



First LHCb results from pp collisions at 13 TeV

Tomasz Szumlak

on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

AGH-UST

XLV International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics 04/10 - 09/10/2015, Wildbad Kreuth, Germany

Outline

- Introduction LHCb Detector
- Highlights from RUN I
- Real-time detector calibration and alignment
- **Enhanced Trigger for RUN II**
- Improved tracking
- Selected results from with 13 TeV collision data
- Summary

LHCb Collaboration

- □ ~ 900 members
- □ 64 institutes
- □ 16 countries
- More than 250 published papers!

The Mission

- Precise CPV studies with beauty and charm hadrons
- Rare decays of b and c hadrons
- EW and QCD physics in the forward direction
- Production and spectroscopy in p-p collisions
- Exotica(pentaquarks!)
- Heavy ion data taking

□ LHCb is dedicated for studying heavy quark flavour physics

 \Box It is a single arm forward spectrometer with pseudo rapidity coverage $2 < \eta < 5$

- Precise tracking system
 - Vertex detector VELO

Upstream and downstream tracking stations

4 Tm warm dipole magnet

- □ Particle identification system
 - □ RICH detectors
 - Calorimeters
 - Muon stations

□ Partial information from calorimeters and muon system contribute to **L0 trigger** (hardware) that works at LHC clock – **40 MHz**

□ Full detector readout at 1 MHz

□ Although LHCb became a versatile general purpose forward physics experiment its main goal is **precise flavour physics**

Complementary approach w.r.t. Atlas and CMS

□ **Indirect** searches for New Physics using quantum loops

In order to accomplish this we need to provide

□ superb **tracking** – momentum resolution $\frac{\Delta p}{n} \sim 0.3 - 0.5 \%$

excellent vertexing (primary and secondary) and geometrical impact parameter resolutions

 \Box decay time resolution ~ 40 – 50 fs (depending on the decay mode)

excellent PID (Particle IDentification)

Tracking system – precise momentum reconstruction, vertexing, decay time resolution



[JINST 3 (2008) S08005]

Excellent PID using RICH detectors (cover different momentum range), calorimeters and muon chambers in concert



[JINST 3 (2008) S08005]

LHCb detector performance

Performance of the LHCb detector is constantly checked – its performance has a direct impact on physics results

Mass resolution



[J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2013) 064]

LHCb detector performance

Performance of the LHCb detector is constantly checked – its performance has a direct impact on physics results

Decay time



[New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 053021]

LHCb detector performance

Performance of the LHCb detector is constantly checked – its performance has a direct impact on physics results



[[]Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2431]

Operation conditions of the LHCb in 2011 / 2012

 \Box Beam energy 3.5 / 4.0 [TeV] (15 % increase of the $b\overline{b}$ x-section)

□ Keep the luminosity at $L_{inst} = 4.0 \times 10^{32} [cm^{-2}s^{-1}]$ both years at the constant value by the means of **leveling**

 \Box Average number of visible interactions per x-ing slightly higher $\mu = 1.4 / 1.6$

□ HLT (High Level Trigger) input ~ 1.0 MHz, output ~ 3.0 / 5.0 kHz (upgraded HLT farm and revisited code)



 $B^0_{d(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

□ Full data sample from Run I analysed

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (2.9 \,{}^{+1.1}_{-1.0}(\text{stat}) \,{}^{+0.3}_{-0.1}(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-9} \,, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (3.7 \,{}^{+2.4}_{-2.1}(\text{stat}) \,{}^{+0.6}_{-0.4}(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-10} \end{split}$$

[Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 101804]

Combined with CMS (joint likelihood fit)

6.2
$$\sigma$$
 observation of $B_s \to \mu\mu$
3.0 σ evidence for $B_d \to \mu\mu$
 $\mathcal{BR}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 2.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-9}$
 $\mathcal{BR}(B_d \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 3.9^{+1.6}_{-1.4} \times 10^{-10}$

Ratio B_s/B_d BF's agreement with SM at 2.3 σ



(Nature 522 (2015) 68)



$B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$: NP in loops

- Observed forward-backward asymmetry very similar to that predicted by the SM – world best measurement
- □ Cannot clearly state any discrepancy sample limitation
- New base of observables proposed
- Reduced dependency on hadronic form factors
- Observed discrepancy may be a hint of new heavy neutral Z' particle



[PRL 111, 191801 (2013)], new paper summarising Run I results is under way

Lepton universality tests with $B^+ \to K^+ l^+ l^-$

Measured the ratio of branching fractions

$$R_{K} = \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^{2}}^{q_{\max}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma[B^{+} \to K^{+}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}]}{\mathrm{d}q^{2}} \mathrm{d}q^{2}}{\int_{q_{\min}^{2}}^{q_{\max}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma[B^{+} \to K^{+}e^{+}e^{-}]}{\mathrm{d}q^{2}} \mathrm{d}q^{2}}$$

□ The most precise results to date

14

lacksquare Observed deviation from the SM close to 3 σ

 $R_K = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.036 \,(\text{syst})$



Highlights from RUN I - Summary

LHCb:

- □ Superb performance greatly exceeded any expectations
- □ Stable operation at inst. luminosity 100% higher than nominal
- General purpose detector in forward direction
- Many world leading results
- Over 250 papers published!

