Supersymmetry and D-brane calibrations in G_2 -compactifications of type II string theory

Alexander Seifert

Leibniz Universität Hannover

07.07.2014

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで



2
$$\mathcal{N} = 1$$
 SUSY (IIA type)







Introduction

 The study of supergravity of type II backgrounds (with fluxes) has received much attention in last 10-15 years.

- The study of supergravity of type II backgrounds (with fluxes) has received much attention in last 10-15 years.
- The supersymmetry conditions for the type II background of the form ℝ^{1,3} × M₆ can be written in terms of the pure spinors associated to SU(3) × SU(3)-structure on TM₆ ⊕ T*M₆

- The study of supergravity of type II backgrounds (with fluxes) has received much attention in last 10-15 years.
- The supersymmetry conditions for the type II background of the form $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times M_6$ can be written in terms of the pure spinors associated to $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ -structure on $TM_6 \oplus T^*M_6$
- One-to-one-correspondence between supersymmetry conditions and generalised D-brane calibration.

- The study of supergravity of type II backgrounds (with fluxes) has received much attention in last 10-15 years.
- The supersymmetry conditions for the type II background of the form $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times M_6$ can be written in terms of the pure spinors associated to $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ -structure on $TM_6 \oplus T^*M_6$
- One-to-one-correspondence between supersymmetry conditions and generalised D-brane calibration.
- The idea is to verify that this correspondence is also valid for the type II backgrounds of the form $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times M_7$

Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving

- Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving
 - three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,

Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving

- three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry.

- Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving
 - three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,
 - $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry.
- The ansatz for ten-dimensional metric G_{MN}

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)}\eta_{\mu
u}dx^\mu dx^
u + e^{2B(y)}\tilde{g}_{mn}(y)dy^m dy^n.$$

- Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving
 - three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,
 - $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry.
- The ansatz for ten-dimensional metric G_{MN}

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)}\eta_{\mu
u}dx^\mu dx^
u + e^{2B(y)}\tilde{g}_{mn}(y)dy^m dy^n.$$

The RR-fields decomposition is

- Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving
 - three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,
 - $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry.
- The ansatz for ten-dimensional metric G_{MN}

$$ds^2=e^{2A(y)}\eta_{\mu
u}dx^\mu dx^
u+e^{2B(y)} ilde{g}_{mn}(y)dy^m dy^n.$$

The RR-fields decomposition is

$$F_n = \hat{F}_n + \operatorname{Vol}_3 \wedge \tilde{F}_{n-3}, \quad n ext{ even}$$

- Interest in type IIA backgrounds (with fluxes) preserving
 - three-dimensional Poincaré invariance,
 - $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry.
- The ansatz for ten-dimensional metric G_{MN}

$$ds^2=e^{2A(y)}\eta_{\mu
u}dx^\mu dx^
u+e^{2B(y)} ilde{g}_{mn}(y)dy^m dy^n.$$

The RR-fields decomposition is

$$F_n = \hat{F}_n + \text{Vol}_3 \wedge \tilde{F}_{n-3}, \quad n \text{ even}$$

with the relation between the internal components

$$\tilde{F}_{7-n} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} e^{3A} e^{(7-2n)B} *_7 \hat{F}_n$$

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$\varepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{1})^t, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0})^t$$

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$\varepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(y) \otimes (0, \ 1)^t, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(y) \otimes (1, \ 0)^t$$

where ζ is a constant spinor on Minkowski space, ξ^i are the spinors on the internal manifold

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$\varepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{1})^t, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0})^t$$

where ζ is a constant spinor on Minkowski space, ξ^i are the spinors on the internal manifold with the conditions

$$\zeta^* = \alpha^{-1}\zeta, \quad \xi^{1*} = \alpha\xi^1, \quad \xi^{2*} = -\alpha\xi^2, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \|\alpha\| = 1$$

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$arepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{1})^t, \quad arepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0})^t$$

where ζ is a constant spinor on Minkowski space, ξ^i are the spinors on the internal manifold with the conditions

$$\zeta^* = \alpha^{-1}\zeta, \quad \xi^{1*} = \alpha\xi^1, \quad \xi^{2*} = -\alpha\xi^2, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \|\alpha\| = 1$$

The internal spinors ξ^{1,2} are fixed and characterize the reduction of the structure group of *TM*₇ ⊕ *T***M*₇ from *SO*(7,7) to *G*₂ × *G*₂ and can be associated to an even polyform Ψ⁺ using the Clifford map.

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$arepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{1})^t, \quad arepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0})^t$$

where ζ is a constant spinor on Minkowski space, ξ^i are the spinors on the internal manifold with the conditions

$$\zeta^* = \alpha^{-1}\zeta, \quad \xi^{1*} = \alpha\xi^1, \quad \xi^{2*} = -\alpha\xi^2, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \|\alpha\| = 1$$

The internal spinors ξ^{1,2} are fixed and characterize the reduction of the structure group of *TM*₇ ⊕ *T***M*₇ from *SO*(7,7) to *G*₂ × *G*₂ and can be associated to an even polyform Ψ⁺ using the Clifford map.

