Based on previous work by Bern, Dixon, Kosower, Britto, Cachazo, Feng.

Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B **425** (1994) 217 [arXiv:hep-ph/9403226].

R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, Nucl. Phys. B **725** (2005) 275 [arXiv:hep-th/0412103].

Complete framework: numerical (fast) & algebraic (stable)

G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, Nucl. Phys. B **763** (2007) 147 [arXiv:hep-ph/0609007].

$$\begin{aligned}
\int A &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \\
&+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2) \\
&+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) B_0(i_0 i_1) \\
&+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) A_0(i_0) \\
&+ \text{rational terms}
\end{aligned}$$

Algebra & Integrals

$$A \rightarrow \frac{N(q)}{\prod D_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Solving for known values of the loop momentum q

R_1 : the rational terms from the reduction itself

- Let's go back to the integrand

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

- Insert the expression for $N(q) \rightarrow$ we know all the coefficients

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d + \tilde{d}(q) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} [c + \tilde{c}(q)] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \cdots$$

- Finally rewrite all denominators using

$$\frac{D_i}{\bar{D}_i} = \bar{Z}_i, \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\bar{q}) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \frac{d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2} \bar{D}_{i_3}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \frac{c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \frac{b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \frac{a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0)}{\bar{D}_{i_0}} \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i
 \end{aligned}$$

The rational part is produced, after integrating over $d^n q$, by the \tilde{q}^2 dependence in \bar{Z}_i

$$\bar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i}\right)$$

The “Extra Integrals” are of the form

$$I_{s;\mu_1\cdots\mu_r}^{(n;2\ell)} \equiv \int d^n q \tilde{q}^{2\ell} \frac{q_{\mu_1} \cdots q_{\mu_r}}{\bar{D}(k_0) \cdots \bar{D}(k_s)},$$

where

$$\bar{D}(k_i) \equiv (\bar{q} + k_i)^2 - m_i^2, \quad k_i = p_i - p_0$$

These integrals:

- have dimensionality $\mathcal{D} = 2(1 + \ell - s) + r$
- contribute only when $\mathcal{D} \geq 0$, otherwise are of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\bar{D}_i = D_i + \tilde{q}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\
 &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$m_i^2 \rightarrow m_i^2 - \tilde{q}^2$$

Polynomial dependence on \tilde{q}^2

$$b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) = b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij), \quad c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) = c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk).$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

Furthermore, by defining

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) \equiv \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i,$$

the following expansion holds

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) = \sum_{j=2}^m \tilde{q}^{(2j-4)} d^{(2j-4)}(q),$$

where the last coefficient is independent on q

$$d^{(2m-4)}(q) = d^{(2m-4)}.$$

In practice, once the 4-dimensional coefficients have been determined, one can redo the fits for different values of \tilde{q}^2 , in order to determine $b^{(2)}(ij)$, $c^{(2)}(ijk)$ and $d^{(2m-4)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 = & -\frac{i}{96\pi^2} d^{(2m-4)} - \frac{i}{32\pi^2} \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c^{(2)}(i_0 i_1 i_2) \\
 & - \frac{i}{32\pi^2} \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b^{(2)}(i_0 i_1) \left(m_{i_0}^2 + m_{i_1}^2 - \frac{(p_{i_0} - p_{i_1})^2}{3} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]

A different source of Rational Terms, called R_2 , can also be generated from the ϵ -dimensional part of $N(q)$

$$\bar{N}(\bar{q}) = N(q) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{q}^2, \epsilon; q)$$

$$R_2 \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{N}(\tilde{q}^2, \epsilon; q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}} \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \bar{q} \mathcal{R}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q} &= q + \tilde{q}, \\ \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\mu}} &= \gamma_{\mu} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{\mu}}, \\ \bar{g}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &= g^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{g}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

UV-behavior: only up to 4-vertices (beyond one loop ?).

Alternatives: GKMZ-approach, Blackhat

- 2006 OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.
- 2007 CutTools: Reduction at the integrand level + rational terms R_1
 - G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP **0803** (2008) 042 [arXiv:0711.3596 [hep-ph]].
 - G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP **0805** (2008) 004 [arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]].

