T-duality covariant formulations of supergravities: Double Field Theory & Generalized Geometry

André Betz

LMU

July 2, 2012

André Betz









André Betz

Strings in toroidal backgrounds

- compactified string coordinates: $x^i = (x^\mu, x^a), \quad x^a \sim x^a + 2\pi$
- quantized momenta: $p_i = (k_\mu, p_a)$
- additional winding modes: w^a
 - \rightarrow conjugated coordinates: $\tilde{x}_i = (0, \tilde{x}_a), \qquad \tilde{x}_a \sim \tilde{x}_a + 2\pi$

possibility of two sets of coordinates x, \tilde{x}

T-duality

review: Giveon et al., hep-th/9401139

- string theory experiences T-duality in toroidal backgrounds
- T-duality exchanges momenta p and winding w → "dual" sets of coordinates x, x̃
- equivalence of string theories on dual backgrounds with different geometry
 - example: torus + B-field \sim twisted torus
- Buscher rules transform backgrounds with isometries
 → mix metric g and B-field b
- extension to the T-duality group O(d, d) $\tilde{E} = h(E) = (aE + b)(cE + d)^{-1}, E = g + B, h \in O(d, d)$

André Betz

Non-geometry

Hull, hep-th/0406102

- T-duality transf. can lead to non-geometric backgrounds
 - i.e. patching backgrounds with T-duality transition functions
 - ightarrow leave standard differential geometry (diffeomorphisms)
- geometric picture arises from doubling the number of dimensions (use both sets of coordinates x, x)
 - examples: T-fold, doubled geometry
- 4D SUGRA: "non-geometric" flux terms in the potential
 → interesting phenomenology (de Sitter solutions)

New formalisms provide better control on 4D SUGRA models!

Gen. metric formulation of double field theory (DFT)

Zwiebach et al., hep-th/0904.4664 Hohm et al., hep-th/1006.4823

• origin in massless subsector of string field theory

• fields of DFT: gen. metric $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{ij} & -g^{ik}b_{kj} \\ b_{ik}g^{kj} & g_{ij} - b_{ik}g^{kl}b_{lj} \end{pmatrix}$ and gen. dilaton d,

•
$$O(D, D)$$
-notation: $X^M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_i \\ x^i \end{pmatrix}$, $\partial_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\partial}^i \\ \partial_i \end{pmatrix}$, $\eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

- T-duality transf.: $\mathcal{H}'(X') = h\mathcal{H}(X)h^t$,
- coordinate transf.: X' = hX, $h \in O(D, D)$
 - $S_{DFT} = \int dx d ilde{x} \, \, \mathcal{L}_{DFT}(\mathcal{H}, d)$

 $\mathcal{L}_{DFT}(\mathcal{H},d) \sim \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{H}_{KL}, \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M d \partial_N d, \dots$

$$ightarrow$$
 manifestly T-duality covariant

André Betz

• DFT action:

Gen. Lie derivative, C-bracket & strong constraint

- gauge transf. governed by a generalized Lie derivative L̂ and the C-bracket [,]_C on double fields
 → encode diffeomorphisms and B-field transformations
- strong constraint:

$$\eta^{MN}\partial_M\partial_N A = \partial^M A\partial_M B = 0$$

ightarrow fields depend only on half of the coordinates $x, ilde{x}$

André Betz

A geometric framework for DFT

Can we find a geometric framework for DFT?

- i.e. mimic general relativity on double space x, \tilde{x}
 - use O(D, D) instead of diffeomorphisms
 - unique Levi-Civita connection Γ (abla g = 0 and torsion-free)
 - torsion tensor $T(v, w) = \nabla_v w \nabla_w v [v, w]$
 - Riemannian tensor $R(u, v)w = [\nabla_u, \nabla_v]w \nabla_{[u,v]}w$
 - Einstein-Hilbert like action $S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-gR}$

A geometric framework for DFT

Can we find a geometric framework for DFT?

- i.e. mimic general relativity on double space x, \tilde{x}
 - use O(D, D) instead of diffeomorphisms
 - unique Levi-Civita connection Γ (abla g = 0 and torsion-free)
 - torsion tensor $T(v, w) = \nabla_v w \nabla_w v [v, w]$
 - Riemannian tensor $R(u, v)w = [\nabla_u, \nabla_v]w \nabla_{[u,v]}w$
 - Einstein-Hilbert like action $S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R$

It is not as straightforward as it seems!

