

Vacuum Persistence in Massive Gravity

Sungmin Hwang

Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich

sungmin.hwang@physik.uni-muenchen.de

November 26, 2012

Why massive gravity?

A possible solution to the cosmological constant problem.

Why massive gravity?

A possible solution to the cosmological constant problem.

- What is the cosmological constant problem?

Why massive gravity?

A possible solution to the cosmological constant problem.

- What is the cosmological constant problem?
- What is the vacuum persistence?

The cosmological constant problem

The cosmological constant problem

- The Einstein equations from general relativity

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda \leq 10^{-48} \text{GeV}^4 \quad (2)$$

by the observation.

The cosmological constant problem

- The Einstein equations from general relativity

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda \leq 10^{-48} \text{GeV}^4 \quad (2)$$

by the observation.

- The vacuum energy density from quantum field theory

$$\Lambda \sim E_{\text{UV}}^4 \geq 10^2 \text{GeV}^4 \text{ at EW scale} \quad (3)$$

Discrepancy is at least of order of 56!!

- The gravitational potential

$$V(r) \sim \frac{1}{r} e^{-mr} \quad (4)$$

Explains the smallness of the observed value of the vacuum energy density

Massive gravity in a bigger set-up

- View massive gravity as an effective description of some underlying theory.
- The underlying theory does not necessarily violate 4-dimensional general covariance (e.g. DGP-model).

Vacuum persistence amplitude (VPA)

- Vacuum to vacuum transition, given there exist external sources exchanging a particle

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_J \sim \exp\left\{\int J(x)G(x,y)J(y)\right\} \quad (5)$$

J is an external source. For any sensible quantum theory the mod of this expression is constrained to lie between zero and one.

Outline

- Massive gravity in flat background

- Massive gravity in flat background
- Vacuum in curved background

- Massive gravity in flat background
- Vacuum in curved background
- Massive gravity in de Sitter background

1. Massive gravity in flat background -Linearized gravity

1. Massive gravity in flat background -Linearized gravity

- Massless gravity from the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S = M_{pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} \quad (6)$$

1. Massive gravity in flat background -Linearized gravity

- Massless gravity from the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S = M_{pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} \quad (6)$$

- Linearize the action in flat background

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(x), \text{ for } |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1 \quad (7)$$

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x h^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} h^{\rho\sigma} \quad (8)$$

after canonical normalization.

1. Massive gravity in flat background - Mass term

1. Massive gravity in flat background - Mass term

- Include a generic mass term into the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[h^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} h^{\rho\sigma} + m^2 (\alpha h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \beta h^2) \right] \quad (9)$$

where α and β are arbitrary real coefficients, and have to be tuned for stability of the theory.

1. Massive gravity in flat background - Mass term

- Include a generic mass term into the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[h^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} h^{\rho\sigma} + m^2 (\alpha h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \beta h^2) \right] \quad (9)$$

where α and β are arbitrary real coefficients, and have to be tuned for stability of the theory.

- Two ways of tuning coefficients:
 1. decompose $h_{\mu\nu}$ into tensor, vector and scalar components
 2. Stueckelberg formalism

1. Massive gravity in flat background - Fierz-Pauli theory

- Fierz-Pauli form of the mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{2}m^2(h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - h^2) \quad (10)$$

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (1)

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (1)

- VPA of the massive spin-2 in flat background

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \int d^4x' T^{\mu\nu}(x) G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(x, x') T^{\rho\sigma}(x')\right\} \quad (11)$$

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (2)

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (2)

- VPA in momentum space

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{|T^{\mu\nu}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}|T|^2}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}\right\} \quad (12)$$

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (2)

- VPA in momentum space

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{|T^{\mu\nu}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}|T|^2}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}\right\} \quad (12)$$

- Q: How do we evaluate this integral?

