

split into two energetic collinear partons



Automating Calculations in Soft Collinear Effective Theory

Guido Bell || **Rudi Rahn** || Jim Talbert

6 May 2015 || Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, München

Outline

1. Motivating an effective theory of QCD

- (a) Collider physics and the separation of scales
- (b) Resummation examples: Z @ small p_T and thrust

2. A brief introduction to SCET

- (c) Formalism, power counting, and momentum mode suppression
- (d) SCET Lagrangian
- (e) SCET dijet factorisation
- (f) Renormalisation group resummation (for thrust)

3. Universal soft functions at NLO

- (g) Divergence structures, measurement functions, and Laplace space
- (h) naive subtraction

4. Soft automation to NNLO

- (i) NLO vs. NNLO: the need for automation
- (j) Sector Decomposition, parameterising phase space, and *SecDec*
- (k) Analytic regulator at NNLO
- (1) Results

Why an EFT for QCD?

 A perturbative description of collider phenomena with widely separated momentum scales generically involves large logarithms of the scales' ratios—these must be *resummed*:

$$\alpha_s^n \ln^m \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}\right)$$

- Traditional approaches in QCD based on coherent branching algorithm (CTTW) which sums probabilities of independent gluon emission diagrammatically
- Effective field theories allow for analytic resummation using renormalisation group techniques at the amplitude level...very efficient.
- Hierarchy of scales implemented at the level of the Lagrangian...

Resummation I: Z@ small pT

1109.6027(Becher/Neubert/Wilhelm)

- For pp -> Z + X @ small p_T all radiation is confined to the beam or soft
- Perturbative expansion plagued by large logarithms of m_Z/p_T resummation required.
- Traditional QCD resummation using CSS (*N. Phys. B250*), incomplete *NNLL* (*hep-ph/0302104*)
- Becher, Neubert, and Wilhelm achieve *NNLL* resummation via SCET methods.











Thrust is a two-jet like: $T \simeq 1$ characteri

spherical: $T \simeq 1/2$

ble



T

thrust
$$T = \max \frac{\sum_{i} |\mathbf{p}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{n}|}{|\mathbf{p}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{n}|}$$
 al: $T \simeq 1/2$

Resummation II: thrust 20

 $1 \ \mathrm{d}\sigma$

 $\overline{\sigma} \, \overline{dT}$

- Traditional QCD resummation achieved by CTTW @ NLL (Nucl. Phys. B407 [1993])
- Recently extended to NNLL (1105.4560; Monni $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ definition of the definitio
- Becher, Schwartz achieve N³LL resummation using SCET methods (kind of)



Resummation: α_s

• Resummed results used to perform precision extractions of strong coupling:



- -> Higher log resummations reduce uncertainties.
- -> Precision fits are lower than the world average.

Introducing SCET: intuition

 SCET is an effective theory whose degrees of freedom are soft and collinear partons



Introducing SCET: notation

• SCET is formulated in light-cone basis:

 $n^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ $n \cdot n = 0 = \bar{n} \cdot \bar{n}$ $n \cdot \bar{n} = 2$

• Such that any vector or invariant can be parameterised as follows:

$$p^{\mu} = \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} n \cdot p + \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} \bar{n} \cdot p + p^{\perp,\mu} \equiv (p^{+}, p^{-}, p^{\perp})$$
$$p^{2} = p^{+}p^{-} + p^{2}_{\perp}$$
$$p \cdot q = \frac{1}{2}p^{+} \cdot q^{-} + \frac{1}{2}p^{-} \cdot q^{+} + p^{\perp} \cdot q^{\perp}$$

Introducing SCET: power-counting

 Separation of scales in EFTs characterised by power counting expansion parameter, in SCET this parameter changes depending on observable, e.g.:

(Z @ small p_T)
$$\lambda = \frac{p_T}{M_Z}$$
 $\lambda = \sqrt{\tau}$ (thrust)

Momentum scaling is then determined for each relevant type of particle.
 Consider back-to-back light jets on the light cone, with background soft radiation:

Collinear scaling along +
$$p^{\mu} \sim Q(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)_{+,-,\perp}$$

Collinear scaling along -

Ultrasoft scaling

$$q^{\mu} \sim Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$$

$$k^{\mu} \sim Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$$

Introducing SCET: SCET_I vs. $SCET_{II}$

• There are two types of SCET, depending on the relative scaling of soft and collinear modes:



• In SCET_{II} scaling alone does not suffice to differentiate—can only distinguish modes from their rapidity...