- 2006 OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.
- 2007 CutTools: Reduction at the integrand level + rational terms R_1
- OneLoop: One-loop scalar integrals in dimensional regularization (UV+IR) including complex masses

- **2006** OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.
- **2007** CutTools: Reduction at the integrand level + rational terms R_1
- **OneLoop**: One-loop scalar integrals in dimensional regularization (UV+IR) including complex masses
- **2008** HELAC-1LOOP: Based on HELAC to produce virtual one-loop amplitudes

- 2006 OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.
- 2007 CutTools: Reduction at the integrand level + rational terms R_1
- OneLoop: One-loop scalar integrals in dimensional regularization (UV+IR) including complex masses
- 2008 HELAC-1LOOP: Based on HELAC to produce virtual one-loop amplitudes

A. van Hameren, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP **0909** (2009) 106 [arXiv:0903.4665 [hep-ph]].

- 2006 OPP: The method that enables us to think seriously about NLO calculations.
- 2007 CutTools: Reduction at the integrand level + rational terms R_1
- OneLoop: One-loop scalar integrals in dimensional regularization (UV+IR) including complex masses
- 2008 HELAC-1LOOP: Based on HELAC to produce virtual one-loop amplitudes
- 2009 HELAC-Dipoles: Based on HELAC to *automatically* produce Catani-Seymour dipoles, I-operator, KP-operator, arbitrary masses

M. Czakon, C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, JHEP **0908** (2009) 085 [arXiv:0905.0883 [hep-ph]].

- Complete software for NLO-QCD at LHC:

LO: highly automated

Virtual: very efficient

Real: KP- and I-operator contributions also very efficient,

Real-subtracted: quite efficient taking into account the current theoretical developments

- Complete software for NLO-QCD at LHC:

LO: highly automated

Virtual: very efficient

Real: KP- and I-operator contributions also very efficient,

Real-subtracted: quite efficient taking into account the current theoretical developments

- Speed, stability, efficiency issues under control

Improvements in PS for real corrections under investigation (KALEU from A. van Hameren). Towards an order of magnitude improvement !

- **Complete software for NLO-QCD at LHC:**
 - LO: highly automated
 - Virtual: very efficient
 - Real: KP- and I-operator contributions also very efficient,
 - Real-subtracted: quite efficient taking into account the current theoretical developments
- **Speed, stability, efficiency issues under control**

Improvements in PS for real corrections under investigation (KALEU from A. van Hameren). Towards an order of magnitude improvement !
- **Provide NLO calculator for all processes $2 \rightarrow n$ with 6-7 particles attached to the loop**

Providing a library of preconfigured setups for all (6-7 particles attached to the loop, up to 12 particles overall) processes of interest for LHC

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$: proof-of-concept

G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C. G. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau and M. Worek, JHEP **0909** (2009) 109 [arXiv:0907.4723 [hep-ph]].

M. Worek, JHEP **1202** (2012) 043 [arXiv:1112.4325 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + j + j$: one of the most advanced

G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 162002 [arXiv:1002.4009 [hep-ph]].

G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 114017 [arXiv:1108.2851 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ including $(t\bar{t})$: finite width and complex masses

G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, A. van Hameren, C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, JHEP **1102** (2011) 083 [arXiv:1012.4230 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$: BSM phenomenology

G. Bevilacqua and M. Worek, JHEP **1207** (2012) 111 [arXiv:1206.3064 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + j \oplus$ PS : interfacing with Parton Shower at NLO (POWHEG)

A. Kardos, C. Papadopoulos and Z. Trocsanyi, Phys. Lett. B **705** (2011) 76 [arXiv:1101.2672 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + H \oplus$ PS

M. V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos and Z. Trocsanyi, Europhys. Lett. **96** (2011) 11001 [arXiv:1108.0387 [hep-ph]].

- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + Z/W^\pm \oplus$ PS

M. V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos and Z. Trocsanyi, arXiv:1208.2665 [hep-ph].

WHAT DO WE NEED AT NNLO ?

- Virtual: n -particle two-loop amplitudes
- Real-Virtual: $n + 1$ -particle real times one-loop
- Real-Real: $n + 2$ -particle real

WHAT DO WE NEED AT NNLO ?

