Holographic Analysis of Energy Loss Processes in Strongly Coupled Plasmas

Ling Lin

Nov 26, 2012

Motivation

Motivation

• Quark-gluon plasma is strongly coupled.



Motivation

- Quark-gluon plasma is strongly coupled.
- Condensed matter systems may have strong coupling.



Motivation

- Quark-gluon plasma is strongly coupled.
- Condensed matter systems may have strong coupling.
- Theoretical interest in holographic principle.



• Realization: AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997):

 $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory in *is dual to* 4d Minkowski space

type IIB string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background

• Realization: AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997):

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}=4 \text{ supersymmetric} \\ SU(N_c) \text{ gauge theory in} \\ 4d \text{ Minkowski space} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{type IIB string theory in} \\ AdS_5 \times S^5 \text{ background} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} \text{Strong coupling } \lambda, \text{ large } N_c \text{ limit reduces string theory to classical} \\ \text{gravity in } AdS_5. \end{array}$

- Realization: AdS/CFT correspondence (Maldacena, 1997):
- Strong coupling λ , large N_c limit reduces string theory to classical gravity in AdS_5 .
- AdS/CFT 'dictionary' translates gauge theory quantities to gravity calculations.







• AdS-Reissner-Nordström (AdS-RN) solution is dual to $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory at finite \mathcal{T} and μ .

- AdS-Reissner-Nordström (AdS-RN) solution is dual to $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory at finite \mathcal{T} and μ .
- Bottom-up approach: Propose a gravity theory and use dictionary to **define** the boundary theory.

- AdS-Reissner-Nordström (AdS-RN) solution is dual to $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory at finite \mathcal{T} and μ .
- Bottom-up approach: Propose a gravity theory and use dictionary to **define** the boundary theory.
- Guideline: Investigate dual theory by analysing observables.

• CGN-model [Colangelo, Giannuzzi, Nicotri] with ad hoc deformation c:

$$G^{\mathsf{CGN}}_{lphaeta}\mathsf{d} x^lpha\mathsf{d} x^eta = rac{R^2 \mathrm{e}^{c^2 z^2}}{z^2} \left(-h(z) \mathrm{d} t^2 + \mathrm{d} ec x^2 + rac{\mathrm{d} z^2}{h(z)}
ight) \,,$$

h(z), T and μ as before.

• CGN-model [Colangelo, Giannuzzi, Nicotri] with ad hoc deformation c:

$$G^{\mathsf{CGN}}_{lphaeta}\mathsf{d} x^{lpha}\mathsf{d} x^{eta} = rac{R^2 \mathrm{e}^{c^2 z^2}}{z^2} \left(-h(z) \mathrm{d} t^2 + \mathrm{d} ec{x}^2 + rac{\mathrm{d} z^2}{h(z)}
ight) \,,$$

h(z), T and μ as before.

• Exhibits 'confining phase' at low $\mu/{\cal T}.$

• CGN-model [Colangelo, Giannuzzi, Nicotri] with ad hoc deformation c:

$$G^{\mathsf{CGN}}_{lphaeta}\mathsf{d} x^{lpha}\mathsf{d} x^{eta} = rac{R^2\mathrm{e}^{c^2z^2}}{z^2}\left(-h(z)\mathsf{d} t^2 + \mathsf{d} ec{x}^2 + rac{\mathsf{d} z^2}{h(z)}
ight)\,,$$

h(z), T and μ as before.

- Exhibits 'confining phase' at low μ/T .
- Does not solve any known gravitational equations of motion.

• '1-parameter model' with metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

• '1-parameter model' with metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2 \right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

solves Einstein equations derived from the action [DeWolfe, Gubser, Rosen]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{f(\phi)}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

• '1-parameter model' with metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

solves Einstein equations derived from the action [DeWolfe, Gubser, Rosen]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{f(\phi)}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

deformation enters through following ansatz: $\phi(z) \propto \kappa z^2$

• '1-parameter model' with metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

solves Einstein equations derived from the action [DeWolfe, Gubser, Rosen]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{f(\phi)}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

deformation enters through following ansatz: $\phi(z) \propto \kappa z^2$

• Scalar ϕ may or may not be dilaton.