Introducing SCET: effective Lagrangian

• Begin with fundamental QCD fields and split into soft and collinear components:

$$A^{\mu}(x) \to A^{\mu}_{c}(x) + A^{\mu}_{s}(x) \qquad \Psi^{\mu}(x) \to \Psi^{\mu}_{c}(x) + \Psi^{\mu}_{s}(x)$$

• Further project collinear fermion into two components:

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{\cancel{n}\cancel{n}}{4}\Psi_c(x), \quad \eta(x) = \frac{\cancel{n}\cancel{n}}{4}\Psi_c(x)$$

• Now consider 2-pt correlators, and determine how field scales:

$$\langle 0|\{\zeta(x)\bar{\zeta}(0)\}|0\rangle \sim \lambda^2 \Rightarrow \zeta(x) \sim \lambda \qquad (\eta(x) \sim \lambda^2)$$

• Now, integrate out power suppressed modes. Note, this is not a traditional EFT! Let's look at the collinear portion of the SCET Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \bar{\Psi} i \not\!\!\!D \Psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{collinear} = \bar{\zeta} \left(in \cdot D + i \not\!\!D_{\perp}^{c} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot D_{c}} i \not\!\!D_{\perp}^{c} \right) \frac{\not\!\!/}{2} \zeta$$

$$in \cdot D = in \cdot \partial + gn \cdot A_c + gn \cdot A_s$$

only collinear-soft interaction at leading order in λ

Introducing SCET: dijet factorisation

• We can derive all order factorisation theorems in SCET. Two critical steps. *"Hard-Collinear factorisation"* (1) & *"Soft-decoupling"* (2):

(1)
$$\bar{\Psi}(0) \gamma^{\mu} \Psi(0) \rightarrow \int ds dt \ C_V(s,t) \ (\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}})(sn) \ \gamma^{\mu}_{\perp} \ (W_n^{\dagger} \zeta_n)(t\bar{n})$$

$$W_c = Pexp\left(ig\int_{-\infty}^{0} ds\bar{n} \cdot A_c(x+s\bar{n})\right)$$

- C_V(s,t) is a Wilson coefficient to be determined in **matching QCD to SCET**
- Explicit non-locality along light-cone directions manifest -> Wilson lines necessary for gauge invariance. After a field redefinition, we obtain (some spatial dependence suppressed):

$$\zeta_n(x) = S_n(x_-)\zeta_n^0(x)$$

(2)
$$\overline{\Psi}(0) \gamma^{\mu} \Psi(0) \rightarrow \int ds dt \ C_V(s,t) \ \overline{\zeta}^0_{\overline{n}} \ W^{0,\dagger}_{\overline{n}} S^{\dagger}_{\overline{n}} \ \gamma^{\mu}_{\perp} \ W^0_n S_n \ \zeta^0_n$$

• Now the Lagrangian contains no interactions between collinear and soft fields (at leading order), but the current still contains both...

Thrust W/ SCET: factorisation thrust $T = max_n \frac{\sum_i |p_i \cdot n|}{\sum_i |p_i|}$

• We can thus **factorise** our matrix element for the dijet, two-fermion operator quite simply:

Thrust w/ SCET: resummation

• H, Jⁱ, and S contain logs of the form (respectively):

$$\ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}, \quad \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\tau Q^2}, \quad \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2 Q^2}$$

 We evaluated H, Jⁱ, and S at a common scale. Yet there are 'natural' scales at which the logarithms are no longer large:

$$\mu_h \sim Q \quad \mu_j \sim Q \sqrt{\tau} \quad \mu_s \sim Q \tau$$

• We thus wish to RG run our functions up to their natural scales. Take H as a simple example:

$$H(Q^2,\mu) = H(Q^2,\mu_h) \ U_h(\mu_h,\mu)$$

• Where the function U is a solution to the RG equation for the hard function:

$$\frac{dH(Q^2,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \left[2\Gamma_{cusp}\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) + 4\gamma_H(\alpha_s)\right]H(Q^2,\mu)$$

• Which, at LL approximation, has the following form:

$$H(Q^2,\mu) = exp\left[\frac{4\pi\Gamma_0}{\beta_0^2}\frac{1}{\alpha_s(Q)}\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\ln r\right)\right] = 1-\frac{\Gamma_0}{2}\frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{4\pi}\ln^2\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2), \qquad r = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(Q)}$$

• Similar for jet and soft functions...