- Virtual: n -particle two-loop amplitudes
- Real-Virtual: $n + 1$ -particle real times one-loop
- Real-Real: $n + 2$ -particle real

Recent work on two-loop amplitudes

P. Mastrolia and G. Ossola, JHEP **1111** (2011) 014 [arXiv:1107.6041 [hep-ph]].

D. A. Kosower and K. J. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 045017 [arXiv:1108.1180 [hep-th]].

H. Johansson, D. A. Kosower and K. J. Larsen, arXiv:1208.1754 [hep-th].

S. Badger, H. Frellesvig and Y. Zhang, JHEP **1204** (2012) 055 [arXiv:1202.2019 [hep-ph]].


S. Badger, H. Frellesvig and Y. Zhang, JHEP **1208** (2012) 065 [arXiv:1207.2976 [hep-ph]].

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

- Reduction at the integrand level
- Master Integrals

- Reduction at the integrand level
- Master Integrals
- Generic two-loop graph: iGraph

R. H. P. Kleiss, I. Malamos, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Verheyen, arXiv:1206.4180 [hep-ph].



$$D(l_1 + p_i), D(l_2 + p_j), D(l_1 + l_2 + p_k)$$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general strategy consists in finding function $x_j \equiv x_j(l_1, l_2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j D(l_1 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} x_j D(l_1 + l_2 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+n_2+1}^n x_j D(l_2 + p_j) = 1 .$$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general strategy consists in finding function $x_j \equiv x_j(l_1, l_2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j D(l_1 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} x_j D(l_1 + l_2 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+n_2+1}^n x_j D(l_2 + p_j) = 1 .$$

Let us go a step back at one loop

$$1 = T_1(q)D_1 + T_2(q)D_2 + \dots + T_n(q)D_n$$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general strategy consists in finding function $x_j \equiv x_j(l_1, l_2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j D(l_1 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} x_j D(l_1 + l_2 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+n_2+1}^n x_j D(l_2 + p_j) = 1 .$$

Let us go a step back at one loop

$$1 = T_1(q)D_1 + T_2(q)D_2 + \dots + T_n(q)D_n$$

Constant terms: $T_j(q) = x_j$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general strategy consists in finding function $x_j \equiv x_j(l_1, l_2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j D(l_1 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} x_j D(l_1 + l_2 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+n_2+1}^n x_j D(l_2 + p_j) = 1 .$$

Let us go a step back at one loop

$$1 = T_1(q)D_1 + T_2(q)D_2 + \dots + T_n(q)D_n$$

Constant terms: $T_j(q) = x_j$

$$q^2 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + 2q_\mu \sum_{j=1}^n x_j p_j^\mu + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mu_j = 1 .$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j p_j^\mu = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mu_j = 1$$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general strategy consists in finding function $x_j \equiv x_j(l_1, l_2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j D(l_1 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} x_j D(l_1 + l_2 + p_j) + \sum_{j=n_1+n_2+1}^n x_j D(l_2 + p_j) = 1 .$$

Let us go a step back at one loop

$$1 = T_1(q)D_1 + T_2(q)D_2 + \dots + T_n(q)D_n$$

Constant terms: $T_j(q) = x_j$

$$q^2 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + 2q_\mu \sum_{j=1}^n x_j p_j^\mu + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mu_j = 1 .$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j p_j^\mu = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mu_j = 1$$

- solution exists for $n = 6 \quad d = 4$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

Linear terms $T(q) = P_1(q)$, count tensor structures:

$$1, \quad q^\mu, \quad q^\mu q^\nu, \quad q^2 q^\mu.$$

There are, for $d = 4$, therefore $1+4+10+4 = 19$ independent tensor structures.

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

Linear terms $T(q) = P_1(q)$, count tensor structures:

$$1, q^\mu, q^\mu q^\nu, q^2 q^\mu.$$

There are, for $d = 4$, therefore $1+4+10+4 = 19$ independent tensor structures.

In d dimensions, tensor up to rank k , $N(d, k)$ number of independent tensor structures

$$N(d, k) = \binom{d-1+k}{k} + \sum_{p=0}^{k+1} \binom{d-1+p}{p}. \quad (1)$$

In the table below we give the results for various ranks and dimensionalities.

k	0	1	2	3	4
$d=1$	3	4	5	6	7
2	4	8	13	19	26
3	5	13	26	45	71
4	6	19	45	90	161
5	7	26	71	161	322
6	8	34	105	266	588

Values of $N(d, k)$

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The OPP-"miracle" is that the OPP equation works with only 10(6) different coefficients

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 D_i(q)(c_i^{(0)} + c_i^{(1)}\epsilon_i(q))$$

all $c_i^{(1)}$ being equal! rank deficient problems

TWO-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The OPP-"miracle" is that the OPP equation works with only 10(6) different coefficients

