

Test of the metallization of the EMCM for Belle II Pixel Detector

DPG-Frühjahrstagung 2015

Daniel Klose from the HLL team



Ladislav Andricek, Christian Koffmane, Jelena Ninkovic, Rainer Richter, Florian Schopper, Andreas Wassatsch – Halbleiterlabor der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, München, Deutschland

Paola Avella, Christian Kiesling, Hans-Günther Moser, Felix Müller and Manfred Valentan -- Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, München, Deutschland







- EMCM wafer
- Metallization
- Defects
- Test structures, test strategies and results
- Summary and conclusion







EMCM:

- Like the PXD9, but without the implantations => just the metal system => Easier to process
- For a better understand of processing and soldering
- For electrical tests (i.e. ASICs = Application Specific Integrated Circuit)
- For mechanical tests
- For "communication" tests
- Quality test of the metallization system equivalent to the PXD9 detectors







- 1. EMCM 3/4 CH1: EMCM w/o diff. clock
- 2. 1: PXD9-like
- 3. EMCM 3/4 CH2: EMCM w/o diff. clock
- 4. 2: PXD9-like
- 5. EMCM 3/4 CH3: EMCM w diff.clock
- 6. 3: PXD9-like
- 7. EMCM 3/4 CH4: EMCM w diff. clock

Plus:

- 5 contact chain structures
- 10 comb structures
- 2 Kelvin structures







- Metallization done layer by layer with alternating of dielectric and routing material (Al1, Al2 and Cu)
- SiO₂ & BCB (BenzoCycloButene) as dielectrics between the layers
- Connection between the layers done per vias/contacts/tunnel
- Poly1 & Poly2 not present on EMCM











- Lateral shorts (Stringers)
- Discontinuities (Topographie)
- Hillocks
- Etching of contacts might lead to missing contacts
- Breakdown of the dielectric

Experimental setup







- Left: semiautomatic probe station PA 150, with Keithley 4200 and up to 4 out of 6 SMUs (Source Measurement Unit)
- Right: wafer with EMCM modules and test structures to verify different aspects of the processing steps

Kelvin structures – normal resistance test





- Purpose of the Kelvin structure: Precision measurements of the resistance of different contact sizes
- Kelvin measurement = Four wire measurement!
- Left:
 - One contact in a Kelvin structure
 - The resistance of all the elements sums up
 > contact resistance

Kelvin structures – Four wire measurement





- Red: Source line delivers the current
- Green: Sense line measures the voltage. Just a small current in the sense line
 - Low voltage drop at the contact
 - Just the resistance of the contact is measured
- Right: array of Kelvin structures

Results Kelvin structures







- Resistance in the desired range of just a few mΩ
- All contacts are closed for the chosen contact sizes = yield of 100%
- 112 contacts of same size measured on the 7 wafers with the PXD9 technology



Yield of the COCH structure





- Red bars: The chosen contact sizes 400 µm² (Al2-Cu) and 12 µm² (Al1-Al2) are safe for PXD9 also in the COCH structure
- $\operatorname{Rel}_{al1-al2_contacts} \approx 1.16$ (Al1-Al2) (EMCM3), $\operatorname{Rel}_{al1-al2_contacts} \approx 1.00$ (Al1-Al2) (EMCM4)
- Threshold for broken chain: 1 kΩ

Comb structure



Test structure for:

•

- Lateral shorts/ stringers
- Breakdown of the dielectric

Comb structure





- Test structure for:
 - Lateral shorts/ stringers
 - Breakdown of the dielectric
- Variations in:
 Laver thick
 - Layer thicknessGap between lines
- Minimal gap size for PXD9 Al2: 3 μm
- Results:
 - All the tested structures/ wafers stated stable results

Comb structure





- Test structure for:
 - Lateral shorts/ stringers
 - Breakdown of the dielectric
- Variations in:
 - Layer thickness
 - Gap between lines
- Minimal gap size for PXD9 Al2: 3 µm
- Results:
 - All the tested structures/ wafers stated stable results

Breakdown structures





- Two different typologies:
 - Unstructured Al1
 - Structured Al1
- Used to determine the strength of the intermetal dielectric for structures with 'extreme' designs (no stress relief)
- Breakdown structures and Comb structures tested up to +420 V
 → very robust technology as max voltage applied for PXD9 normal function is less than 50 V

Summary and conclusion



- Different aspects of the metallization for the later PXD9 wafers were tested
- From the results of the Kelvin and COCH structures it can be stated that the chosen contact sizes are reliable (yield = 100%) and therefore safe for use for the PXD9 wafers
- A combination of the measurements on Kelvin, COCH and Comb structures stated that the chosen technology and design exclude the most common defects