Resummation: Technicalities

Logarithmic Accuracy	Γ_{Cusp}	$\gamma_H,~\gamma_J,~\gamma_S$	$C_H,\ C_J,\ C_S$
LL	1-loop	tree	tree
NLL	2-loop	1-loop	tree
NNLL	3-loop	2-loop	1-loop
N3LL	4-loop	3-loop	2-loop

- SCET Observables @ NNLL: broadening, Z/W/H @ small p_T, jet-veto ...
- SCET Observables @ N³LL: thrust, C-parameter, Z/W/H @ large p_T, ...
- Automated code for QCD resummation @NLL: CAESAR (Banfi, Salam, Zanderighi)
- Recently extended to NNLL for (Banfi, McAslan, Monni, Zanderighi)
- We want to automate soft functions in SCET...

Universal dijet soft functions

 We can write down a universal dijet soft function as the vacuum matrix element of a product of Wilson lines along the direction of energetic quarks.

$$S(\omega,\mu) = \sum_{X,reg.} \mathcal{M}(\omega,\{k_i\}) |\langle X|S_n^{\dagger}(0)S_{\bar{n}}(0)|0\rangle|^2 \qquad S_n(x) = Pexp(ig_s \int_{-\infty}^0 n \cdot A_s(x+sn)ds)$$

- The **matrix element** of soft wilson lines is *independent of the observable*. It contains the universal (implicit) UV/IR-divergences of the function.
- The **measurement function** (*M*) encodes all of the information of the particular observable at hand. It is *independent of the singularity structure*. Take thrust as an example:

$$\mathcal{M}_{thrust}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_{i \in L} k_i^+ - \sum_{i \in R} k_i^-)$$

• **Idea**: isolate singularities at each order and calculate the associated coefficient numerically:

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}(\tau) \sim 1 + \alpha_s \{ \frac{c_2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{c_1}{\epsilon^1} + c_0 \} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

• The coefficients depend on the observable, we typically work in Laplace space.

Universal soft functions: NLO

• We work in **Laplace space**, so that our functions are not distribution valued. At 1-loop the virtual corrections are scaleless in DR and we can write the NLO soft function as:

$$\bar{S}^{(1)}(\tau,\mu) = \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int \ \delta(k^2) \ \theta(k^0) \ \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}(\nu;k_+,k_-) \ \left(\frac{16\pi\alpha_s C_F}{k_+k_-}\right) \ \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\tau,k) \ d^dk$$

• Where we use a symmetric version of the analytic SCET_{II} regulator (*Becher, Bell* / 1112.3907):

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}(\nu, k_{+}, k_{-}) = \theta(k_{-} - k_{+})(\nu/k_{-})^{\alpha} + \theta(k_{+} - k_{-})(\nu/k_{+})^{\alpha}$$

• We want to disentangle all of the UV and IR divergences. We thus split the integration region into two hemispheres and make the following physical substitutions:

$$k_- \to \frac{k_T}{\sqrt{y}} \qquad k_+ \to k_T \sqrt{y}$$

• We can now specify the measurement function *M*. We assume it can be written in terms of two dimensionless functions f & g:

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\tau,k) = g(\tau k_T, y, \theta) \ exp(-\tau k_T f(y, \theta))$$

Universal soft functions: examples

 $\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\tau,k) = g(\tau k_T, y, \theta) \ exp(-\tau k_T f(y, \theta))$

Obs.	$g(au k_T,y, heta)$	f(y, heta)
Thrust	1	\sqrt{y}
Angularities	1	$y^{(1-A)/2}$
C-Parameter	1	$\sqrt{y}/(1+y)$
Broadening	$\Gamma(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{z\tau k_T}{4}\right)^{\epsilon}\mathcal{J}_{-\epsilon}\left(\frac{z\tau k_T}{2}\right)$	1/2
W/H @ large p_T	1	$\frac{1{+}y{-}2\sqrt{y}\cos\theta}{\sqrt{y}}$
Transverse Thrust	1	$\frac{1}{ s } \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} - \sqrt{y} \right)^2 s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} - \sqrt{y} \right) cs \cos \theta - s^2 \cos^2 \theta} - c \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} - \sqrt{y} \right) s \right\}$

Universal soft functions: NLO master formula

 We switch to a dimensionless variable (x) and extract the scaling of the observables in the collinear limit y ⇒ 0:

$$\tau k_T f(y,\theta) \to x \qquad f(y,\theta) \to y^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{f}(y,\theta)$$