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (2)

- VPA in momentum space

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{|T^{\mu\nu}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}|T|^2}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}\right\} \quad (12)$$

- Q: How do we evaluate this integral?
- A: The easiest one is by specifying the external source

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = M\delta_0^\mu\delta_0^\nu\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (13)$$

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (3)

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (3)

- Taking the Wick rotation, the amplitude simplified

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{3} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} |T(k)|^2\right\} \quad (14)$$

k^2 has an Euclidean signature, so the integrand is strictly positive.

1. Massive gravity in flat background - VPA (3)

- Taking the Wick rotation, the amplitude simplified

$$\langle 0|0\rangle_T = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{3} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} |T(k)|^2\right\} \quad (14)$$

k^2 has an Euclidean signature, so the integrand is strictly positive.

- Massive gravity in flat spacetime is a healthy theory at the tree level!!

2. Vacuum in curved background (1)

2. Vacuum in curved background (1)

- Symmetry groups of spacetime
 1. Flat - Poincare group
 2. Curved - General Covariance

2. Vacuum in curved background (1)

- Symmetry groups of spacetime
 1. Flat - Poincare group
 2. Curved - General Covariance
- No canonical choice of timelike killing vectors in GR
 - Bogolyubov transformations
 - No preferred basis in Hilbert space

2. Vacuum in curved background (1)

- Symmetry groups of spacetime
 1. Flat - Poincare group
 2. Curved - General Covariance
- No canonical choice of timelike killing vectors in GR
 - Bogolyubov transformations
 - No preferred basis in Hilbert space
- A vacuum w.r.t. an “a-particle” state is not necessarily a vacuum w.r.t. a “b-particle” state.

2. Vacuum in curved background (2)

2. Vacuum in curved background (2)

- Still can approximately analyze the vacuum stability with imposing some special conditions on the background.

2. Vacuum in curved background (2)

- Still can approximately analyze the vacuum stability with imposing some special conditions on the background.
- A non-minimally coupled free massive scalar field theory in flat FRW universe

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\eta d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi + \left(m^2 a^2 - (1 - 6\xi) \frac{a''}{a} \right) \phi^2 \right] \quad (15)$$

2. Vacuum in curved background (2)

- Still can approximately analyze the vacuum stability with imposing some special conditions on the background.
- A non-minimally coupled free massive scalar field theory in flat FRW universe

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\eta d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi + \left(m^2 a^2 - (1 - 6\xi) \frac{a''}{a} \right) \phi^2 \right] \quad (15)$$

- Effective mass term changes w.r.t. a (conformal) time.

2. Adiabatic vacuum (1)

- EOM for a harmonic oscillator

$$\phi_k'' + \left(k^2 + m^2 a^2 - (1 - 6\xi) \frac{a''}{a} \right) \phi_k = 0 \quad (16)$$

Difficult to choose a basis. One can assume the slow expansion of the universe, and the solution is of a WKB type.

- We solve up to a second adiabatic order and its corresponding vacuum is a “second adiabatic vacuum”.

2. Adiabatic vacuum (2)

2. Adiabatic vacuum (2)

- Slow expansion of the universe? Comparing to what?

2. Adiabatic vacuum (2)

- Slow expansion of the universe? Comparing to what?
- Assume the period of oscillation much smaller than the adiabatic time T (Hubble time); i.e., the wavelength of the scalar field much smaller than the Hubble radius

$$\lambda_k \ll H^{-1} \quad (17)$$

2. Adiabatic vacuum (2)

- Slow expansion of the universe? Comparing to what?
- Assume the period of oscillation much smaller than the adiabatic time T (Hubble time); i.e., the wavelength of the scalar field much smaller than the Hubble radius

$$\lambda_k \ll H^{-1} \quad (17)$$

- In de Sitter spacetime, this relation translates into the comparison between size of the normal neighborhood and the curvature scale

$$y^2 \ll \Lambda \quad (18)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Linearization