Siegel, hep-th/9302036

Siegel's formalism & Projection-compatible geometry

• introduce a conn. Ω for tan. space structure $GL(D) \times GL(D)$

mimic the construction of Levi-Civita connection Γ in GR

- generalized tangent metric compatibility
- generalized torsion constraint
- treat the gen. dilaton

gen. analogue Ω of the Levi-Civita connection Γ is not unique

 another approach: projection-compatible geometry uses unique, semi-covariant derivative

Park et al., hep-th/1105.6294

André Betz

Siegel, hep-th/9302036

Siegel's formalism & Projection-compatible geometry

• introduce a conn. Ω for tan. space structure $GL(D) \times GL(D)$

mimic the construction of Levi-Civita connection Γ in GR

- generalized tangent metric compatibility
- generalized torsion constraint
- treat the gen. dilaton

gen. analogue Ω of the Levi-Civita connection Γ is not unique

 another approach: projection-compatible geometry uses unique, semi-covariant derivative

Park et al., hep-th/1105.6294

Similarities to generalized geometry, so curvature in a minute!

André Betz

Generalized Geometry (GG)

Hitchin, math/0209099 Gualtieri, math/0401221

• generalized tangent bundle E over d-dimensional manifold M

 $0 \rightarrow T^*M \rightarrow E \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0$

- generalized sections of E mix one-forms and vectors
 → doubling of dimensions only in the fiber
- natural action of one-forms on vectors \rightarrow yields O(D, D)-metric η
- introduce generalized frame fields $\{E_A = E^M{}_A \partial_M\}$
- structure of E is governed by a generalized Lie derivative L
 and the Courant-bracket [,]_{Courant} on gen. sections

André Betz

Gen. analogue of Levi-Civita connection in GG

Waldram et al., hep-th/1107.1733

 define a gen. covariant derivative D on sections of E by embedding a standard covariant derivative ∇

mimic the construction of Levi-Civita connection Γ in GR

- gen. metric compatibility: embed Levi-Civita connection Γ
- generalized torsion-freeness

gen. analogue \mathcal{D}^{Γ} of the Levi-Civita conn. Γ is not unique

André Betz

Gen. curvature and the link between DFT & GG

Can we yet define unique generalized curvature objects?

- not possible to find a unique gen. Riemann tensor
- but unique gen. Ricci tensor and scalar do exist

$$\mathcal{R}_{\textit{Siegel}} = \textit{e}_{\textit{a}}\Omega^{\textit{a}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_{\textit{a}}^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}\Omega_{\textit{ab}\overline{c}}^2 - \tfrac{1}{12}\Omega_{\left[\textit{abc}\right]}^2$$

- Einstein-Hilbert like action: $S_{DFT} \equiv \int dx d\tilde{x} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}_{Siegel}$ Hohm et al., hep-th/1011.4101
- equivalence of gen. curvature objects of DFT and GG

$$\mathcal{R}_{Siegel}|_{\tilde{\partial}=0}\equiv\mathcal{R}_{GG}$$

Double Field Theory and Generalized Geometry are locally equivalent for vanishing dual coordinates

• possible reduction to NS-NS sector of supergravities of type II $S^* = \int dx \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} (R + 4\Box\phi - 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}H^2)$

André Betz

Conclusion & Outlook

DFT & GG are T-duality covariant formulations of supergravities with an underlying geometric description!

- use new formalisms for studying non-geometry
 - non-geometry in generalized geometry \rightarrow bivector β instead of 2-form B
 - better understanding of non-geometric fluxes in 10D SUGRA

Andriot, Larfors, Lüst, Patalong, hep-th/1204.1979

- $\bullet\,$ compactification in the context of DFT and GG
 - \rightarrow phenomenological models with non-geometric fluxes in 4D
- generalization to other dualities or stringy symmetries
 - \rightarrow U-duality and exceptional groups $E_{D(D)}$

Waldram et al., hep-th/1112.3989

André Betz