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 D_i(q)(c_i^{(0)} + c_i^{(1)}\epsilon_i(q))$$

all $c_i^{(1)}$ being equal! rank deficient problems

Back to two loops: iGraphs can be denoted by the triplet (n_1, n_2, n_3) ,
 $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$

$$n_{1,2,3} \leq 4 (= d) \quad , \quad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq 11 (= 2d + 3) .$$

LINEAR TERMS

$$x_i = a_i + \sum_j b_{ij}(l_1 \cdot t_j) + \sum_j c_{ij}(l_2 \cdot t_j)$$

$$T(d) = (4d^2 + 18d + 2)/2$$

n	$d = 6$	$d = 5$	$d = 4$	$d = 3$	$d = 2$	$d = 1$
3	39-0	33-0	27-0	21-0	15-0	9-0
4	52-0	44-0	36-0	28-0	20-0	12-2
5	65-1	55-1	45-1	35-1	25-1	15-5
6	78-3	66-3	54-3	42-3	30-3	
7	91-6	77-6	63-6	49-6	35-8	
8	104-10	88-10	72-10	56-10		
9	111-15	99-15	81-15	63-17		
10	130-21	110-21	90-21			
11	143-28	121-28	99-30			
12	156-36	132-36				
13	169-45	143-47				
14	182-55					
15	195-55					
$T(d)$	127	96	69	46	27	10

$$x_i = a_i + \sum_j b_{ij}(l_1 \cdot t_j) + \sum_j c_{ij}(l_2 \cdot t_j) + \sum_{j \leq k} d_{ijk}(l_1 \cdot t_j)(l_1 \cdot t_k) + \dots$$

$$T(d) = 4d^3/3 + 10d^2 + 20d/3 - 2 \quad (2)$$

n	$d = 4$	$d = 3$	$d = 2$
3	135-4	84-3	45-3
4	180-6	128-6	60-6
5	225-18	140-16	75-15
6	270-38	168-32	90-30
7	315-65	196-53	
8	360-98	224-80	
9	405-136	252-108	
10	450-180		
11	495-225		
$T(d)$	270	144	60

CUBIC TERMS

$$x_i = a_i + \sum_j b_{ij}(l_1 \cdot t_j) + \dots + \sum_{j \leq k} g_{ijkl}(l_1 \cdot t_j)(l_1 \cdot t_k)(l_1 \cdot t_l) + \dots$$

$$T(d) = 2d^4/3 + 22d^3/3 + 71d^2/6 + d/6 + 1$$

n	$d = 6$	$d = 5$	$d = 4$	$d = 3$
5				420/332
6				504/352
7			1155/803	588/360
8			1320/823	672/360
9		2574/1603	1485/831	
10		2860/1623	1650/831	
11	5005/2848	3146/1631		
12	5460/2868	3432/1631		
13	5915/2876			
14	6370/2876			
$T(d)$	2876	1631	831	360

$$1 = \sum D_i R_i + \sum D_i D_j R_{ij} + \sum D_i D_j D_k R_{ijk} + \dots$$

$$1 = \sum D_i R_i + \sum D_i D_j R_{ij} + \sum D_i D_j D_k R_{ijk} + \dots$$

- Reducible scalar products RSP give rise to terms with higher powers of D_i ,

$$D \otimes RSP \rightarrow D \otimes D$$

$$1 = \sum D_i R_i + \sum D_i D_j R_{ij} + \sum D_i D_j D_k R_{ijk} + \dots$$

- Reducible scalar products RSP give rise to terms with higher powers of D_i ,

$$D \otimes RSP \rightarrow D \otimes D$$

- Parametrizing the "residue" functions with irreducible scalar products ISP

$$R = D \otimes ISP$$

$$1 = \sum D_i R_i + \sum D_i D_j R_{ij} + \sum D_i D_j D_k R_{ijk} + \dots$$

- Reducible scalar products RSP give rise to terms with higher powers of D_i ,

$$D \otimes RSP \rightarrow D \otimes D$$

- Parametrizing the "residue" functions with irreducible scalar products ISP

$$R = D \otimes ISP$$

- Solving the master equation

$$1 = 1$$

$$1 = \sum D_i R_i + \sum D_i D_j R_{ij} + \sum D_i D_j D_k R_{ijk} + \dots$$

- Reducible scalar products RSP give rise to terms with higher powers of D_i ,

$$D \otimes RSP \rightarrow D \otimes D$$

- Parametrizing the "residue" functions with irreducible scalar products ISP


$$R = D \otimes ISP$$

- Solving the master equation

$$1 = 1$$

- Rational terms $R_1 + R_2$?