• The
$$G_2 imes G_2$$
-structure $o \begin{cases} G_2, & \text{if } \xi^{1,2} \text{ are parallel}, \end{cases}$

 Furthermore we suppose the susy-generators ε^{1,2} have the same norm and decompose them

$$arepsilon^1 = \zeta \otimes \xi^1(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{1})^t, \quad arepsilon^2 = \zeta \otimes \xi^2(\mathbf{y}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0})^t$$

where ζ is a constant spinor on Minkowski space, ξ^i are the spinors on the internal manifold with the conditions

$$\zeta^* = \alpha^{-1}\zeta, \quad \xi^{1*} = \alpha\xi^1, \quad \xi^{2*} = -\alpha\xi^2, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \|\alpha\| = 1$$

The internal spinors ξ^{1,2} are fixed and characterize the reduction of the structure group of *TM*₇ ⊕ *T***M*₇ from *SO*(7,7) to *G*₂ × *G*₂ and can be associated to an even polyform Ψ⁺ using the Clifford map.

• The
$$G_2 imes G_2$$
-structure $ightarrow \begin{cases} G_2, & ext{if } \xi^{1,2} ext{ are parallel}, \\ SU(3), & ext{if } \xi^{1,2} ext{ are orthogonal} \end{cases}$

 The necessary and sufficient conditions to have the supersymmetric type IIA background of form R^{1,2} × M₇

$$d_{H}(e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+}) = \tilde{F}_{A}, \qquad (1a)$$

$$d_{H}(e^{2A-\Phi} *_{7} \sigma(\Psi^{+})) = 0, \qquad (1b)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{A} \wedge \sigma(\Psi^{+})_{|_{7}} = 0, \qquad (1c)$$

$$\|\xi^{1}\|^{2} = \|\xi^{2}\|^{2} = e^{A} \qquad (1d)$$

where $ilde{F}_A = ilde{F}_1 + ilde{F}_3 + ilde{F}_5 + ilde{F}_7$ and $d_H := d + H \wedge$

• The supersymmetry equations for type IIB can be obtained by exchange

$$\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow *_7 \sigma(\Psi^+)$$
 and $\tilde{F}_A \leftrightarrow \tilde{F}_B$
where $\tilde{F}_B = \tilde{F}_0 + \tilde{F}_2 + \tilde{F}_4 + \tilde{F}_6$

• The supersymmetry equations for type IIB can be obtained by exchange

$$\Psi^+ \leftrightarrow *_7 \sigma(\Psi^+)$$
 and $\tilde{F}_A \leftrightarrow \tilde{F}_B$

where $\tilde{F}_{B}=\tilde{F}_{0}+\tilde{F}_{2}+\tilde{F}_{4}+\tilde{F}_{6}$

 This relation can be seen as a generalised mirror symmetry for type II backgrounds with G₂ × G₂-structure

 • Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes $(\Sigma, \mathscr{F}),$

Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝔅), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space,

Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝒴), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength 𝒴 (d𝒴 = P_Σ[H])

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝔅), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength 𝔅 (d𝔅 = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝒴), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength 𝒴 (d𝒴 = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and $\tilde{F}_A \wedge \sigma(\Psi^+)_{|_7} = 0$

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, ℱ), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength ℱ (dℱ = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and $\tilde{F}_A \wedge \sigma(\Psi^+)_{|_7} = 0$
- A generalised calibration is a polyform ω such that

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝒴), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength 𝒴 (d𝒴 = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and $\tilde{F}_A \wedge \sigma(\Psi^+)_{|_7} = 0$
- A generalised calibration is a polyform ω such that a) $d_H \omega = 0$

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, 𝒴), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength 𝒴 (d𝒴 = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and $\tilde{F}_A \wedge \sigma(\Psi^+)_{|_7} = 0$
- A generalised calibration is a polyform ω such that
 a) d_Hω = 0
 b) P_Σ[ω] ∧ e^ℱ ≤ ε(Σ, ℱ) for the energy density ε and any D-brane (Σ, ℱ)

- Furthermore we consider the static, magnetic D-branes (Σ, ℱ), filling 1 + q (q = 1, 2) spacetime dimensions and wrapping a cycle Σ in the internal space, with the worldvolume field strength ℱ (dℱ = P_Σ[H])
- Also we restrict the case $\|\xi^1\|^2 = \|\xi^2\|^2 = e^A$ and $\tilde{F}_A \wedge \sigma(\Psi^+)_{|_7} = 0$
- A generalised calibration is a polyform ω such that
 a) d_Hω = 0
 b) P_Σ[ω] ∧ e^ℱ ≤ ℰ(Σ, ℱ) for the energy density ℰ and any D-brane (Σ, ℱ)
- A D-brane is *calibrated* iff the inequality above is saturated

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} *_7 \sigma(\Psi^+)$$

$$\omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^+ - \sum_k \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} *_7 \sigma(\Psi^+)
 \omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi^+ - \sum_k \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

With the κ-symmetry and worldvolume chiral operator we can show that (2) satisfies the bound condition (b).