• We are now in a position to write a master formula for the calculation of NLO dijet soft functions:

$$\bar{S}^{(1)}(\tau,\mu) \sim \int_{-1}^{1} \sin^{-1-2\epsilon} \theta \ d\cos\theta \ \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \ x^{-1-2\epsilon-\alpha} \ y^{-1+n\epsilon+(n-1)\alpha/2} \ \hat{g}(x,y,\theta) \ [\hat{f}(y,\theta)]^{2\epsilon+\alpha} \ e^{-x}$$

- Note that n=0 corresponds to a SCET_{II} observable.
- We are in a position to apply a subtraction technique to extract the singularities. Consider a simple 1-D example:

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \ x^{-1-n\epsilon} f(x) = \int_{0}^{1} dx \ x^{-1-n\epsilon} \{f(x) - f(0) + f(0)\}$$

divergent
$$\begin{array}{c} & \text{finite}/O(x) \\ & \text{expand in } \epsilon \\ & \text{integrate} \\ & \text{numerically} \end{array} \sim -\frac{1}{n\epsilon}$$

Automation: NLO vs. NNLO



Automation: NLO vs. NNLO

• Consider the double real emission (and drop additional regulator):

$$\bar{S}_{RR}^2(\tau) = \frac{\mu^{4\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2}} \int d^d k \,\,\delta(k^2) \,\,\theta(k^0) \int d^d l \,\,\delta(l^2) \,\,\theta(l^0) \,\,|\mathcal{A}(k,l)|^2 \,\,\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\tau,k,l)$$

• Decompose into light-cone coordinates and perform trivial integrations:

$$\bar{S}_{RR}^{(2)}(\tau) \sim \Omega_{d-3}\Omega_{d-4} \int_0^\infty dk_+ \int_0^\infty dk_- \int_0^\infty dl_+ \int_0^\infty dl_- \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta_k \sin^{d-5}\theta_k$$
$$\times \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta_l \sin^{d-5}\theta_l \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta_1 \sin^{d-6}\theta_1 (k_+k_-l_+l_-)^{-\epsilon} |\mathcal{A}(k,l)|^2 \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\tau,k,l)$$

• Consider, e.g., the C_FT_Fn_f color structure:

$$|\mathcal{A}(k,l)|^2 = 128\pi^2 \alpha_s^2 C_F T_F n_f \frac{2k \cdot l(k_- + l_-)(k_+ + l_+) - (k_- l_+ - k_+ l_-)^2}{(k_- + l_-)^2 (k_+ + l_+)^2 (2k \cdot l)^2}$$

• It is clear the singularity structure is non-trivial, and that the singularities are overlapping...

Automation: sector decomposition

• Consider a simple integral over a unit hypercube with 'overlapping singularities' (singular as x,y simultaneously tend to 0):

$$I = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy (x+y)^{-2+\epsilon}$$

• We want to factorise such singularities. Split the hypercube with two sectors (x>y) and (y>x):

$$I = I_1 + I_2 = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy (x+y)^{-2+\epsilon} + \int_0^1 dy \int_0^y dx (x+y)^{-2+\epsilon}$$

• Now substitute y = xt in first sector and x = yt in second:

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dt \ x^{-1+\epsilon} (1+t)^{-2+\epsilon}$$
$$I_2 = \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dt \ y^{-1+\epsilon} (1+t)^{-2+\epsilon}$$



Automation: SecDec

Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Borowka, Schlenk, Zirke

- A tool is already on the market that exploits the sector decomposition algorithm: *SecDec*
- "A program to evaluate dimensionally regularised parameter integrals numerically"



- We use *SecDec*'s 'general' mode, as it allows the definition of *dummy functions*.
- SecDec provides: Simple interface to our NLO and NNLO master formulas (✔), numerical code output (✔), multiple numerical integrators for crosschecks (✔)
- Currently limited to SCET_I observables, though additional rapidity regulator in development.

Automation: NNLO parameterisation

• We thus need to find an appropriate phase space parameterisation that exposes the divergence structure and is amenable to sector decomposition (*SecDec*):

$$p_{-} = k_{-} + l_{-} \qquad a = \sqrt{\frac{k_{-}l_{+}}{k_{+}l_{-}}} = e^{-(\eta_{k} - \eta_{l})}$$
$$p_{+} = k_{+} + l_{+} \qquad b = \sqrt{\frac{k_{-}k_{+}}{l_{-}l_{+}}} = \frac{k_{T}}{l_{T}}$$

• We further write the total momentum components in terms of p_T and y (as in NLO case):

$$p_- \to \frac{p_T}{\sqrt{y}} \qquad p_+ \to p_T \sqrt{y}$$

• Finally, we map onto the unit hyper-cube:



