

Quantum properties of QCD string fragmentation

(Šárka Todorova-Nová, Charles University, Prague)

- (Lund) string fragmentation
 in 1 and 3 dimensions
- Causal constraint
 & quantum properties
- Light meson spectra
 & QCD field properties
- 2-particle correlations in coherent hadron production (+ comparison with data)



NO TIME TO TALK ABOUT :

- -> inclusive (p_{τ}) spectra
- -> azimuthal ordering of hadrons
- -> polarization effects
- -> topological QCD

Lund string fragmentation model

B. Andersson et al., "Parton fragmentation and string dynamics," Phys. Rept. 97, 31 (1983)391

time

Semi-classical model : - confinment modeled by relativistic massless string with constant string tension (κ^{-1} GeV/fm)

- massless partons moving in light-cone coordinates

- the area span of the string (A) corresponds to the action

- homogenous QCD field with probability of breakup $\sim exp(-b\Gamma)$

Related to Wilson loops $M \sim exp(i \xi A)$



Mass and transverse momentum generation

strongly influenced by the absence of cross-talk between adjacent string breakups :



(local charge and momentum conservation holds)

Two adjacent string break-ups define a HADRON with $\vec{p}_T = \vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2$ $p_1 = \kappa (t_1 - t_2)$ $E = \kappa (x_1 - x_2)$

(breakups separated by space-like distance)

QCD field is not 1-dimensional

most likely, a thin vortex tube (superconductor type II) [B.Andersson:"The Lund Model".Cambridae :



[B.Andersson:"The Lund Model",Cambridge 1998]

... what about working with a 3 dimensional string ?

Helix string concept [JHEP09(1998)14.]

B.Andersson et al.: "Is there a screwiness at the end of partons showers ? "



- introduced on the basis of helicity conservation to stabilize the (soft) end of parton cascades

!!! Causal constraint applicable on twisted / 3dim string !!!

i.e., we can IMPOSE time-like distance (<> cross-talk) between string breakup vertices which define the hadron

Let's concentrate on the case when information (about string break-up) travels along the string only, together with the massless parton :



-> propagating guark "triggers" the next breakup & creation of hadron with mass

 $m_S = \kappa R_1 / (\Delta \Phi)^2 - (2 \sin \Delta \Phi / 2)^2$. (depends on TRANSVERSE

shape of string only,

longitudinal momentum and transverse mass decouple)

-> these should be narrow resonant states

? Quantization in $\Delta \Phi$ (m_T), does it reproduce hadron spectra?

Let's consider light pseudoscalar mesons : π , $\eta(547)$, $\eta'(948)$ (they fit well in the picture of chains of ground state hadrons)

- π ground state (lightest hadron) ; η > 3 π ; η ' -> 5 π
- quantization: E_{τ} (n=1,3,5) = $n \kappa R \Delta \Phi$ $p_{\tau}(n=1,3,5) = 2 \kappa R | sin(n \Delta \Phi/2) |$ $m = sqrt \left(E_{\tau}^2 - p_{\tau}^2 \right)$ 2 parameters to constrain : κR [GeV], $\Delta \Phi$ [rad]

The fit is overconstrained - but there IS a solution which agrees with the experimental data with precision of ~3%

κξ [MeV]	κ R [MeV]	ΔΦ
192.5 ± 0.5	68 ± 2	2.82 ± 0.06
meson	PDG mass [MeV]	model estimate [MeV]
π	135 - 140	137
η	548	565
η'	958	958

TABLE I. Best fit of the parameters of the pion ground state obtained from the mass spectrum of light pseudoscalar mesons. The η mass is reproduced within a 3% margin which serves as the base of uncertainty for $R, \Delta \Phi$ parameters.



UNEXPECTED CONNECTION to topological QCD : fitted scales in excellent agreement



FIG. 3. The predicted masses of light pseudoscalar mesons as function of helix phase difference $\Delta \Phi$, for fixed $R\Delta \Phi$ =0.192 fm rad.



FIG. 9. Low $f_0(1370)$ one-parameter fit with curvaturecorrected lengths: This is our best fit of the f_J states data to the knot and link data. Errors are shown for the states, as is the 3% error estimate of knot and link lengths. Nonfitted knots and links are not shown.