- The NLO revolution



1979: NLO Drell-Yan [Altarelli, Ellis & Martinelli]

- The NLO revolution

The NLO revolution




1987: NLO high- p_t photoproduction [Aurenche et al]

1988: NLO $b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$ [Nason et al]

1993: dijets, V_j [JETRAD, Giele, Glover & Kosower]

- The NLO revolution

The NLO revolution



1998: NLO $Wb\bar{b}$ [MCFM: Ellis & Veseli]

2000: NLO $Zb\bar{b}$ [MCFM: Campbell & Ellis]


2001: NLO $3j$ [NLOJet++: Nagy]

...

2007: NLO $t\bar{t}j$ [Dittmaier, Uwer & Weinzierl '07]

...

- The NLO revolution



2009: NLO $W+3j$ [Rocket: Ellis, Melnikov & Zanderighi]

[unitarity]

2009: NLO $W+3j$ [BlackHat: Berger et al]

[unitarity]

2009: NLO $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ [Bredenstein et al]

[traditional]

2009: NLO $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ [HELAC-NLO: Bevilacqua et al]

[unitarity]

2009: NLO $q\bar{q} \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ [Golem: Binoth et al]

[traditional]

2010: NLO $t\bar{t}jj$ [HELAC-NLO: Bevilacqua et al]

[unitarity]

2010: NLO $Z+3j$ [BlackHat: Berger et al]

[unitarity]

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come
 - In a few years a new "wish list"
 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$, $pp \rightarrow W/Z + nj$, $pp \rightarrow H + nj$

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come
 - In a few years a new "wish list"
 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$, $pp \rightarrow W/Z + nj$, $pp \rightarrow H + nj$
 - Virtual amplitudes: Reduction at the integrand level \oplus IBP

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come
 - In a few years a new "wish list"
 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$, $pp \rightarrow W/Z + nj$, $pp \rightarrow H + nj$
 - Virtual amplitudes: Reduction at the integrand level \oplus IBP
 - Master Integrals (SecDec) (e.g. Sophia Borowka talk)

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come
 - In a few years a new "wish list"
 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}, pp \rightarrow W^+W^-, pp \rightarrow W/Z + nj, pp \rightarrow H + nj$
 - Virtual amplitudes: Reduction at the integrand level \oplus IBP
 - Master Integrals (SecDec) (e.g. Sophia Borowka talk)
 - Virtual-Real (e.g. Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder talk)

I. Bierenbaum, M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Nucl. Phys. B **856** (2012) 228 [arXiv:1107.4384 [hep-ph]].

- The NLO revolution
- The NNLO revolution to come
 - In a few years a new "wish list"
 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$, $pp \rightarrow W/Z + nj$, $pp \rightarrow H + nj$
 - Virtual amplitudes: Reduction at the integrand level \oplus IBP
 - Master Integrals (SecDec) (e.g. Sophia Borowka talk)
 - Virtual-Real (e.g. Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder talk)

I. Bierenbaum, M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Nucl. Phys. B **856** (2012) 228 [arXiv:1107.4384 [hep-ph]].

- Real-Real (e.g. Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder talk)

M. Czakon, Phys. Lett. B **693** (2010) 259 [arXiv:1005.0274 [hep-ph]].

Current

G. Bevilacqua

M. Czakon

M. Garzelli

A. van Hameren

A. Kardos

J. Malamos

C.G. Papadopoulos

R. Pittau

Z. Trocsanyi

M. Worek

bevilacqua@inp.demokritos.gr

mczakon@physik.rwth-aachen.de

garzelli@to.infn.it

Andre.Hameren@ifj.edu.pl

kardos.adam@science.unideb.hu

J.Malamos@science.ru.nl

costas.papadopoulos@cern.ch

pittau@ugr.es

Zoltan.Trocsanyi@cern.ch

worek@physik.uni-wuppertal.de

Contributors

A. Kanaki

A. Cafarella

P. Draggiotis

G. Ossola

For those who are interested in more details ...

For those who are interested in more details ...



... have a drink with me.