VXD Alignment

Peter Kvasnicka, Tadeas Bilka

Peter.Kvasnicka@mff.cuni.cz Tadeas.Bilka@gmail.com

Charles University in Prague

16th International Workshop on DEPFET detectors and applications, 25-28 May 2014, Kloster Seeon, Germany



Testbeam alignment

VXD (mis-)alignment implementation

Conclusions

Thanks



Status of alignment in basf2

Testbeam alignment

VXD (mis-)alignment implementation



Thanks

Current status | VXD alignment in basf2

General Broken Lines (GBL)

- Track model / fast refit with proper description of multiple scattering
- Adds multiple scattering effects to initial trajectory as additional fit parameters <u>https://www.wiki.terascale.de/index.php/GeneralBrokenLines</u>
- **GBLfit** module in basf2 \rightarrow production of alignment data files for Millepede
 - · Basic track selection (point Chi2, track p-value, minimum degrees of freedom)
 - genfit::TrackCand \rightarrow genfit::Track \rightarrow gbl::GblTrajectory \rightarrow Mille binary

Millepede II

- Implementation of the Millepede algorithm for <u>global alignment</u> <u>https://www.wiki.terascale.de/index.php/Millepede_II</u>
- Linear least squares fit for very large number of parameters
- Millepedellalignment module in basf2 → can compute (basic) constraints, run Pede and analyse results → xml with alignment
 - Python *alignment_tools* (in testbeam package)... allow to sum alignment in two xmls or sum alignment in txt (from Pede) with xml



Current status | Progress in basf2 since last F2F meeting in Prague

- □ GBL fit working with both TrueHits/Clusters
- □ Material treatment using thin/thick scatterers
- Alignment procedure for testbeam and Belle II VXD (fully working in MC)
- Telescopes supported (except real track finding)
- Basf2 modules for GBL fitting + Millepede alignment
- Testbeam geometry reflects the experiment
 - Local coordinates, sensor positions ...
 - Note that PXD is shifted by almost 5mm from nominal position!







Thanks

Current status | Full Belle II VXD alignment

- Basic example available in release
- See alignment/examples
 - Step 1 a/b ... generates ip/cosmics sample
 - · Step 2 a/b ... uses misaligned geometry to fit sample and produce data for alignment
 - Step 3 ... alignment with Millepede on combined sample
 - Step 4 a/b ... fit samples again with alignment parameters from step 3

Only misalignment in geometry at reconstruction level

- + 100 μ m in U/V, 1 mrad in gamma
- Available as xml in svn
- · Official version does not fully support slanted SVDs (solved locally)

On following slides: results of single alignment procedure iteration

- > 1000 alignment parameters fitted (around 200 000 tracks)
- Takes < 1min

Known issue: Need to remove TracksToMCParticles relations from input in steps 2 and 4



VXD (mis-)alignment implementation

Conclusions

Thanks

Current status | Full Belle II VXD alignment

- □ > 100k muons from IP + > 100k cosmic muons (field off)
- Generated average misalignment 100um in u, v; 1mrad in gamma
- □ Plots for B=0 (cosmic muons)





VXD (mis-)alignment implementation

Conclusions

Thanks

Current status | Full Belle II VXD alignment

Residuals in Z in layer 2 (PXD) and layer 6 (SVD)

 \Box Plots for B = OT (cosmic muons)





Current status | Full Belle II VXD alignment : Issues with magnetic field

□ Chi2/NDF and P-values. Plots for B=1.5T







Current status | VXD testbeam example

□ Simulate digits or get real data in form of merged digits

• SimulateDigits.py (eutel/MergerTest3.py)

Clusterize, mask, find tracks, fit with Kalman, output alignment data

Digits2Tracks.py

Plug in computed alignment

• FitForAlignment.py (2 step script)

□ Not very nice (but automatic) manipulation with xml files for changing alignment parameters using python *alignment_tools* (in TB package) ... will be gone after misalignment stuff is added



Ongoing development | New features

Combination of SVD clusters in genfit::Track (correct errors for slanted SVDs)

 $\hfill\square$ Trajectories with arbitrary combination of 1D and 2D hits supported

Hierarchical alignment (experimental)

- = production of derivatives w.r.t. parameters of composite structures (ladders, layers) and corresponding constraints
- First testing version privately available
- Highly depends on misalignment stuff it needs matrices for transformation between subcomponents
- Numbering scheme? Currently structures identified by string, but for Millepede, we need 1:1 map between this string and its integer label (for each parameter)



Thank

Ongoing development | GBL fit for VXD + CDC

- First attempt to add CDC to GBL trajectory
 - Extended MCFitting.py (uses TrueHits)
 - Seg. Fault in standard MCFitting.py for TrueHits & RootOutput | clusters OK
 - No comparison to GENFIT
 - CDC measurement with highest weight taken; thin scatterers used
- Problems with hit sorting in long tracks (over 100 hits)
 - I cut the track before the point extrapolation steps back
- □ Alignment / calibration for CDC ?
 - "Just" add derivatives and their labels





Status of alignment in basf2

Testbeam alignment

VXD (mis-)alignment implementation

Conclusions

Thanks

Implementation issues | Interfaces: Option 1

□ All in one: Interface between GENFIT and derivatives through single class

- Only GBL part of GENFIT affected
- Construct GFGbl with pointer to AlCaManager





Thanks

Implementation issues | Interfaces: Option 2

Lextending RecoHits: Each RecoHit has to provide derivatives for itself

- Makes whole GENFIT alignment friendly
- · 2 possible solutions:
 - XXXRecoHit: public AbsMeasurementWithDerivatives | AbsMeasurementWithDerivatives : public AbsMeasurement
 - XXXRecoHit: public AbsMeasurement, public IAlignmentDerivatives (interface only) ... multiple inheritance (!?)





Implementation issues | GBL integration into GENFIT

Current status

- GBL inside GENFIT
- GFGbl (AbsFitter) takes genfit::Track, propagates it to account for material and creates gbl::Trajectory
- Output to Mille Binary hidden in GFGbl
- GBLfit module: starts from genfit::TrackCand, but also possible to start from genfit::Track with reference state (previously fitted by KalmanRefTrack)

Not neccessary to fit GBL trajectory for alignment

- · GBL used to construct linear equation system
- · Millepede performs last (in fact only) iteration of trajectory fit itself

□ Full GBL integration ?

- Non measurement points ... ThinScatterer should only have variance + plane (position on arc-length)
- GblFitterInfo ? Or re-use Kalman stuff?
- How to attach constructed trajectory to the track (GblFitStatus ?)
- Iterations? Re-propagation of track or only update the states (\rightarrow derivatives) ?



Conclusion

Working example with Belle II VXD alignment in release

- · More studies needed, but the procedure works
- Working example for TB
 - · Fully working in MC
 - · Real data processing requires to take care of masking, track candidates...
 - Attempt to add telescopes without VXDTF using cluster combiner from Peter Kodys \rightarrow additional studies needed

Additional interface for global derivatives and labels needed

- Especially because of hierarchical alignment (for each hit needs to somehow figure out all its parent structures and corresponding transformations)
- · Option with AlCaManager partly implemented including hierarchical alignment

CDC experimentally added to GBL trajectory

- I am still not sure about some details in CDC, but fitting performs "well"
- Testbeam package dependency
 - Not possible to make just a clone of Display module for testbeam (dataobjects added in a library, not in the module)



Thanks

Testbeam alignment



47186

1.273

1.633

0.8787

47188

3 151

1.119

1,255

B=1T

RUN 507 | Full tracks with 4 x 2 x 1D measurements

Combined alignment data: 500(4GeV)+507+508(5GeV) SVD3 fixed, SVD6 fixed shifts. SVD5 fixed v.

E=4GeV

TB alignment. Pulls

Before and after Millepede alignment













Thanks

Backup: Belle 2 VXD alignment

Pulls per layer. Plots for B=0T







Conclusions

Thanks

Backup: Belle 2 VXD alignment

Pulls per layer. Plots for B=1.5T

Ideal geometry | misaligned | after alignment





Low TB track yield

Are we losing tracks?

- We are able to find a usable track passing first telescopes and several VXD planes in about 1 of 10⁴ events.
- Track finding algorithm doesn't make substantial difference.
- Suspect: Telescope merging.

Telescope merging

- Telescope data are synchronized using event counters and time stamps.
- TLU tags are not handled correctly by EUDAQ.
- Timestamps come from different clocks, so we only look at patterns of event times.
- The merging software detects sync problems and does not merge if out of sync.
- Nevertheless, it seems we can be losing sync in a way we don't see. We're curently after it.



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 0 - 10000, correlation between tel3 and SVD3.

TelClusters.m uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -2 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 10000 - 20000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 20000 - 30000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m_position





VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 30000 - 40000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -2 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m_position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 40000 - 50000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 50000 - 60000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 60000 - 70000, correlation between tel3 and





VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 70000 - 80000, correlation between tel3 and

TelClusters.m_uPosition 0.5 -0.5 -2 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 -1 0 1.5 SVDClusters.m position



VXD run 470, telescope run 131, events 80000 - 90000, correlation between tel3 and





VXD (mis-)alignment

Alignment implementation

- Hierarchy of alignable structures, corresponding to subdetector (half-)layer ladder - sensor structure. linked with transformation matrices
- Properties of alignable structures, such as whether they are rigid bodies or deformable structures, and how they calculate adjustments to spatial transformations based on alignment parameters (and position).
- Code to read/write (mis-)alignment data files.
- System to shift coordinates of clusters based on misalignment information
- System to apply geometry modificatons to coordinate transforms to reflect alignment information.

The AlignmentGeometry class

- The AlignmentGeometry class provides access to data on alignment hierarchy and on individual alignables.
- It is implemented as a singleton and is built together with VXD geometry.



Alignment Hierarchy

- The alignment hierarchy is implemented as a std::map<AlignableID, AlignmentLink>
- Alignable IDs are not the VxdIDs, since the structure may deviate from the layer/ladder/sensor scheme. Strings are used as IDs instead, and only for sensors the IDs are guaranteed to be string representations of the VxdIDs.
- The alignment link contains data on mother of the alignable, including the baseline transform, and IDs of daughters, if any.
- The structure is easily browsable in both directions.
- Initialization takes place in the geometry creator.

Alignables

- The list of alignables is a std::map<AlignableID, Alignable>.
- The Alignable class holds current displacement/alignment/misalignment parameters, and methods to construct a 3D tranform based on alignable type.
- The class features transforms from local to global coordinates (and v.v.), so that position-dependent transformations for deformable structures can be supported.
- The list is initialized in the geometry creator based on the displacement xml file
- Alignment or misalignment modules fill the corresponding data.

Misalignment

I will spend a second on misalignment implementation.

The Misalignment module

- The module's basic task is to provide input of misalignment data, either from an xml file or randomly simulated.
- These data are input to Alignables.
- A method providing a (possibly position-dependent) 3D transform) will be provided for each sensitive plane and retrieved by sensor VxdID via GeoCache.

RecoHit construtor

 The RecoHit constructor will adapt the position of the generating cluster based on the misalignment information in the GeoCache and direction of the generating MC track (taken from a TrueHit).



Conclusions

- VXD alignment effort is progressing, current work focuses on covering CDC alignment.
- VXD testbeam alignment works, but limited by low track yield.
- Basic implementation of VXD support for (mis-)alignment is ready.



Testbeam alignment

VXD (mis-)alignment implementation

Conclusions

Thanks



Thank you for attention.