• Using the operator d_H and the condition $\tilde{F}_A = d_H \sum_k \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$ we obtain

$$d_{H}\omega^{(q=2)} = d_{H}(e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+} - \tilde{F}_{A})$$
$$d_{H}\omega^{(q=1)} = d_{H}(e^{2A-\Phi} *_{7} \sigma(\Psi^{+}))$$

• Using the operator d_H and the condition $\tilde{F}_A = d_H \sum_k \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$ we obtain

$$egin{aligned} & d_H \omega^{(q=2)} = d_H (e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi^+ - ilde F_A) \ & d_H \omega^{(q=1)} = d_H (e^{2A-\Phi} *_7 \sigma(\Psi^+)) \end{aligned}$$

They are equivalent to the first two susy-equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{d}_{H}(e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+}) &= \tilde{F}_{A}, & (1a) \\ \mathsf{d}_{H}(e^{2A-\Phi} *_{7} \sigma(\Psi^{+})) &= 0, & (1b) \\ \tilde{F}_{A} \wedge \sigma(\Psi^{+})_{|_{7}} &= 0, & (1c) \\ \|\xi^{1}\|^{2} &= \|\xi^{2}\|^{2} = e^{A} & (1d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} * \sigma(\Psi^{+})$$

$$\omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+} - \sum_{k} \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

With the κ-symmetry and worldvolume chiral operator we can show that (2) satisfies the bound condition (b).

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} * \sigma(\Psi^{+})$$

$$\omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+} - \sum_{k} \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

- With the κ-symmetry and worldvolume chiral operator we can show that (2) satisfies the bound condition (b).
- The condition (a) is to the susy conditions (1) equivalent.

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} * \sigma(\Psi^{+})$$

$$\omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^{+} - \sum_{k} \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

- With the κ-symmetry and worldvolume chiral operator we can show that (2) satisfies the bound condition (b).
- The condition (a) is to the susy conditions (1) equivalent.
- → the one-to-one-correspondence between the calibrations and susy equations

$$\omega^{(q=1)} := e^{2A-\Phi} * \sigma(\Psi^+)
 \omega^{(q=2)} := e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi^+ - \sum_k \tilde{C}_{(2k)}$$
(2)

- With the κ-symmetry and worldvolume chiral operator we can show that (2) satisfies the bound condition (b).
- The condition (a) is to the susy conditions (1) equivalent.
- → the one-to-one-correspondence between the calibrations and susy equations
- The D-brane is calibrated iff it is supersymmetric.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

 I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.

- I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.
- I showed that the SUSY conditions can be expressed in terms of polyform that defines the G₂ × G₂-structure on the internal manifold M₇,

- I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.
- I showed that the SUSY conditions can be expressed in terms of polyform that defines the $G_2 \times G_2$ -structure on the internal manifold M_7 ,
- and there is an one-to-one correspondence between background susy equations and generalised D-brane calibrations. (These results were already explored in [hep-th/0905.1582])

- I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.
- I showed that the SUSY conditions can be expressed in terms of polyform that defines the G₂ × G₂-structure on the internal manifold M₇,
- and there is an one-to-one correspondence between background susy equations and generalised D-brane calibrations. (These results were already explored in [hep-th/0905.1582])
- The D-branes are calibrated iff they are supersymmetric.

- I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.
- I showed that the SUSY conditions can be expressed in terms of polyform that defines the G₂ × G₂-structure on the internal manifold M₇,
- and there is an one-to-one correspondence between background susy equations and generalised D-brane calibrations. (These results were already explored in [hep-th/0905.1582])
- The D-branes are calibrated iff they are supersymmetric.
- It would be interesting to pursue this analysis and to calculate susy conditions for $AdS_3 \times M_7$ -background

- I studied *N* = 1 supersymmetric type II backgrounds of the form ℝ^{1,2} × *M*₇ during my master thesis.
- I showed that the SUSY conditions can be expressed in terms of polyform that defines the G₂ × G₂-structure on the internal manifold M₇,
- and there is an one-to-one correspondence between background susy equations and generalised D-brane calibrations. (These results were already explored in [hep-th/0905.1582])
- The D-branes are calibrated iff they are supersymmetric.
- It would be interesting to pursue this analysis and to calculate susy conditions for $AdS_3 \times M_7$ -background
- and find out the conditions for susy-breaking