

DIS at the TeV Scale?

A Summary of the 1st LHeC Workshop

Paul Newman

(University of Birmingham)

Ringberg Workshop

10 October 2008



<http://www.lhec.org.uk>

First ECFA-CERN Workshop on the **LHeC** Electron-proton and electron-ion collisions at the LHC

1-3 September 2008
Esplanade du Lac, Divonne, France



Steering Committee


- Oliver Brüning (CERN)
- John Dainton (Liverpool)
- Albert De Boer (CERN)
- Stefano Forte (Milano)
- Max Klein (Liverpool chair)
- Paul Newman (Birmingham)
- Emmanuelle Perez (CERN)
- Wesley Smith (Wisconsin)
- Beate Strow (MIT)
- Katsuo Tokushuku (KEK)
- Urs Wiedemann (CERN)

Scientific Advisory Committee


- Guido Altarelli (Roma)
- Stan Brodsky (SLAC)
- Glen Caldwell (MPI Muenchen, chair)
- Swapan Chattopadhyay (Cockcroft Institute)
- John Dainton (Liverpool)
- Jos Engelen (CERN)
- Joel Faltus (Hamburg/Saclay)
- Roland Garoby (CERN)
- Rolf Heuer (DESY)
- Roland Hortsberger (PSI)
- Young-Kee Kim (Fermilab)
- Aharon Levy (Tel Aviv)
- Lev Lipatov (St Petersburg)
- Karlheinz Meier (Heidelberg)
- Richard Milner (MIT)
- Steve Myers (CERN)
- Alexander Skrzinsky (Novosibirsk)
- Anthony Thomas (JLab)
- Steve Vigdor (Brookhaven)
- Ferdinand Willeke (Brookhaven/DESY)
- Frank Wilczek (MIT)






Collage of "Text-Book" HERA Plots






HERA Structure Functions Working Group



HERA Structure Functions Working Group



H1 2006 DPDF Fit A (exp. error) (exp.+theor. error)



Further progress often limited by energy and luminosity ...

The LHC is
the Future!



Can the unprecedented
LHC energy and
intensity be exploited
for DIS?

"... the LHeC is already
half built" [J Engelen]




"... it would be a waste
not to exploit the 7TeV
beams for ep and eA
physics at some stage
during the LHC time"
[G. Altarelli]

The LHeC is not the first proposal for higher energy DIS, but it is the first with potential for significantly higher luminosity than HERA ...

Contents

- Workshop Overview
- The physics case for high luminosity TeV Scale DIS
- Some first Physics case studies
- Accelerator and Detector Considerations



... after some first studies (Willeke et al.), discussions with CERN accelerator experts and presentation to plenary ECFA (Klein) ...

Summary and Proposal as endorsed by ECFA (30.11.2007)

As an add-on to the LHC, the LHeC delivers in excess of 1 TeV to the electron-quark cms system. It accesses high parton densities 'beyond' what is expected to be the unitarity limit. Its physics is thus fundamental and deserves to be further worked out, also with respect to the findings at the LHC and the final results of the Tevatron and of HERA.

First considerations of a ring-ring and a linac-ring accelerator layout lead to an unprecedented combination of energy and luminosity in lepton-hadron physics, exploiting the latest developments in accelerator and detector technology.

It is thus proposed to hold two workshops (2008 and 2009), under the auspices of ECFA and CERN, with the goal of having a Conceptual Design Report on the accelerator, the experiment and the physics. A Technical Design report will then follow if appropriate.

... Nuclear physics also took an interest ...

Electron-Proton/Ion Collider



- Options

- Europe

- LHeC
- $\bar{e} + \bar{p}$ Collider @ FAIR

- USA


- ELIC @ JLab
- eRHIC @ BNL

- NuPECC working group

- Tullio Bressani, Jens Jørgen Gaardhøje, G. Rosner (chair), H. Ströher

- Input to

- NuPECC Report 2009
- NuPECC's next Long Range Plan
 - Start preparation @ mtg. in Glasgow, Oct. 2008
 - Town meetings, working groups in 2009/10
 - Publication ~2010/11



Some committees were set up ...

Scientific Advisory Committee

Guido Altarelli (Rome)
Stan Brodsky (SLAC)
Allen Caldwell (MPI Munich)
Swapan Chattopadhyay (Cockcroft)
John Dainton (Liverpool)
John Ellis (CERN)
Jos Engelen (CERN)
Joel Feltesse (Saclay)
Lev Lipatov (St.Petersburg)
Roger Caroby (CERN)
Rolf Heuer (DESY)
Roland Horisberger (PSI)
Young Kee Kim (Fermilab)
Aharon Levy (Tel Aviv)
Richard Milner (Bates)
Steven Myers (CERN)
Alexander Skrinsky (Novosibirsk)
Anthony Thomas (Jlab)
Steven Vigdor (BNL)
Ferdinand Willeke (BNL)
Frank Wilczek (MIT)

Steering Committee

Oliver Bruening (CERN)
John Dainton (Cockcroft)
Albert DeRoeck (CERN)
Stefano Forte (Milano)
Max Klein - chair (Liverpool)
Paul Newman (Birmingham)
Emmanuelle Perez (CERN)
Wesley Smith (Wisconsin)
Bernd Surrow (MIT)
Katsuo Tokushuku (KEK)
Urs Wiedemann (CERN)

**Will discuss next steps
and organisation to
achieve the CDR goal**

... a working group structure agreed and convenors invited ...

Workshop Convenors

Accelerator Design [RR and LR]

Oliver Bruening (CERN), John Dainton (Cockcroft/Liverpool)

Interaction Region and Forward/Backward Detectors

Bernhard Holzer (DESY), Uwe Schneekloth (DESY), Pierre van Mechelen (Brussels)

Detector Design

Peter Kostka (DESY), Rainer Wallny (UCLA), Alessandro Polini (Bologna)

New Physics at Large Scales

Emmanuelle Perez (CERN), Georg Weiglein (Durham)

Precision QCD and Electroweak Interactions

Olaf Behnke (DESY), Paolo Gambino (Torino), Thomas Gehrmann (Zuerich)

Physics at High Parton Densities [small x and eA]

Nestor Armesto (CERN), Brian Cole (Columbia), Paul Newman (B'ham), Anna Stasto (MSU)

... first workshop took place in September 2008, Divonne ...
→ 91 participants. Unusual mix of accelerator experts, experimentalists and theorists

Monday 01 September 2008

Registration - Hall d'accueil (12:30-14:00)

Agenda of Divonne Workshop

Opening - Amphitheatre (14:00-16:30)

- Co-conveners: Ellis, John

time	[id]	title	presenter
14:00	[0]	Welcome Address	ENGELIN, Joe
14:15	[1]	Opening Remarks from ECFA	MEIER, Karlheinz
14:30	[4]	Opening Remarks from NuPEC	ROGNER, Guenther
14:45	[2]	Opening Lecture - "Deep Inelastic Scattering in the LHC time"	ALTARELLI, Guido
15:45	[3]	Steering Group Report	KLEIN, Max

Accelerator Overview - Auzone (17:00-19:05)

time	[id]	title	presenter
17:00	[41]	Discussion	
17:45	[37]	Boundary conditions for the Interaction Region design	SCHNECKLOTH, Uwe
18:15	[38]	Interaction Region design for a ring-ring option	HOLZER, Bernhard
18:40	[39]	Interaction Region design for a ring-linear option	TOMAS, Regino

Physics Overview - Barbilaine (17:00-19:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
17:00	[9]	LHeC Physics Overview	BRZESKY, Stan
18:00	[10]	QCD in the High Energy Limit	BARTELS, Jochen

Accelerator & IR & Detector - Auzone (14:00-16:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
14:00	[49]	Active magnets	GREENSHAW, Tim
14:20	[48]	Magnet Options for LHeC detector	TEN-KATE, Henno
14:40	[46]	e-RHIC machine aspects	LITVINENCO, Vladimir

16:30	[26]	Higgs \rightarrow b-bar Coupling at LHC	KOAY, Sue Ann
16:50	[80]	Higgs cross sections at LHeC	KLEIN, Uta
17:10	[27]	Backgrounds to Higgs production at the LHeC	KUZE, Masahiro
17:30	[28]	Drell-Yan, new physics and high x PDFs	PEREZ, Emmanuelle
17:50	[29]	Electroweak precision physics before and after LHC	DEGRASSI, Giuseppe

Low x ep and eA Physics at LHC and LHeC - Barbilaine (16:30-19:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
16:30	[32]	Low x QCD with protons and nuclei at LHC	D'ENTERRIA, David
16:50	[33]	What to expect on low x from ATLAS	CAMPANELLI, Mario
17:10	[34]	From ep to AR Collisions	ARMISTO, Néstor
17:30	[36]	Protonic photons as a tool for nuclear PDFs	ARLEO, Francesco
17:50	[35]	Concluding discussion and plans on low x	

15:00	[47]	IR Design for the e-RHIC project	MCINTAG, Christoph
15:20	[50]	IR Design proton optics	HOLZER, Bernhard
15:40	[51]	IR Design electron optics	KLEIN, Alexander

New Physics at the LHeC - Barbilaine (14:00-16:01)

time	[id]	title	presenter
14:00	[18]	Introduction	PEREZ, Emmanuelle
14:30	[19]	Excited Fermions	TRINH, Nguyen
15:00	[20]	Single Leptoquark Production in pp	PAPADOPOULOU, Theodoros
15:30	[30]	Single Top Production	BRANDT, Gerhard

Parton Saturation at the LHeC - theory and experiment - Amphitheatre (14:00-16:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
14:00	[21]	Gluon density in BKJL DAF-Pomeron at HERA and its implication for LHC and LHeC	KOWALSKI, Henryk
14:20	[22]	Saturation effects in final states and total cross sections due to CCFM with absorptive boundary	KUTAK, Krzysztof
14:40	[23]	SD tiny black holes and perturbative saturation	SABO VERA, Agustín
15:00	[24]	Establishing/clarifying saturation at LHeC	ROGNER-CHACON, Juan
15:20	[25]	Establishing/clarifying parton saturation in low x ep at LHeC	NEWMAN, Paul

Accelerator & IR Design - Auzone (16:30-19:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
16:30	[74]	Space requirements for cavities, klystrons and power converters in the LHC tunnel bypass area	LINSINGER, Trevor
16:50	[75]	Synergies of the required LHeC IR efforts with other existing projects	NN
17:10	[76]	Polarisation	BARBER, Desmond
17:30	[77]	Double Quad Design	PAOLINI, Eugenio BETTONI, Simona
17:50	[78]	Synchrotron Light	NAGORNY, Boris
18:10	[79]	Discussion	

Detector design - Foyer des artistes (16:30-19:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
16:30	[71]	Geant4 general pixel detector R&D	KOFFEMAN, Els
17:00	[72]	CALICE calorimeters for the LHC	SIMON, Frank
17:30	[73]	Detector Design WG open discussion	NN

Tuesday 02 September 2008

Accelerator & IR Design - Auzone (09:00-12:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
09:00	[40]	Ring-Linear option: various operation modes and performance reaches	ZIMMERMANN, Frank
09:30	[42]	Magnet design issues and options for an LHeC Interaction Region	KUSSENSCHUCK, Stephen
10:00	[43]	Operation with large crossing angles and the required CRAB cavity parameters	CALAJA, Rana
10:30		coffee break	
11:00	[44]	Summary of the main parameters for the ring-ring option	JOWETT, John
11:30	[45]	Ring-ring layout and bypass design	BURKHARDT, Helmut

Detector Design - Barbilaine (09:00-12:00)

time	[id]	title	presenter
09:00	[62]	Introduction and session organization	POLINI, Alessandro KOSTKA, Peter WALLNY, Rainer
09:15	[63]	Silicon Pixel detector for Tracking	WERMIS, Norbert
10:00	[64]	KDSO and silicon radiation hardness	MULL, Michael
10:30		coffee break	
11:00	[65]	Present & Future Collider Triggers	SMITH, Wesley
11:20	[66]	Triggers and displaced vertices (CDF SVT)	CERRI, Alessandro
11:40	[67]	The CMS Hadron Calorimeter and upgrade scenarios	SKUTA, Andri

QCD and Low x ep Observable and PDFs - Amphitheatre (09:00-12:00)


time	[id]	title	presenter
09:00	[11]	Precision Physics with Parton Distributions	VOGT, Andreas
09:30	[12]	Structure Functions and PDFs at/for LHeC	KLEIN, Max
09:50	[13]	Neural network approach to parton distribution	ROGNER-CHACON, Juan
10:10	[14]	Expectations for alpha_s	KLUSE, Thomas
10:30		coffee break	
11:00	[15]	Heavy Flavour and Jet Observables at the LHeC	BEHNKE, GOLF
11:20	[17]	More Low-x Observables at the LHeC	NEWMAN, Paul
11:40	[16]	Forward Jet/Parton Cascade Dynamics at LHeC (h/c)	JUNG, Hannes

Wednesday 03 September 2008

Reports from Working Groups - Amphitheatre (09:00-12:30)

time	[id]	title	presenter
09:00	[56]	Physics at High Parton Densities (ep and eA)	ARMISTO, Néstor NEWMAN, Paul
09:30	[57]	Precision Investigations of QCD and Electroweak Interactions	BEHNKE, GOLF
10:00	[58]	New Physics at Large Scales	WEIGLEIN, Georg
10:30		coffee break	
11:00	[59]	Detector Design	POLINI, Alessandro WALLNY, Rainer KOSTKA, Peter
11:30	[60]	Interaction region and Forward/Backward Detectors	HOLZER, Bernhard
12:00	[61]	Accelerator Design	BRUNING, Oliver

Kinematics & Motivation for 70 GeV x 7 TeV ep



$$\sqrt{s} = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$$

$$W \leq 1.4 \text{ TeV}$$



$$x \geq 5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ at } Q^2 \leq 1 \text{ GeV}^2$$

- High mass (Q^2) frontier
- Q^2 lever-arm at moderate x
- Low x (high W) frontier

The Luminosity v Acceptance Conundrum

- As for HERA-I v HERA-II, low β focusing quadrupoles around interaction region can improve lumi by a factor ~ 10
- However, acceptance near beam-pipe is compromised

- loss of low Q^2 acceptance
- loss of high M acceptance
- poorer HFS measurements



A Working Scenario for First Physics Studies

Assume a 70 GeV electron beam and lumi of 1-10 fb⁻¹ / year
Requirements based on reaching per-mil α_s (c.f. 1-2% now) ...

The new collider ...

- should be ~100 times more luminous than HERA

The new detector

- should be at least 2 times better than H1 / ZEUS

Lumi = 10^{33} cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	(HERA 1-5 × 10 ³¹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
Acceptance 10-170° (→179°?)	(HERA 7-177°)
Tracking to 0.1 mrad	(HERA 0.2 – 1 mrad)
EM Calorimetry to 0.1%	(HERA 0.2-0.5%)
Had calorimetry to 0.5%	(HERA 1%)
Luminosity to 0.5%	(HERA 1%)

First 'pseudo-data' for F_2 , F_2^D produced on this basis ...

Working Group on New Physics at High Scales

New physics at large scales: what is the physics potential of LHeC?



[Weiglein]

- Is there potential for new physics studies beyond the $eeqq$ contact interaction (see G. Altarelli's talk)?
- Can new physics be observed at the LHeC that did not show up at the LHC?
- If not, can LHeC + LHC measurements yield added value compared to LHC alone?

... LHeC may have competitive sensitivity to LHC in BSM areas where HERA was also strong ... some examples follow ...

Lepton-quark Bound States

- Leptoquarks appear in many extensions to SM... explain apparent symmetry between lepton and quark sectors.




Yukawa coupling, λ

- Scalar or Vector color triplet bosons carrying L, B and fractional Q, complex spectroscopy?

- (Mostly) pair produced in pp, single production in ep.



- LHeC sensitivity (to ~ 1.5 TeV) similar to LHC, but can determine quantum numbers / spectroscopy (fermion #, spin, chiral couplings ...)




(Zarnecki)

Rp Conserving Supersymmetry

$\tan \beta = 10, M_2 = 380 \text{ GeV}, \mu = -500 \text{ GeV}$



(Perez)



Pair production via t-channel exchange of a neutralino.





Cross-section sizeable for $\Sigma M < 1 \text{ TeV}$ i.e. if squarks are "light", could observe selectrons up to $\sim 500 \text{ GeV}$, a little beyond LHC?

- Total cross section for l^* productions through GM interaction at LHeC, assuming $M_{l^*} = \Lambda$

↘ comparison with HERA and LHC

Excited Leptons

[Trinh]



[Phys. Rev D 65 (2002) 075003]

**LHeC sensitivity,
with $L=10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ for $E_e=70/20$ GeV
with $L=1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ for $E_e=140$ GeV**

LHeC gives best sensitivity in this scenario ...

Precision Electroweak and QCD Group

Electroweak & QCD wishlist for LheC [Behnke]

WW → Higgs

Precise electroweak couplings a_q, v_q

α_s @ ~1% precision

w/d for $x \rightarrow 1$

$g(x)$ for $x > 0.1$

intrinsic c, b, t $x > 0.1$?
Effective b-density at $x = 0.01$

XF3 valence quarks down to small x

Direct $s(x)$

Precise F1 and $g(x)$ at low x

+much much many more...




Another version of the wish list ...

Novel Aspects of QCD in ep scattering



- **Clash of DGLAP and BFKL with unitarity: saturation phenomena; off-shell effects at high x**
- **Heavy quark distributions **do not** derive exclusively from DGLAP or gluon splitting -- **component intrinsic to hadron wavefunction:**
Intrinsic $c(x,Q)$, $b(x,Q)$, $t(x,Q)$:**
- **Hidden-Color of Nuclear Wavefunction**
- **Antishadowing is quark specific!**
- **Polarized $u(x)$ and $d(x)$ at large x ; duality**
- **Virtual Compton scattering : DVCS, DVMS, GPDs; $J=0$ fixed pole reflects elementary source of electromagnetic current**
- **Initial- and Final-State Interactions: leading twist SSA, DDIS**
- **Direct Higher-Twist Processes; Color Transparency**

Higgs Production

H production at LHeC



- Apply eta cuts on ALL final states



[U Klein,
Kniehl,
Perez,
Khuze]



Sizeable CC (WW) x-section
(NC factor ~ 5 smaller)

- \rightarrow Novel production mechanism
- \rightarrow Clean(ish) ... $H + j + p_{\uparrow}^{\text{miss}}$
- \rightarrow $b\bar{b}$ coupling to light H?

Acceptance is an issue ...

First background studies (jets
in CC) underway ...

LHeC Impact on High x Partons and α_s





Full NC/CC sim (with systs giving per mil α_s) & NLO DGLAP fit using standard HERA technology...

... high x pdfs \rightarrow may help clarify LHC discoveries through interpretation of new states?

[Some of highest x improvement from paramⁿ extrapolation]

High x Partons Limiting New Physics @ LHC

Some BSM models give deviations in high mass dijet spectra
... e.g. a model with extra dimensions ...



... in this example, high x PDF uncertainties reduce sensitivity to compactification scales from 6 TeV to 2 TeV for 2 XDs


Flavour Decomposition

High precision c, b measurements

(modern Si trackers, beam spot $15 * 35 \mu\text{m}^2$, increased HF rates at higher scales).


Systematics at 10% level

- beauty is a low x observable!
- s (& \bar{s}) from charged current
- Similarly $Wb \rightarrow t$?




b

[Mehta, Klein]



- LHeC 10^0 acceptance
- LHEC 1^0 acceptance



(Assumes 1 fb^{-1} and
 - 50% beauty, 10% charm efficiency
 - 1% $uds \rightarrow c$ mistag probability.
 - 10% $c \rightarrow b$ mistag)



LHeC Kinematics for Low x Investigations

LHeC – Low x Kinematics

Access to
 $Q^2=1 \text{ GeV}^2$
for all
 $x > 5 \times 10^{-7}$
IF we have
acceptance
to 179°


Without low β
quads $\sim 1 \text{ fb}^{-1} / \text{yr}$

Definitive low x
facility (parton
saturation ?...)



More Low x Detector Considerations


- Low x studies require electron acceptance to 1° to beampipe



- Considerably more asymmetric beam energies than HERA!
 - Hadronic final state at newly accessed lowest x values goes central or backward in the detector ☺
 - At x values typical of HERA (but larger Q^2), hadronic final state is boosted more in the forward direction.
- Study of low x / Q^2 and of range overlapping with HERA, with sensitivity to energy flow in outgoing proton direction requires forward acceptance for hadrons to $\sim 1^\circ$

Forward Instrumentation and Jets

[Jung]




- DIS and forward jet:

$$x_{jet} > 0.03$$

$$0.5 < \frac{p_{t,jet}^2}{Q^2} < 2$$

x range (and sensitivity to novel QCD effects) strongly depend on θ cut


Similar conclusions for $\Delta\phi$ decorrelations between jets



Some models of low x F_2 with LHeC Data

With 1 fb^{-1} (1 year at $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), 1° detector:
stat. precision $< 0.1\%$, syst, 1-3%

[Forshaw, Klein, Marquet, PN, Soyez]



Precise data in LHeC region, $x > \sim 10^{-6}$

- Extrapolated HERA dipole models ...
- FS04, CGC models including saturation suppressed at low x , Q^2 relative to non-sat FS04-Regge


... new effects may not be easy to see and will certainly need low Q^2 ($\theta \rightarrow 179^\circ$) region ...

How to establish Parton Saturation at LHeC?


... effects may not be so large in ep \rightarrow and may be hard to establish unambiguously with F_2 alone
... $A^{1/3}$ amplification in gluon in eA (~ 6 for Pb) may be needed
... Two first studies using F_2 and F_L in ep only ...

[Rojo]

F_2 at the LHeC - Simulated data from FS04 saturation model



F_L at the LHeC - Simulated data from FS04 saturation model



Saturation effects at LHeC (FS04-sat) cannot be absorbed into NNPDF1.0 DGLAP PDF analysis if F_2 and F_L both fitted

Can DGLAP adjust to fit LHeC sat models?


[Forshaw, Klein, PN, Perez]

- Attempt to fit ZEUS and LHeC saturation model data in increasingly narrow (low) Q^2 region until good fit obtained
- Use dipole-like (GBW) gluon parameterisation at Q_0^2

$$xg(x, Q_0^2) = A_g \left(1 - \exp \left[-B_g \log^2 \left(\frac{x}{x_0} \right)^\lambda \right] \right) (1-x)^{C_g}$$


- Fitting F_2 only, a good fit cannot be obtained beyond the range $2 < Q^2 < 20 \text{ GeV}^2$
- This fit fails to describe F_L

FS04 dataset, F_2










(even faster failure with CGC LHeC pseudo-data)

FS04 dataset, F_L



Some First Studies of Diffractive Channels




Forward and Diffractive Detectors

- Very forward tracking / calorimetry with good resolution ...
- Proton and neutron spectrometers ...


• Reaching $x_{IP} = 1 - E_p'/E_p = 0.01$ in diffraction with rapidity gap method requires η_{max} cut around 5 ...forward instrumentation essential!

- Roman pots, FNC should clearly be an integral part.
 - Also for t measurements
 - Not new at LHC 😊
 - Being considered integrally with interaction region

η_{max} from LRG selection ...



With AA at LHC, LHeC is also an eA Collider





- Very limited x and Q^2 range so far (unknown for $x < \sim 10^{-2}$, gluon poorly constrained)

- LHeC extends kinematic range by 4 orders of magnitude

- With wide range of x , Q^2 , A , opportunity to extract and understand nuclear parton densities in detail
- e.g. enhanced sensitivity to low x gluon saturation
- c.f. ions at ALICE, RHIC ... initial state in quark-gluon plasma production is presumably made out of saturated partons

How Could it be Done using LHC?

... whilst allowing simultaneous ep and pp running ...



- Previously considered as 'QCD explorer' (also THERA)
- Reconsideration (Chattopadhyay, Zimmermann et al.) recently
- Main advantages: low interference with LHC, $E_e \rightarrow 140 \text{ GeV} ++$, LC relation
- Main difficulties: lower luminosity $\sim 0.5 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (?) at reasonable power, no previous experience exists




- First considered (as LEPxLHC) in 1984 ECFA workshop
- Recent detailed re-evaluation with new e ring (Willeke)
- Main advantage: high peak lumi obtainable ($10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)
- Main difficulties: building round existing LHC, synchrotron limits to e beam energy and lifetime

Some topics from Interaction Region Group

[Burkhard]

Interaction Region Design: ring ring option detailed presentations about ...


- * *e-optics*: design of a low beta insertion, embedded into a LEP-2 like arc structure (Alexander Kling, B.H.)
- * *e-geometry*: bypass regions, (Helmut Burkhardt)
- * *p-optics*: low beta insertion combined with the LHC luminosity lattice (B.H.)
- * *sc. IR magnets*: first exotic (?) ideas about (Stephan Russenschuck)
- * *sc. double magnet design, active magnets* (Eugenio Paolomi, Simona Bettoni, Tim Greenshaw,)
- * *synchrotron radiation*: and beam separation (Boris Nagorny)
- * *rf cavities* & power consumption (John Jowett, Trevor Linnecar)



Ring-Ring Interaction Region Overview

[Willeke]

- LHC fixes p beam parameters
- 70 GeV electron beam, (compromise energy v synchrotron → 50 MW)
- Match e & p beam shapes, sizes
- Fast separation of beams with tolerable synchrotron power requires finite crossing angle
- 2 mrad angle gives 8σ separation at first parasitic crossing



... Linac-Ring could get around some of this ... and focusing quadrupoles could be further from IP?

Accelerator Group Summary

[Bruening]

“The discussions at this workshop showed that both options can in principle provide collisions at the TeV scale (e.g. collisions between 60 GeV lepton and 7 TeV proton beams) with a luminosity of $L = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-2}$ in a parasitic mode to the nominal p-p program.”


“The devil lies often in the details and insurmountable problems might only become visible during detailed studies.”

→ “Need to sketch both options for the LHeC in the conceptual design report”

Ring-Ring


“We have a lot of experience with the design of such a machine (LEP, HERA) and sophisticated tools are at hand for design & performance analysis” [Jowett, Kling]

“By-passes require a minimum of 1.5km tunnelling in the LHC” [Burkhard]



Thoughts on Linac-Ring Layout Designs



[Zimmermann]



... lots of R&D required ...

Another idea: electrons in the SPL?

SPL (Superconducting Proton Linac) is part of proposed CERN p-accelerator upgrade programme.
... could be used to provide up to **20 GeV electrons** (4 passes of 5 GeV)



Detector Group

Agenda

DETECTOR SESSION Tuesday morning		
9:00h	PK,AP,RW	Introduction
9:15h	Norbert Wermes	Silicon Pixel Detectors for Tracking
10:00h	Michael Moll	RD50 and silicon hardness
10:30h		-coffee-
11:00h	Wesley Smith	Present and Future Collider Triggers
11:30h	Alex Cerri	Trigger and online displaced vertexing (CDF SVT)
12:00h	Andris Skuja	CMS Hadron Calorimeter
12:30h		-lunch-
COMMON SESSION DET/ACC/IR Tuesday afternoon		
14:00h	Tim Greenshaw	Instrumented Magnets
14:30h	Herman ten Kate	Magnet options for LHeC detector
DETECTOR SESSION Tuesday afternoon		
17:00h	Els Koffeman	Gossip gaseous pixel R&D
17:30h	Frank Simon	Calice calorimeters for the ILC
18:00h		 Open Discussion

... lots of discussion of optimum detector technologies etc

A First Draft Detector?

Detector (1st draft):

- Barrel Solenoid Magnet:
- Barrel Liquid Argon Calorimeter
- Central-Forward-Backward TRT Gossip “particle ID” & tracking
- Central Forward-Backward Tracker
- Innermost layer of high Res Pixel (Monolithic CMOS)
- Forward Backward CALICE Type Calorimeters
- Instrumented low beta magnets

Still the issue of acceptance v luminosity optimisation remains ...

→ 2 interaction points / experiments?

→ 2 phases of experiment (a la HERA)?


→ New idea: can we instrument the

(superconducting) focusing quadrupoles so they provide calorimetry as well as focusing (and add some Si in front?)


Developing a "Magcal"?

[Greenshaw]

- Helium cooled SC magnet.
- Coils in He bath.




- Could add stainless steel plates as absorber with readout pads:



Presumably not the optimal resolution and 'all edges', but well worth pursuing!

- Space for calorimeter using He as active component?

... could even think of doing the same with solenoids / toroids?





Summary

- LHC is a totally new world of energy and luminosity! LHeC proposal aims to exploit this for TeV lepton-hadron scattering
- First ECFA/CERN meeting successfully gathered Accelerator, Theory & Experimental scientists
 - First debates on machine and detector layout
 - First (often crude) tasters of many physics topics
- ... much more detail needed for CDR
- ... many topics not covered so far at all (eA, VM, pots, γp ...)
- Next steps ...
 - Convenors' meeting to draft CDR targets [Nov '08]
 - More working group meetings ...
 - Full review meeting at DIS'09, Madrid [Apr '09]
 - Second ECFA-CERN workshop [1-3 Sep '09] → CDR
- More at www.lhec.org.uk ... You are very welcome to join!

Back-Ups Follow

Jets and Heavy Flavours




Constrain gluon (at Remarkably low x !) through jets and heavy flavour measurements

e.g. F_2^b to a few % constraining gluon down to $x \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

ALSO $Wb\bar{b} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ in CC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Heavy Quarks: HERA \rightarrow LHC


- HERA HF information limited by kinematic range and lumi (reasonable charm, some beauty, almost no strange)
- Crucial for understanding LHC initial state for new processes (e.g. $b\bar{b} \rightarrow H$) and backgrounds.



Higgs

\leftarrow SM

MSSM \rightarrow




- LHC predictions rely strongly on extrapolations and pQCD (e.g. CTEQ: 7% effect on W, Z rates varying HF treatment).

Example Search for Gluon Saturation at HERA

Forshaw, Sandapen, Shaw
hep-ph/0411337,0608161
... used for illustrations here


Fit inclusive HERA data
using dipole models
with and without parton
saturation effects



- FS04 Regge (\sim FKS): 2 pomeron model, no saturation
- FS04 Satn: Simple implementation of saturation
- CGC: Colour Glass Condensate version of saturation



- All three models can describe data with $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, $x < 0.01$
- Only versions with saturation work for $0.045 < Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- ... any saturation at HERA not easily interpreted partonically

DVCS Measurement




... the classic approach to 'generalised parton densities' (GPDs)

... can be tackled as at HERA through inclusive selection of $ep \rightarrow e\gamma$ and statistical subtraction of Bethe-Heitler background



Example of DVCS at LHeC

(1° acceptance)




Statistical precision
with $1 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sim 2-11\%$

With F_2, F_L , could
help establish
saturation and
distinguish between
different models
which contain it!

Cleaner interpretation
in terms of GPDs at
larger LHeC Q^2 values

VMs similar story

Linac-Ring Design



- 140 GeV electron beam at 23 MV/m is 6km + gaps
- CMS energy \rightarrow 2 TeV!

	units	ring-linac pulsed		ring-linac, cw, ~99% energy recovery	
		e-	p	e-	p
energy	GeV	70	7000	70	7000
punch population	10^{10}	2	17	2	17
σ_z	cm	0.03	7.55	0.03	7.55
beam current (pulsed)	mA	101	858	101	858
emittance $\epsilon_{x,y}$	nm	0.5, 0.5			
$\beta^*_{x,y}$	cm	15, 15			
spacing	ns	25			
e-linac/ring length	km	3.5	7 (2 linacs)		
e- pulse length		1 ms	cw		
repetition rate		5 Hz	continuous		
e- beam power	MW	35	7000		
peak luminosity	$10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	0.6	2x110		

S. Chattopadhyay (Cockcroft), F.Zimmermann (CERN), et al.

Relatively low peak lumi, but good average lumi
 Energy recovery (2 linacs?) ...else prohibitive power usage?

Luminosity: Ring-Ring

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{pn} &= 3.8 \mu\text{m} \\ N_p &= 1.7 \cdot 10^{11} \\ \sigma_{p(x,y)} &= \sigma_{e(x,y)} \\ \beta_{px} &= 1.8 \text{m} \\ \beta_{py} &= 0.5 \text{m} \end{aligned}$$

$$L = \frac{N_p \gamma}{4 \pi e \varepsilon_{pn}} \cdot \frac{I_e}{\sqrt{\beta_{px} \beta_{py}}} = 8.310^{32} \cdot \frac{I_e}{50 \text{mA}} \frac{m}{\sqrt{\beta_{px} \beta_{pn}}} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$


$$I_e = 0.35 \text{mA} \cdot \frac{P}{\text{MW}} \cdot \left(\frac{100 \text{GeV}}{E_e} \right)^4$$

10³³ can be reached in RR
E_e = 40-80 GeV & P = 5-60 MW.

HERA was 1-4 10³¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹
 huge gain with SLHC p beam

F.Willeke in hep-ex/0603016:
 Design of interaction region
 for 10³³ : 50 MW, 70 GeV


May reach 10³⁴ with ERL in
 bypasses, or/and reduce power.
 R&D performed at BNL/eRHIC



Luminosity: Linac-Ring

$$L = \frac{N_p \gamma}{4 \pi e \varepsilon_{pn} \beta^*} \cdot \frac{P}{E_e} = 1 \cdot 10^{32} \cdot \frac{P / MW}{E_e / GeV} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{pn} &= 3.8 \mu m \\ N_p &= 1.7 \cdot 10^{11} \\ \beta^* &= 0.15 m \end{aligned}$$



$$I_e = 100 mA \cdot \frac{P}{MW} \cdot \frac{GeV}{E_e}$$

LHeC as Linac-Ring version
can be as luminous as HERA II:

$4 \cdot 10^{31}$ can be reached with LR:
 $E_e = 40-140$ GeV & $P=20-60$ MW
 LR: average lumi close to peak

140 GeV at 23 MV/m is 6km +gaps


Luminosity horizon: high power:
 ERL (2 Linacs?)

Overview of LHeC Parameters

Table 3: *Main Parameters of the Lepton-Proton Collider*

Property	Unit	Leptons	Protons
Beam Energies	GeV	70	7000
Total Beam Current	mA	74	544
Number of Particles / bunch	10^{10}	1.04	17.0
Horizontal Beam Emittance	nm	7.6	0.501
Vertical Beam Emittance	nm	3.8	0.501
Horizontal β -functions at IP	cm	12.7	180
Vertical β -function at the IP	cm	7.1	50
Energy loss per turn	GeV	0.707	$6 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Radiated Energy	MW	50	0.003
Bunch frequency / bunch spacing	MHz / ns	40 / 25	
Center of Mass Energy	GeV	1400	
Luminosity	$10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	1.1	

Geometric Scaling at the LHeC



LHeC reaches
 $\tau \sim 0.15$ for
 $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ and
 $\tau \sim 0.4$ for
 $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$


Some (though
limited) acceptance
for $Q^2 < Q_s^2$ with Q^2
"perturbative"

Could be enhanced
with nuclei.

$Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ accessible
in special runs?

Reminder : Dipole models

- Unified description of low x region, including region where Q^2 small and partons not appropriate degrees of freedom ...



$$\sigma_{\gamma^* p}^{T,L}(x, Q^2) \sim \int dz d^2 r \left| \psi_{\gamma^*}^{T,L}(z, r, Q^2) \right|^2 \sigma_{dipole}(x, r, z)$$

- Simple unified picture of many inclusive and exclusive processes ... strong interaction physics in (universal) dipole cross section σ_{dipole} . Process dependence in wavefunction Ψ Factors
- $q\bar{q}$ -g dipoles also needed to describe inclusive diffraction



Forward Jets

Long HERA program to understand parton cascade emissions by direct observation of jet pattern in the forward direction.
... DGLAP v BFKL v CCFM v resolved γ^* ...

Conclusions limited by kinematic restriction to high x ($> \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$) and detector acceptance.

At LHeC ... more emissions due to longer ladder & more instrumentation \rightarrow measure at lower x where predictions really diverge.

SKIP???




The Gluon from F_L ?

● LHeC

○ H1 low E_p run (projected)

Vary proton beam energy as recently done at HERA ?...



E_p (TeV)	Lumi (fb^{-1})
7	1
4	0.8
2	0.2
1	0.05
[0.45]	[0.01]



Typically lose 1-2 points at high x if $E_p = 0.45$ TeV not possible

[~ 1 year of running]

... precision typically 5%, stats limited for $Q^2 > 1000 \text{ GeV}^2$

Beyond Inclusive Measurements

- **Hadronic Final States:**
 - Jets, heavy flavours
→ complementary pdf info, gluon directly, how to treat HF in QCD
- ? Usefulness of HERA data often limited by scale uncertainties in theory



Searches at highest \sqrt{s} with initial state lepton

- **Forward Jets,**
 - Direct tests of assumed parton evolution patterns
 - ? Understanding limited by instrumentation near beam-pipe
- **Diffraction**
 - Unique clean probe of gap dynamics and elastic scattering
 - ? Understanding limited by (forward) detectors ...


Motivation for TeV Scale DIS

- New Physics of eq Bound States, ν^* , Selectrons ...
leptoquarks, RP violating SUSY, quark compositeness
- The Low x Limit of Quantum Chromodynamics
high parton densities with low coupling
` saturating; the parton growth, new evolution dynamics
diffraction and confinement
quark-gluon dynamics and the origin of mass
- Precision Proton Structure for the LHC and elsewhere
essential to know the initial state precisely (b, g ...)
- Nuclear Parton Densities
 eA with $AA \rightarrow$ partons in nuclei, Quark Gluon Plasma

... some considerations follow with $E_e = 70 \text{ GeV}$, $E_p = 7 \text{ TeV}$,
lumi $\sim 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($\sim 10 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$)...

Azimuthal (de)correlations between Jets

[Jung]




$5 < Q^2 < 100 \text{ GeV}^2$

$-1 < \eta < 2.5$


$E_T > 5 \text{ GeV}$

small $k_t \rightarrow \Delta\phi \sim 180$

large k_t from evolution




π Structure with Neutrons




[Bunyatyán]

(RAPGAP
MC model,
 $E_p = 7\text{TeV}$,
 $E_e = 70\text{GeV}$)



- With $\theta_n < 1$ mrad, similar x_L and p_T ranges to HERA (a bit more p_T lever-arm for π flux).

- Extensions to lower β and higher Q^2 as in leading proton case. $\rightarrow F_2^\pi$
At $\beta < 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (cf HERA reaches $\beta \sim 10^{-3}$)



Also relevant to absorptive corrections, cosmic ray physics ...


Example Impact at LHC of Badly Known PDFs

Proton structure and interpretation of LHC discoveries

E. Perez

- We may need more precise pdf's :

Example: new W' , resonant slepton production in RpV SUSY



(DIS'07)

40% uncertainty on part. lum. for a 6 TeV W' . Translates into an uncertainty on the coupling of the W' .

Idem for the couplings of a new Z' close to the kinematic limit.

Plot from Max's talk?

Drop?


See also Large Extra Dimensions study (Ferrag)

New Physics at Large Scales,
Georg Weiglein, Divonne, 09/08

29

Flavour decomposition of PDFs is also a major bonus

Ring-Ring Design



- Assume ring would have to bypass P1, P5, P3 and P6
- P8 / P2 could be possible ep/eA interaction regions?