# Engineering Calabi-Yau manifolds

Jan Keitel

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, München

February 14th, 2014

Jan Keitel (Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, N

Engineering Calabi-Yau manifolds

#### Motivation

#### Our world has 4 spacetime dimensions, strings live in ten. Since

10 > 4

we need compactification manifolds.

The goal of this talk is to

- shed some light on their construction
- discuss what geometric properties we can hope to compute

# Defining manifolds

Very roughly speaking, there are two relevant ways of defining a manifold / variety. Consider the circle  $S^1$ :

- Explicitly parametrize it by a coordinate and give its range:  $x \sim x + 2\pi r$ ,  $x \in [0, 2\pi r]$
- Implicitly embed it into a higher-dimensional, but simpler space:  $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Either way, one describes the same circle:



글 > - + 글 >

# Defining manifolds

"Simple" spaces like  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are best defined explicitly. However, more complicated spaces often have no such description. Therefore we define our manifold as

$$p(x_i)=0\,,$$

where p is a polynomial in the coordinates of the ambient space. p = 0 defines a hypersurface. Since p is a polynomial, we have an algebraic problem:  $\implies$  Use algebraic geometry!

Before focusing on the hypersurface, let us take a look at appropriate ambient spaces.

- 本部 とくき とくき とうき

#### Ambient spaces

What properties do we want our ambient space to have?

- Simple to describe, e.g. simple coordinate ranges
- Onder best possible mathematical control, so that we have better control over the hypersurface, too
- Somplex, i.e. parametrized by complex coordinates
- Compact

Condition 4 forbids vector spaces. What other "simple" spaces are there?

**EN 4 EN** 

# Projective space

Projective spaces come to the rescue:

- Real projective space:  $\mathbb{RP}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{\vec{0}\} / \sim$ , where  $\vec{x} \sim \lambda \vec{x}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  = space of rays in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- Complex projective space:  $\mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}/\sim$ , where  $\vec{x} \sim \lambda \vec{x}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

We describe  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  using the redundant coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and call them *homogeneous* coordinates.

Notation:  $[z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n] \in \mathbb{CP}^n$ .

#### Projective space, examples

Let's see how  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  differs from  $\mathbb{C}$  by studying its points  $[z_0 : z_1]$ :

- If  $z_0 \neq 0$ , rescale by  $\lambda = 1/z_0$  to obtain  $[1 : z_1/z_0] = [1 : z'_1]$ , which describes the same point.  $z'_1$  can take any value, so  $[z_0 : z_1]$  with  $z_0 \neq 0$  is just  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- If  $z_0 = 0$ , then  $z_1 \neq 0$  and we rescale by  $1/z_1$ :  $[0 : z_1] = [0 : 1]$ , which is a single point.

Hence we find that  $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} + pt$ .  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is the compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  obtained by adding a point at infinity and topologically  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \simeq S^2$ .

Projective spaces are "nicer" from a mathematical point of view than  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For example, in  $S^2$  two straight lines always intersect twice - no special case for parallel lines.

Weighted projective space: Allow e.g.  $(z_0, z_1, z_2) \sim (\lambda^3 z_0, \lambda^2 z_1, \lambda z_2)$ 

#### More general spaces

Lastly, there is yet another generalization: Start with  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , remove some lower-dimensional piece Z and impose multiple equivalence relations of the previous kind:

$$X = \mathbb{C}^n \backslash Z / \sim$$

All such spaces are toric varieties. Obviously, we have:



#### Toric varieties

Why are toric varieties interesting? Their defining data is given by discrete numbers and is hence *combinatorial*.

Combinatorial data can often be visualized:

- Consider the vectors  $\vec{v}_1 = (1,0), \vec{v}_2 = (0,1), \vec{v}_3 = (-3,-2).$
- They are related by  $3 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 2 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + \cdot \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ .
- One thus associates them with  $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{\vec{0}\}/\sim$  where  $(z_0, z_1, z_2) \sim (\lambda^3 z_0, \lambda^2 z_1, \lambda z_2)$ . This is just  $\mathbb{WP}^2_{3,2,1}$ .



#### Fibrations

Next, we would like to construct torus fibered Calabi-Yau manifold for F-theory. (cf Federico's talk)

To do so, construct a fibered ambient space as in



where the ambient fiber space becomes reducible over the location of the GUT branes:

$$F \mapsto F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_n$$

# Resolved fiber singularities

We can then study the ambient fiber over different places in the base and read off its form.



Fiber over generic point:  $T^2$  ambient space



Fiber over GUT brane: affine Dynkin diagram of gauge group

### Conclusion

In summary, the main message is:

Constructing Calabi-Yau manifolds with many continuous parameters can be reduced to can be reduced to combinatorial toric data

Toric ambient spaces are hence useful for the following reasons:

- There is a large number of them and many more spaces can be embedded in them.
- Their theory is well understood and allows to compute cohomology classes and intersection numbers.
- Their data is combinatorial and can easily and efficiently be handled by a computer.

A 12 N A 12 N

# Thank you!

Jan Keitel (Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, N

Engineering Calabi-Yau manifolds

February 14th, 2014 13 / 13

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト