

Comments on QA/QC and Others

Takeo Higuchi

Kavli IPMU (WPI), the University of Tokyo

$$\varepsilon_1 |\varphi_{\text{FF}}\rangle + \varepsilon_2 |\varphi_{\text{TH}}\rangle; \quad \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2$$

More QA/QC Items [1]

- **Post-assembly QA [1]**
 - Thermal stress test (thermal cycling)
 - What to see practically: absolute deformation, number of iterations to break the module or ladder, something more?
 - Study should be done in a climate chamber.
 - Issues to be defined:
 - Temperature range ($-20-50\text{ C}^0$) and RH range
 - Origin of the deformation measurement.
 - Measurement positions on the ladder.
 - Measurement tool of the deformation: digital camera, laser displacement sensor, glass scale, or else.

More QA/QC Items [2]

- **Post-assembly QA [2]**
 - Thermal deformation test from the APV25 + cooling pipe
 - Study should be done with a cooling system or its mimic (-20 C°).
 - What to see practically: absolute deformation.
 - Similar issues need defined as the thermal cycling stress test.

More QA/QC Items [3]

- **Post-assembly QA [3]**
 - Gravitational sag
 - What to see practically?
 - Study should be done on an end-ring-like jig holding the ladder.
 - Issues to be defined:
 - Choices of rotational angle: 0° , 45° , 90° , ~~135°~~ , 180° .
 - Similar issues need defined as the thermal cycling stress test.

More QA/QC Items [4]

- **QA before/after the transportation**
 - FW/BW modules (at Pisa and at L4/L5/L6 sites)
 - Mechanical healthiness: relative misalignment of the parts.
 - Should be measured by the CMM.
 - Electrical healthiness: typical electrical parameters: gain and noise.
 - Should be measurement by the APVDAQ.
 - Full ladders (at L3/L4/L5/L6 sites and at KEK)
 - Mechanical healthiness: relative misalignment of the sensors.
 - Should be measured by the CMM.
 - Each assembly site has the CMM but KEK not. What should we do?
 - Electrical healthiness check as well as the FW/BW module.

More QA/QC Items [5]

- **General affairs**

- “Criteria”:

Once we obtain the procedure or parameters that qualify the predefined criteria, what should we do next?

1. Keep pursuing further better procedure/parameter.
2. Switch to the next issues that need defined.

More QA/QC Items [6]

- **Gluing QC**

- Thickness: $p+/-q \mu\text{m}$

- The thickness seems not very critical.

- Flatness: $x+/-y \mu\text{m}$

- If the PA is glued to the DSSD too wavily, the chucking vacuum of the PA leaks.

- **Wire bonding QC**

- Loop height, *etc.*

- How do we assure wires not touching others?

- DSSD pinhole

- Do we make wire bonding on pinhole strips?

BPAC: Nov.9th–10th, 2014 [1]

- **Access to the Kavli IPMU, the University of Tokyo**
 - <http://www.ipmu.jp/access-0>
- **Discussion: what to present?**
 - What the reviewers expect to hear?
 - Here, let me list issues only relevant to the ladder assembly.
 - In that context, what should we present?

BPAC: Nov.9th–10th, 2014 [2]

- **My naïve guess just to start the discussion**
 - What the reviewers expect to hear?
 - How do we control the quality of assembled ladders.
 - When can we start the mass production of ladders.
 - ... *please edit* ...

BPAC: Nov.9th–10th, 2014 [3]

- **My naïve guess just to start the discussion – cont'd**
 - What to present?
 - borrowed from F.Forti-san's Twiki page...
 - Assembly environment and facilities: clean room, CMM, gluing robot, wire bonding machine, APVDAQ, ...
 - Assembly procedure in technical detail: (preparation of) documents, (preparation of) the full assembly procedure (much more detail should be presented), (preparation of) the parts tracking control.
 - List of human resource and assignment to the task.
 - Schedule before the mass production: (realistic) self-settled milestones, list of issues to be solved, self confidence of the list.
 - ... *please edit* ...