Phys.Rev.D89, 015002(2014) [arXiv:1309.6761] estimate of radius of helix-like QCD string

κR = 68 ± 2 MeV

obtained from the fit of mass of light pseudoscalar mesons

```
E_{T}(n) = \kappa R n \Delta \phi [helical QCD string]
```

Phys.Rev.D89, 054513(2014) [arXiv:1212.1500] estimate of the confinment scale

 Λ_{tube} = 65.16 ± 0.61 MeV

obtained from the fit of J++ spectra modelled as tight topological QCD knots

 $E(K) = \Lambda_{tube} \epsilon(K)$ [knotted flux tube]

QCD field parametrization implications (observables)

intrinsic p_T of (ground state) pions ~ 0.13 GeV Just about what is needed to "regularize" the soft particle production in the helix string model ?



minimal distance between adjacent hadrons (+- pairs) "Q threshold" at ~ 0.26 GeV

(ground state pions) -> direct impact on the correlation pattern

$$Q = \sqrt{-(p_i - p_{i+1})^2} \approx |\overrightarrow{p}_{Ti} - \overrightarrow{p}_{Ti+1}| \approx 2 p_T^{\text{thr}} | \sin(\Delta \Phi/2) |$$

> Correlations for like-sign pairs with $Q^{++,--} \approx 2 p_T^{\text{thr}}$ |sin (2 △Φ/2)| ≈ 0.09 GeV





ATLAS corrected Q spectra EPJC 75 (2015) 3644 [arXiv:1502.07947]

Can be analysed in 2 ways

- assuming incoherent particle production
 -> ratio -> correlation function (BE effect)
- assuming coherent particle production
 -> subtraction -> (resonant) shape



A⊕ 0.015

0.01

0.005

p(Q) / 2

• all pairs

(+-) pairs

(++,--) pairs

p_>100 MeV, lηl< 2.5,

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$

Helical QCD string or Bose-Einstein effect ?

Coherent or incoherent origin of hadron correlations ?

Inevitably, we approach the confrontation of the two concepts - competing over the same data (ALL hadronic data) HELIX vs. BE

The question can be settled <u>experimentally</u>: A/ in the coherent scenario, close like-sign pairs belong to a triplet 'chains' with mass $M_n < 0.6$ GeV B/ no correlations between hadrons from colour disconnected sources

LEP2 : WW studies : no correlations among "mixed" pairs -> coherent origin favoured HELIX – BE (1:0)

LHC : minimum bias : shape of correlations in agreement with model prediction -> coherent origin favoured Elimination of free parameters from the modelling (hadron spectra can be deduced from correlation shape) HELIX – BE (2:0)

Source size considerations : -> coherent origin favoured



If the model survives the experimental scrutiny, it has a huge potential for further development:



<u>Summary</u>

- Phenomenological (data driven) approach to the quantization of QCD field
- Helical QCD string seems to be an excellent approximation of the effective QCD field
- Causality provides the key to the study of the mass spectrum of light mesons, coupled with dynamical correlations
- Number of observable model predictions up for validation :
 - -> inclusive low p_{τ} (< 200 MeV)
 - -> 2-particle correlations
 - -> azimuthal ordering
 - -> polarization studies

PHENOMENOLOGY :

2 degrees of freedom removed from the string fragmentation model & number of free parameters reduced

(++ : numerical agreement with topological QCD studies)

-> better hadronization model



Documentation

- Bo Andersson et al., JHEP 09 (1998) 014.
- Š.Todorova, Phys.Rev.D86, 034001 (2012)
- ATLAS Coll., Phys.Rev.D86, 052005 (2012)
- *Š.Todorova, arXiv:1012.5778 [hep-ph]*
- <u>http://projects.hepforge.org/helix/</u>
- Š.Todorova, Phys.Rev.D89, 015002 (2014)
- *Š.Todorova, arXiv:1406.3564 [hep-ph]*
- ATLAS Coll., ATL-COM-PHYS-2013-1657 (private) [study of hadron chains]

[phenomenology of helix] [phenomenology of helix] [azimuthal ordering] [helix-tune with LEP data] [PYTHIA-compatible code] [quantization of helix]

Helix string concept [JHEP09(1998)14.]

(introduced to stabilize the end of parton shower)



3dim string tension : let string transverse shape define the hadron p_{τ}



LEP data show some correlations between longitudinal (x_P) and transverse <p_T> momentum component

[Z.Phys.C73(1996)11]



The removal of 2 degrees of freedom associated with the intrinsic pT generation leads to a <u>significant</u> improvement in the description of hadronic ZO data [arXiv:1012.5778]

Indirect experimental evidence: tuning on Z⁰ data (DELPHI_1996_S3430090)





The helix-like shape structure of the QCD field should be visible in the azimuthal ordering of hadrons along the string



With the help of power spectra, we test two (weakly correlated) hypotheses

$$A/\Delta \phi \sim \Delta \eta$$

$$S_{\eta}(\xi) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{event} \frac{1}{n_{ch}} |\sum_{j} \exp(i(\xi \eta_j - \phi_j))|^2$$

B/ $\Delta \Phi \sim \Delta X$ (energy-distance - amount of energy stored in the string/ ordered hadron chain - experimentally : ordered in pseudorapidity)

$$S_E(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{event} \frac{1}{n_{ch}} |\sum_j \exp(i(\omega X_j - \phi_j))|^2$$

Search for resonant behaviour -> density of helix winding

10/5/15

Azimuthal ordering

The model predicts azimuthal ordering of hadrons [Phys.Rev.D86, 052005 (2012)]



$$S_E(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{event} \frac{1}{n_{ch}} |\sum_j \exp(i(\omega X_j - \phi_j))|^2$$







Comparison with model in Phys.Rev.D86,034001(2012)

Min.bias inclusive (jet dominated)



Min.bias low p_{τ} selection (dominated by fragmentation)



.. the model is not complete ...



The string area can be interpreted in terms of action , the tuning of helical string parameters suggest $\kappa R \Delta \varphi c \sim \hbar$

Experimental methods

How to extract the adjacent pairs from the combinatorial background ?

"standard" string fragmentation preserves 4-momentum, flavour and charge at each breakup vertex -> adjacent hadrons correlated + strong charge-combination asymmetry (adjacent charged like-sign pairs forbidden) -> non-adjacent direct hadrons uncorrelated

! Q (+-) - Q (++,--) removes very efficiently the non-adjacent hadron pairs !

Probability to find pair of hadrons with rank 2 about the same for like-sign and unlike-sign pair:





<u>The residual shape after subtraction reflects the</u> <u>spectrum of resonances (+ adjacent pairs)</u>



<u>The residual shape after subtraction reflects the</u> <u>spectrum of resonances (+ adjacent pairs)</u>

Low Q dominated by η, η', Φ (K -> π in our approximation)



Effect of the quantization on the subtracted 2-particle densities



Source of correlations : <u>coherent</u> particle emission

Comparison with generator level Pythia8 minbias sample shows the Q threshold is violated in MC for both rank 0 pairs (resonance decays) and rank 1 pairs (adjacent)

The "resonant" spectrum dominated by

η, η', ω, ρ, Κ^{*}, Φ

(K⁰ neglected here)

In the absence of particle identification, the spectrum can be roughly splitted into 3 "peaks" : $1/\eta+\eta'+\Phi$ $2/\omega$ (+K) $3/\rho+K^*$



Enforcing Q threshold

 Estimate : take distribution from Pythia8, fit with Landau/Gaus, adjust width so that low edge does not overflow Q threshold "too much"



for pseudoscalar mesons , the Q should coincide with the threshold value η' should produce close like-sign pairs (jointly with rank 1 distribution)



The effect of enforced Q threshold is spectacular



It is possible to distinguish the coherent particle source

by study of the contribution from n-hadron chains (n>2)

i.e., for each pair of close like-sign particles, take into account the "connecting" unlike-sign hadron (there must be one, due to the local charge conservation)



Light meson spectra in quantized helix string model (fit, prediction)

	pseudoscalar mesons	vector mesons	strange mesons
	N κR ΔΦ => N pions	N κR ΔΦ => M pions ; M <n< td=""><td>+ 120 MeV</td></n<>	+ 120 MeV
			(~ s quark mass)
N=1	π:135-140, <mark>137±4</mark>		
N=2			K : 493-497. 495 ± 15
			,
N=3	η:548,565±17	$(\omega : 782, 799 \pm 24)$	
N=4		ρ:769, <mark>766 ± 23</mark>	K*: 892, <mark>m(ρ) + 120</mark>
		(ω:782, <mark>766 ± 23</mark>)	φ : 1020 , <mark>m(ρ) + 2*120</mark>
N=5	n': 948,948 ± 30	Beyond scheme · f. ((s)
_	• • • • • • • •	~ incoherent fragmentation	

Cross-talk : what if the curly field interacts across loops ("knotting")



- -> topological origin of mass hierarchy ?
- -> increasingly complex knots generate new properties ?
- -> unknotting (of oriented knots) requires parity violating operation
 - (~weak decay), or becomes impossible (proton trefoil?)

Trajectory of parton under helix-string potential satisfies the uncertainty principle:



just once per the period for $\omega \Delta t = k 2\pi$, $k = 1, 2, 3 \dots$

And the corresponding action is

 $x(\Delta t) \ p(\Delta t) \ - x(0) \ p(0) = \kappa c \ (\Delta t / \gamma)^2 = \kappa c \ \tau^2 = \kappa \ (0.183 \pm 0.01) \ fm^2/c \ \approx \hbar$

τ is the invariant period of parton rotation (spin)

10/5/15

<u>So called uncertainty principle cannot be broken</u> <u>in any sound description of the data</u>

The non-commutativity of x, p stems directly from the fact that p is a differential (~ d/dt x) : the measurement of the momentum cannot be done instantly, one has to measure twice:

check the position of the measured object at time t_1 check the position of the measured object at time $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$ deduce velocity -> momentum

position change -> non-commutativity IS a PURE MATH !

PHYSICS steps in with the EMPIRICAL observation that the Δt cannot be infinitesimaly small : minimal action ~ ħ ALLWAYS INVOLVED

ANY THEORY COMPLYING with the MINIMAL ACTION REQUIREMENT is all right (AND infrared safe !)

10/5/15