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Linearization

- Linearized EH (after canonical normalization)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{EH} &= \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\alpha h_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\sigma h_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^\rho h - 2\nabla^\alpha h^\rho_\alpha) \nabla_\rho h \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(h^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right) (\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda) + \left(2h^{\alpha\sigma} h^\beta_\sigma - h h^{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (19)\end{aligned}$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Linearization

- Linearized EH (after canonical normalization)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{EH} = & \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\alpha h_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\sigma h_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^\rho h - 2\nabla^\alpha h^\rho_\alpha) \nabla_\rho h \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(h^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right) (\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda) + \left(2h^{\alpha\sigma} h^\beta_\sigma - h h^{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (19)\end{aligned}$$

- Non-minimal coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi = -\frac{1}{2} \xi \mathcal{R} (h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} - h^2) \quad (20)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Linearization

- Linearized EH (after canonical normalization)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{EH} = & \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\alpha h_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\sigma h^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\sigma h_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^\rho h - 2\nabla^\alpha h^\rho_\alpha) \nabla_\rho h \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(h^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right) (\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda) + \left(2h^{\alpha\sigma} h^\beta_\sigma - h h^{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (19)\end{aligned}$$

- Non-minimal coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi = -\frac{1}{2} \xi \mathcal{R} (h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} - h^2) \quad (20)$$

- Mass

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 (h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} - h^2) \quad (21)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - VPA

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - VPA

- Source term

$$\mathcal{L}_T = h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \quad (22)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - VPA

- Source term

$$\mathcal{L}_T = h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \quad (22)$$

- Action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x' \sqrt{-g'} \left[\mathcal{L}_{EH} + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_\xi + \mathcal{L}_T \right] \\ &= \int d^4x' \sqrt{-g'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} h^{\rho\sigma} + h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - VPA

- Source term

$$\mathcal{L}_T = h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \quad (22)$$

- Action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x' \sqrt{-g'} \left[\mathcal{L}_{EH} + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_\xi + \mathcal{L}_T \right] \\ &= \int d^4x' \sqrt{-g'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} h^{\rho\sigma} + h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

- VPA

$$\langle 0|0 \rangle_T = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x' \sqrt{-g'} \left[T^{\mu\nu}(x') (\mathcal{K}^{-1} T)_{\mu\nu}(x') \right] \right\} \quad (24)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - EOM

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - EOM

- EOM

$$T_{\alpha\rho} = (P_{\perp} h)_{\alpha\rho} + m^2(h_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\alpha\rho} h) \quad (25)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - EOM

- EOM

$$T_{\alpha\rho} = (P_{\perp}h)_{\alpha\rho} + m^2(h_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\alpha\rho}h) \quad (25)$$

- 4 constraints from the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla^{\alpha} h_{\alpha\rho} = \nabla_{\rho} h \quad (26)$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - EOM

- EOM

$$T_{\alpha\rho} = (P_{\perp} h)_{\alpha\rho} + m^2(h_{\alpha\rho} - g_{\alpha\rho}h) \quad (25)$$

- 4 constraints from the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla^{\alpha} h_{\alpha\rho} = \nabla_{\rho} h \quad (26)$$

- EOM after using all constraints

$$\left(-\square^c + \mu^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{3}\right) h_{\alpha\rho} = T_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{1}{3\mu^2 - 2\Lambda} \left[\nabla_{\rho} \nabla_{\alpha} T - \mu^2 g_{\alpha\rho} T + \frac{\Lambda}{3} g_{\alpha\rho} T \right] \quad (27)$$

expand both sides up to second adiabatic order!

