# Segmented Broad Energy Germanium Detectors

### Motivation

>Germanium Detectors

≻Summary



Speaker: Heng-Ye Liao Author: Heng-Ye Liao+Burçin Dönmez on behalf of GERDA+GeDet Collaboration

Young Scientist Workshop 2012

@ Ringberg Castle, München, 24/07/2012



### **GERmanium Detector Array**







### **Physics Motivation**

- Do we understand neutrinos?
   No! Still many open questions
- We only have information on the squared mass difference between the eigenstates
   ⇒ Absolute mass scale is still unknown
- We do not know the sign of ∆m<sub>32</sub>
   ⇒ Mass hierarchy is still unknown
- Are neutrinos their own antiparticle,
   i.e. Majorana particles?
  - ⇒ Nature of the neutrinos is still unknown



#### Searching for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay



- ■ $2\nu\beta\beta$  decay: (A,Z) → (A,Z+2) +2e<sup>-</sup>+2ν SM allowed & observed
- Ovββ decay: ΔL=2

  (A,Z) → (A,Z+2) +2e<sup>-</sup>
  if vs Majorana & have mass

  ⇒ Signature:

  Sharp peak at Q-value of the decay



### **Background & Motivation**

- This peak is NOT big enough & there are other backgrounds
- Avoid background:
  - Store enriched material underground
  - Avoid cosmic muons by going deep underground
  - Compact shielding design
  - Minimize material close to detectors

•••••

Background is unavoidable, you always need to recognize background ⇒ Build intelligent detectors

⇒ topic of the talk today

#### Example for Intelligent Design – Segmented Germanium Detectors



n-type true coaxial inner Φ 10mm outer Φ 75mm height 69.8mm 1.632kg Bias 3kV



"snap" contacts with Kapton cable and PTFE button

19g Cu, 7g PTFE, 2.5g Kapton per detector





### Signal & Background





localized deposit single site event

several deposits multi site event

### A Real Example



# Any other good detector design?

# Broad Energy Germanium Detector (BEGe)





Barbeau, et al. JCAP09 (2007) 009

**BEGe Advantages:** 

- Smaller p+ electrode ⇒ less capacitance
   ⇒ less noise
- Favorable internal electric field distribution
   Powerful PSD capability

### Pulse Shape Discrimination of BEGe



D. Budjáš et al., JINST 4:P10007,2009 M. Agostini et al., JINST 6:P03005, 2011

### **BEGe V.S. Segmented HPGe**

### **Segmented HPGe**

Disadvantages:

- Big Capacity→Noisy
- Short Drift Length → Short rise time
- Segmentation → More material

Advantages:

 More information for event topologies

### BEGe

#### Advantages:

- Low capacity→Low noise
- Low field in bulk →Long Drift
- Powerful MSE discrimination

Disadvantages:

 Only 1D hit-separation sensitivity

### Can we improve current detector geometries?

### When BEGe meets Segmented HPGe...



# Segmented BEGe





# Segmented BEGe



- Break degeneracy in r and  $\phi$  coordinate
- Measure time over threshold ( $\Delta t$ ), trigger time( $t_0$ ) & Amplitude
- Systematically study charge collection efficiency

# Segmented BEGe

#### How to study?

#### By pulse shape simulation.

#### Why pulse shape simulation?

- Help on detector design
- Improve the understanding of Germanium detector ex. Impurity distribution
- Estimate efficiency of pulse shape analysis(PSA) ex. SSE, MSE
- Tool for real data analysis ex. Build PS pool for analysis

#### Which kind of simulation tools are we using?

- Electrode design & field calculation are done on Maxwell
- Pulse shape simulations are performed in MaGe.

# **Design Evolution**

#### **Several Designs are considered**



### Segmented BEGe Dector - First Prototype





Detector dimensions. Height is 40 mm, diameter is 75 mm and point contact diameter is 15 mm.

- n-type detector.
- 3 Detector bias is 4500 V.
- 4 Linear Impurity density.  $0.7 \times 10^{10} cm^{-3}$ (bottom) and  $1.5 \times 10^{10} cm^{-3}$  (top).

### Segmented BEGe Dector

### **Example:** Event located at r=20mm, $\phi$ =30<sup>0</sup>, z=20mm.



### Thorough Scan for All the Detector volume

 $\phi = 60^{\circ}$ 



### Segment 1 - Time Over Threshold



### Segment 1 - Time Over Threshold







- Designed a novel detector geometry for future germanium detectors
- First prototype has been designed
- Development of PSA tools to study event topologies is on the way

# **Backup Slides**

Design 4A vs Design 5A vs Design 6A

# r dependence of the signal induced on the segments at $\phi=30^\circ$ and z=35 mm.



### What's Pulse Shape Simulation...



# Flow Chart of PSS

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Electric Field Simulation**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Bias + Impurity = Total

#### Installation of phase I detectors : 228Th calibration measurement

Detector	Total	HV <sub>dep</sub> , V	HV, V	<b>FWHM (2.6 MeV)</b>		LC,
	mass, g			MCA	FADC	pA
Enriched						
ANG 1	958	3000	4000	3.6	3.8	40
ANG 2	2833	3000	3500	4.4-4.5	4.6	20
ANG 3	2391	3000	3500	4.4-4.6	4.9	<10
ANG 4	2372	2800	3200	4.0-4.5	4.4	<10
ANG 5	2746	1000	2000	4.0	4.2	<10
RG 1	2110	4200	4500	4.4-4.5	4.8	<10
RG 2	2166	3800	4000	4.7-5.0	5.1	<10
RG 3	2087	3300	3300	5.4 (6 µs)	6.1	1360
Non-enriched						
GTF 112	2957	2000	3000	3.7	4.3	<10

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)