25

a

Automation: NNLO master formula

• We again assume an exponentiated form for the (Laplace space) measurement function:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, k, l) = \exp\{-\tau p_T F(a, b, y, t_i(\theta_i))\}$$

• We again assume a factorised y-dependence in F(a,b,y):

$$F(a, b, y, t_i) = y^{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{F}(a, b, y, t_i)$$

• We arrive at an NNLO master formula (here shown for C_FT_Fn_f amplitude):

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{RR}^{(2)}(\tau) &= \left(\mu^2 \tau^2 e^{\gamma}\right)^{2\epsilon} \frac{8\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F n_f}{\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(-4\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)} \\ &\times \int_0^1 da \int_0^1 db \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dt \, y^{-1+2N\epsilon} \, a^{2-2\epsilon} \, b^{-2\epsilon} \, (a+b)^{-2+2\epsilon} \, (1+ab)^{-2+2\epsilon} \, (4t\bar{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \\ &\times \int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \, 2^{-1-4\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \, (t_1\bar{t}_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \, (t_2\bar{t}_2)^{-1-\epsilon} \\ &\times \frac{(1-a)^2(1-b)^2 + 4t(a+b)(1+ab)}{\left[(1-a)^2 + 4at\right]^2} \left\{ \left[\hat{F}(a,b,y,t_i) \right]^{4\epsilon} + \left[\hat{F}(1/a,b,y,t_i) \right]^{4\epsilon} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\left[\bar{t}_{(i)} = \left(1 - t_{(i)}\right)\right] \tag{26}$$

NNLO Measurement functions: examples

Observable	$oldsymbol{F}\left(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{t_{i}}\left(oldsymbol{ heta}_{i} ight) ight)$
Inclusive Drell-Yan	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{y}$
C-Parameter	$a\sqrt{y}\left(\frac{b}{a\left(a+b\right)+y\left(1+ab\right)}+\frac{1}{a+b+ay\left(1+ab\right)}\right)$
Thrust	$\sqrt{y} + \Theta\left(y - a\frac{1+ab}{a+b}\right)\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{y}(a+b)} - \frac{\sqrt{y}}{1+ab}\right)$
Angularities	$\sqrt{y}^{1-A} \left[b \left(\frac{a}{1+ab} \right)^{1-\frac{A}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{a+b} \right)^{\frac{A}{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{1+ab} \right)^{1-\frac{A}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{a+b} \right)^{\frac{A}{2}} \right]$
	$+\Theta\left(y-a\frac{(1+ab)}{a+b}\right)\left(y^{A-1}\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^{1-\frac{A}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{1+ab}\right)^{\frac{A}{2}}-\left(\frac{1}{1+ab}\right)^{1-\frac{A}{2}}\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^{\frac{A}{2}}\right)\right]$
W/H at high p_T	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \sqrt{y} - 2\sqrt{\frac{a}{(a+b)(1+ab)}} \left[1 - 2t_2 + b\left(1 - 2t + t_2 - 2tt_2 - 2\left(1 - 2t_1\right)\sqrt{t\bar{t}t_2\bar{t}_2}\right) \right]$
Recoil-free broadening	$\sqrt{\frac{a}{(a+b)(1+ab)}}(1+b)$

Analytic regulator for NNLO

• We extend the NLO definition to account for two gluons:

$$R_{\alpha}(\nu;k,l) = \left[\Theta(k_{+}-k_{-})\left(\frac{\nu}{k_{+}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \Theta(k_{-}-k_{+})\left(\frac{\nu}{k_{-}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right]$$
$$\times \left[\Theta(l_{+}-l_{-})\left(\frac{\nu}{l_{+}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \Theta(l_{-}-l_{+})\left(\frac{\nu}{l_{-}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right]$$

- This definition still respects the $n \leftrightarrow \bar{n}$ and $k \leftrightarrow l$ exchange symmetries
- Using our NNLO parameterisation, the regulator function takes the form

$$R^{A}_{\alpha}(\nu;k,l) = \nu^{\alpha} p_{T}^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{1+ab}{b}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[\Theta\left(y - \frac{a(a+b)}{1+ab}\right) \left(\frac{a+b}{b}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \Theta\left(\frac{a(a+b)}{1+ab} - y\right) \left(\frac{1+ab}{a}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right]$$

and similar for region B

Results: Thrust

$$\mathcal{M}_{thrust}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_{i \in L} k_i^+ - \sum_{i \in R} k_i^-)$$