• '1-parameter model' with metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

solves Einstein equations derived from the action [DeWolfe, Gubser, Rosen]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{f(\phi)}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

deformation enters through following ansatz: $\phi(z) \propto \kappa z^2$

- Scalar ϕ may or may not be dilaton.
- Stability argument forbids low μ/T area. No confinement observed.

• Hard parton in plasma gets transverse kicks: $\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L}$

- Hard parton in plasma gets transverse kicks: $\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L}$
- Field theoretic prescription:

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\hat{q}L^{-}L^{2}\right)$$

- Hard parton in plasma gets transverse kicks: $\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L}$
- Field theoretic prescription:

$$\langle W(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\hat{q}L^{-}L^{2}\right)$$



Energy Loss Processes

Jet Quenching Parameter \hat{q}

- Hard parton in plasma gets transverse kicks: $\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L}$
- Field theoretic prescription:

$$\langle W(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\hat{q}L^{-}L^{2}\right)$$





Energy Loss Processes

Jet Quenching Parameter \hat{q}

- Hard parton in plasma gets transverse kicks: $\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L}$
- Field theoretic prescription:

$$\langle W(\mathcal{C})
angle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\hat{q}L^{-}L^{2}
ight)$$



• Applying dictionary:

$$\langle W(\mathcal{C})
angle = \exp\left(-2S_{\mathsf{reg}}^{\mathsf{on-shell}}
ight)$$

• In general metric ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2 \right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}\mathrm{d}z^2$$

• In general metric ansatz

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2}\right) + \frac{e^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}dz^{2}$$

 \hat{q} evaluates to

$$\hat{q} = \frac{1}{\alpha'\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{z_h} dz \frac{\exp(B(z) - 3A(z))}{\sqrt{h(z)(1 - h(z))}} \right)^{-1}$$

.

• In general metric ansatz

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(z)} \left(-h(z)dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2}\right) + \frac{e^{2B(z)}}{h(z)}dz^{2}$$

 \hat{q} evaluates to

$$\hat{q} = \frac{1}{\alpha'\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{z_h} dz \frac{\exp(B(z) - 3A(z))}{\sqrt{h(z)(1 - h(z))}} \right)^{-1}$$

• At $\mu = 0$ and no deformation [Liu, Rajagopal, Wiedemann]:

$$\hat{q} = \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(5/4)} T^3 \approx \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot 7.528 T^3$$

.

• In all our models \hat{q} increases with growing ${\cal T}$ and μ



Examples: Behaviour of \hat{q} in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ (left) and in 1-parameter model at $\kappa = 10$ (right)

• In all our models \hat{q} increases with growing ${\cal T}$ and μ



Examples: Behaviour of \hat{q} in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ (left) and in 1-parameter model at $\kappa = 10$ (right)

• Agrees with intuition of how plasma affects jets.

• Deformation unimportant at higher μ/T .

Relative deviation from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in CGN model at different deformations (c = 5, 10, 20):



• Deformation unimportant at higher μ/T .

Relative deviation from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in CGN model at different deformations (c = 5, 10, 20):



• \hat{q} is a very 'robust' observable.

• Deformation unimportant at higher μ/T .

Relative deviation from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in CGN model at different deformations (c = 5, 10, 20):



- \hat{q} is a very 'robust' observable.
- Apply calculation to other (measurable) quantities, e.g. R_{AA} (?).

Moving Quark

Linear motion [Gubser]:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathrm{e}^{2A(z_c)} \, \mathrm{v}^2$$

Moving Quark

Linear motion [Gubser]:





Rotating Quark [Fadafan et al.]:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathrm{e}^{2A(z_c)} h(z_c)$$

Moving Quark

Linear motion [Gubser]:



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathrm{e}^{2A(z_c)} v^2 \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathrm{e}^{2A(z_c)} h(z_c)$

Drag force shows robust behaviour.

Rotating Quark [Fadafan et al.]:

Summary

- Holography allows simple calculations of complicated field theory quantities.
- Applied to QGP: Analyse jet quenching.
- In models we discussed: Observables are robust.
- Comparable (?) to experimental data.

Summary

- Holography allows simple calculations of complicated field theory quantities.
- Applied to QGP: Analyse jet quenching.
- In models we discussed: Observables are robust.
- Comparable (?) to experimental data.

Thank you for your attention!