The SM is standing tall:

- □ No conclusive BSM physics discovered
- There is still room for NP!
- Need push precision to the limits in order to challenge theoretical predictions
- \Box Some intriguing anomalies (~ 3 4 σ) are present
- Need more data to check if this is New Physics looking over our shoulders...



Real-time detector alignment and calibration

□ **Novel** approach to on-line trigger system for a HEP experiment

- Provide off-line quality tracking in real-time
- Need to have robust and reliable procedure for fill-by-fill calibration and alignment
- Detector alignment has critical impact on physics performance of the experiment
 - Topological trigger (separation of beauty and charm)





Real-time detector alignment and calibration

Harsh hadronic environment

- Selection criteria must be tight in order to select required signal samples containing hadronic decays
- □ Must provide excellent RICH calibration



[[]Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2431]

LHCb Trigger - Introduction

RUN I (already heavily revised comparing to the original specs)





Enhanced trigger for RUN II - alignment

- The procedure uses tracks reconstructed in the LHCb tracker and muon stations
- □ **Iterative** approach
 - □ Perform reconstruction using the **"old**" alignment consts.
 - \Box Determine new consts. by global χ^2 minimisation
 - \Box **Repeat** the above till **below** the threshold ($\Delta \chi^2$)
- New set of parameters are ready for HLT2 processing after several minutes
- □ The most sensitive part is the **vertex detector** new parameters are calculated for **each fill**
- Other tracking detectors much more stable (new consts. needed every few weeks)
- **RICH** mirrors alignment included in this framework

Enhanced trigger for RUN II - calibration

□ **RICH** calibration

□ Gas refractive index

□ HPD images distorted due to electric/magnetic field

□ Drift time in **gaseous tracking** detectors

□ Mismatch between the LHC and LHCb clocks

□ Calorimeter calibration

□ Gain equalisation

Occupancy method

□ Neutral pion mass position

That's not all – introducing TURBO



That's not all – introducing TURBO

□ This idea is quite amazing!

- Out of the 12.5 kHz of the output stream ~ 5 kHz is dedicated to the TURBO stream
- The central idea is to save only the trigger level objects that caused it to "fire"

Tracks and vertices

□ No raw data is stored for the TURBO

□ Huge gain

 $\hfill \Box$ The event size is **much smaller**

□ No reprocessing

□ Analysis much faster

□ Used for **high yield** exclusive modes (charm)

That's not all – introducing TURBO

□ The TURBO stream has been commissioning this year and is performing superbly

□ Below plots obtained directly after the HLT



Background almost non existent – tribute for the excellent LHCb tracking performance – off-line tracking quality in the HLT

□ The number of events is much higher than that in RUN I

- □ The LHCb detector started to collect data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 TeV$
- □ Thanks to excellent performance of the tracking and PID LHCb is well suited for contributing to various QCD tests in the forward direction
 - □ Unique kinematical coverage at LHC
- □ Will present cross-section measurements for:
 - \Box prompt J/ψ mesons
 - \Box *J*/ ψ mesons from b-hadrons
 - prompt charm mesons
- \Box Previously performed for $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 TeV$, 7 TeV and 8 TeV

The measurement technique

□ The double-differential cross-section expressed as a function of the transverse momentum and rapidity

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_i(H)}{d\rho_{\mathsf{T}}dy} = \frac{1}{\Delta\rho_{\mathsf{T}}\Delta y} \cdot \frac{N_i(H \to f + \text{c.c.})}{\varepsilon_{i,\text{tot}}(H \to f) \cdot \Gamma(H \to f) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}}$$

 \Box Count events N_i decaying to a given final state f

□ The main experimental difficulty is to distinguish prompt decays coming from the PV from the secondary signal

 \Box use pseudo-lifetime for J/ψ

and impact parameter significance for open charm decays

 $\hfill\square$ Luminosity – precise measurement thanks to the $\hfill SMOG$

$$\Box \mathcal{L}_{int}^{J/\psi, b\bar{b}} = (3.05 \pm 0.12) \, pb^{-1}$$
$$\Box \mathcal{L}_{int}^{c\bar{c}} = (4.98 \pm 0.19) \, pb^{-1}$$

□ Signal extraction

- $\Box J/\psi$ meson production studied using the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay mode
- □ The fraction of J/ψ 's originating from b-hadron decays estimated using **pseudo-lifetime** variable

$$t_z = \frac{(z_{J/\psi} - z_{PV}) \cdot M_{J/\psi}}{p_z}$$

- □ For the charm mesons studies the following decay modes were used: $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$, $D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $D^+_s \to K^-K^+\pi^+$ and $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$
- □ Use **impact parameter** significance, χ^2_{IP} , to separate the secondary charm mesons



\Box Signal extraction J/ψ

 \square 2D unbinned extended ML fits for each $p_T - y$ bin



Separate secondary J/ψ mesons

Distinguish signal and bkg. by fitting the mass $m_{\mu^+\mu^-}$

[arXiv:1509.00771]

□ Signal extraction charm

Two 1D binned extended ML fits performer simultaneously for all bins



 $\Box J/\psi$ cross-section measurement (prompt and secondary)



 $\sigma_{prompt}^{J/\psi} = 15.30 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.86 \text{ (sys)} \ \mu b$ $\sigma_{from-B}^{J/\psi} = 2.34 \pm 0.01 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.13 \text{ (sys)} \ \mu b$ $\sigma^{b\bar{b}} = 515.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ (stat)} \pm 53.0 \text{ (sys)} \ \mu b$

 \Box Comparison with theory - J/ψ production

 \Box cross sections integrated over rapidity - 2 < y < 4.5



NRQCD model for the prompt J/ψ production

[Shao et al., JHEP 1505 (2015) 103]

FONLL model for the b-hadron J/ψ production [Cacciari et al., JHEP 1210 (2012) 137]

 \Box Can also compare the results from 13 TeV data sample with the previously measured cross-sections at 8 TeV



NRQCD model for the prompt J/ψ production

[Shao et al., JHEP 1505 (2015) 103]

model for the FONLL hhadron J/ψ production [Cacciari et al., JHEP 1210 (2012) 137]

10

□ Charm meson cross-sections



33

□ Measured values for respective charm mesons (µb) $\sigma_{prompt}^{D^{0}} = 3370 \pm 4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 200 \text{ (sys)} \mu b$ $\sigma_{prompt}^{D^{+}} = 1290 \pm 8 \text{ (stat)} \pm 190 \text{ (sys)} \mu b$ $\sigma_{prompt}^{D^{+}} = 460 \pm 13 \text{ (stat)} \pm 100 \text{ (sys)} \mu b$ $\sigma_{prompt}^{D^{+}} = 880 \pm 5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 140 \text{ (sys)} \mu b$

The integrated cross-sections are given in the LHCb acceptance that is defined as follow

\Box rapidity range 2 < *y* < 4.5

□ transverse momentum of charm meson $0 < p_T < 8 GeV$

Evaluate total $c\bar{c}$ production cross-section
use fragmentation fractions from electron colliders
include D^0 and D^+ results only $(D_s^* \text{ and } D^{*+} \text{ much smaller})$

 $\sigma^{c\bar{c}} = 2940 \pm 3(stat) \pm 180(sys)$ $\pm 160(frag) \,\mu b$



Summary

- □ First data taken at 13 TeV after the LS1 period
- □ Updated trigger performs very well
- Measured various cross-sections using new TURBO stream (selection done at the trigger level)

 \Box prompt J/ψ

 \Box *J*/ ψ from b-hadrons

 \Box total $b\overline{b}$

 \Box charm mesons D^0, D^+, D_s^+, D^{*+}

 \Box total $c\bar{c}$

□ Two papers are under way

[LHCb-PAPER-2015-037 for J/ψ and $b\bar{b}$]

[LHCb-PAPER-2015-041 $c\bar{c}$]

Back-up



Enhanced trigger for RUN II

RUN I (already heavily revised comparing to the original specs)

□ L0 trigger (implemented in hardware)

- $\hfill \hfill \hfill$
- □ Max output rate ~ 1.1 MHz full event read-out

□ **HLT** (High Level Trigger – software implementation)

- Tunable software platform
- □ Run in a huge CPU farm (~ 29000 logical cores)
- □ Split into two stages **HLT1** and **HLT2**
- □ HLT1 L0 decision confirmation
- □ HLT2 inclusive and exclusive selection lines for physics
- ~ 20% of L0 data deferred to local disks (data buffer), processing performer during inter-fill gaps

Enhanced trigger for RUN II

□ Novel trigger design for RUN II

□ L0 part remains virtually the same

□ New HLT

Refreshed filter farm (CPU/disks) and enhanced software allow for off-line quality tracking in real-time!

□ Split of the **HLT1** and **HLT2**

- □ All data that passed the HLT1 are **deferred to disks**
- Quasi real-time detector alignment and calibration before executing the HLT2

Off-line quality tracking available on-line

- □ Contains PID
- No need for time consuming track reprocessing tracking is done only once by the trigger

Summary

Run II and the upgrade road map



LHC LS3 HL-LHC





- Single arm spectrometer geometry
- $_{\rm D}$ Fully instrumented in rapidity range 2 < $\eta~$ <5
- $_{\rm D}$ Capable of reconstructing backward tracks (-4 < η < -1.5)





$B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$: NP in loops

- □ The largest sample collected
- □ Clear theoretical quantity
- □ Sensitive to Wilson coefficients
- World's best measurement

$$q_0^2 = 4.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

 $q_{0,SM}^2 \in [3.9, 4.4] \text{GeV}/c^2$





Data taking road map for LHCb before the upgrade