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Vacuum persistence

- VPA in momentum space

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|0\rangle_T &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{|k| \gg \Lambda^{1/2}} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \left(T^{\alpha\rho} [G_0 + G_1 + G_2]_{\alpha\rho}^{\tau\sigma} (\Pi T_0)_{\tau\sigma} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + T^{\alpha\rho} [G_0 + G_1]_{\alpha\rho}^{\tau\sigma} (\Pi T_1)_{\tau\sigma} + T^{\alpha\rho} (G_0)_{\alpha\rho}^{\tau\sigma} (\Pi T_2)_{\tau\sigma} \right)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{|k| \gg \Lambda^{1/2}} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} F(\Delta_F, \Lambda) |T(k)|^2\right\} \quad (28)\end{aligned}$$

$T^{\mu\nu}$ is a source localized in space.

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Positivity

- Positivity of $F(\Delta_F, \Lambda)$: Given the coefficient of the leading order in polynomial positive, one has to check the function f is bounded below by a positive value

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & (x + M)^4 + \frac{\Lambda}{18M - 11\Lambda} \left(22M - \frac{62\Lambda}{3} \right) (x + M)^3 \\ & - \frac{16\Lambda^2}{9(18M - 11\Lambda)} x^2 (x + M) \\ & + \frac{\Lambda}{18M - 11\Lambda} \left(\frac{104\Lambda}{9} - 16M \right) x (x + M)^2 \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Mass bound

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Mass bound

- Unitarity preserved as long as either one of two conditions are fulfilled

$$m^2 > \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4\xi\right)\Lambda \text{ or } m^2 < \left(\frac{11}{18} - 4\xi\right)\Lambda \quad (30)$$

for $\xi \sim 1$. Second condition ruled out by the UV cutoff

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Mass bound

- Unitarity preserved as long as either one of two conditions are fulfilled

$$m^2 > \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4\xi\right)\Lambda \text{ or } m^2 < \left(\frac{11}{18} - 4\xi\right)\Lambda \quad (30)$$

for $\xi \sim 1$. Second condition ruled out by the UV cutoff

- A sensible constraint to preserve the unitarity

$$m^2 > \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4\xi\right)\Lambda \sim -\Lambda \quad (31)$$

Cosmological constant is positive in dS, so this bound is trivial.

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Higuchi vs. Ours

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Higuchi vs. Ours

- Higuchi - minimal coupling: $\xi = 0$
(Possibly) not an appropriate way to covariantize the theory. Need a non-minimal coupling in general curved spacetime.

3. Massive gravity in de Sitter background - Higuchi vs. Ours

- Higuchi - minimal coupling: $\xi = 0$
(Possibly) not an appropriate way to covariantize the theory. Need a non-minimal coupling in general curved spacetime.
- Ours - non-minimal coupling $\xi \neq 0$
Modifies the constraint on the deformation parameter, and implies only trivial mass bound for the graviton.

Discussion - Anti de Sitter spacetime

- AdS - another maximally symmetric spacetime

$$\mathcal{R} = 4\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} = -4\Lambda \quad (32)$$

- AdS - another maximally symmetric spacetime

$$\mathcal{R} = 4\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} = -4\Lambda \quad (32)$$

- Mass bound

$$m^2 > \left(4\xi - \frac{11}{18}\right)\Lambda \quad (33)$$

but trivial when the coupling is properly adjusted

Discussion - FRW

- Slowly expanding FRW ($\dot{H} \ll H^2$)

$$\mathcal{R}(t)y^2 \ll 1 \quad (34)$$

and obtain the mass bound

$$m^2 > \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4\xi\right)H^2(t) \quad (35)$$

- Slowly expanding FRW ($\dot{H} \ll H^2$)

$$\mathcal{R}(t)y^2 \ll 1 \quad (34)$$

and obtain the mass bound

$$m^2 > \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4\xi\right)H^2(t) \quad (35)$$

- Slightly running mass bound, but a constant in short time span.
Trivial mass bound again.

Outlook

- SUGRA for higher order terms

- SUGRA for higher order terms
- Anisotropic universe

- SUGRA for higher order terms
- Anisotropic universe
- Generation of mass for gravitons

- SUGRA for higher order terms
- Anisotropic universe
- Generation of mass for gravitons
- Quantum gravity