- We use *SecDec* to calculate the double emission contribution. To obtain the renormalized soft function we have to add the counterterms, which are known analytically at the required order.
- We show the cancellation of the divergences for thrust, setting $\ln(\mu \bar{\tau}) \rightarrow 0$

$$\tilde{S}_{ren}^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \{ C_A C_F \left(\frac{0}{\epsilon^4} - \frac{5.07333 \times 10^{-9}}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{1.07523 \times 10^{-6}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{.0000102661}{\epsilon} \right) + C_F T_F n_f \left(-\frac{1.40667 \times 10^{-8}}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{6.83778 \times 10^{-8}}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{1.44697 \times 10^{-8}}{\epsilon} \right) \} + \tilde{S}_0^{(2)}$$

- We thus also have an indication of our numerical precision...
- For the finite portion, we find (setting again $\ln(\mu \bar{\tau}) \rightarrow 0$):

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(48.7045C_F^2 - 56.4992C_A C_F + 43.3902C_F T_F n_f \right)$$

• Versus the analytic expression calculated by *Kelley, Schabinger, Schwartz, Zhu /* 1105.3676 (see also *Monni, Gehrmann, Luisoni /* 1105.4560):

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(48.7045C_F^2 - 56.4990C_A C_F + 43.3905C_F T_F n_f \right)$$

Results: *C-parameter*

• *C-parameter* measurement function:

$$\mathcal{M}_{C}(\omega, \{k_{i}\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_{i} \frac{k_{+}^{i} k_{-}^{i}}{k_{+}^{i} + k_{-}^{i}})$$

• For *C*-parameter, we obtain:

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(5.41162C_F^2 - 57.9754C_A C_F + 43.8179C_F T_F n_f \right)$$

• Where *Hoang*, *Kolodrubetz*, *Mateu*, *Stewart* / 1411.6633 extracted (using EVENT2) the following:

$$\tilde{S}_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_{S}^{2}(\mu)}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left((5.41162) C_{F}^{2} - (58.16 \pm .26) C_{F}C_{A} + (43.74 \pm .06) C_{F}T_{F}n_{f} \right)$$

• We find similar numerical precision in the subtractions.

Results: Angularities

• *Angularities* measurement function:

$$\mathcal{M}_{Ang}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_{i \in L} k_{i,+}^{1-A/2} k_{i,-}^{A/2} - \sum_{i \in R} k_{i,+}^{A/2} k_{i,-}^{1-A/2})$$

• The two-loop soft anomalous dimension is not known. We define in Laplace space:

$$\frac{d\tilde{S}(\tau)}{d\ln\mu} = -\frac{1}{(1-A)} \left[4\Gamma_{cusp}\ln\left(\mu\bar{\tau}\right) - 2\gamma_S\right]\tilde{S}(\tau)$$



Results: Angularities

$$\mathcal{M}_{Ang}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_{i \in L} k_{i,+}^{1-A/2} k_{i,-}^{A/2} - \sum_{i \in R} k_{i,+}^{A/2} k_{i,-}^{1-A/2})$$



Results: Threshold Drell-Yan

• *Drell-Yan production @ threshold:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{DY}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_i k_+^i + k_-^i)$$

• For *Drell-Yan*, we obtain:

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(5.41162C_F^2 + \frac{6.81281C_A C_F}{10.6857C_F T_F n_f} \right)$$

• Whereas analytic expression calculated by *Belitsky* / 9808389 is:

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(5.41162C_F^2 + 6.81287C_A C_F - 10.6857C_F T_F n_f \right)$$

• Again, similar precision found for pole cancellation.

Results: $W/H @ large p_T$

• With two beams and one recoiling jet the soft function depends on two initial state (1,2) and one final state (J) Wilson lines:

$$S(\omega) = \sum_{X} \delta(\omega - n_J \cdot p_X) |\langle X|S_1 S_2 S_J|0\rangle|^2$$

- However, due to rescaling invariance of light-cone vectors and colour conservation, the diagrams that contribute @ NNLO only involve attachments to the initial state Wilson Lines S₁ and S₂.
- Hence, up to NNLO, we encounter the same dijet matrix element as before.
- However, there is also now an angular dependence in the measurement function, giving six-dimensional integrals...

Results: $W/H @ large p_T$

• W/H production @ large p_T :

$$\mathcal{M}_{W/H}(\omega, \{k_i\}) = \delta(\omega - \sum_i (k_i^+ + k_i^- - 2k_i^T \cos \theta_i))$$

• We have similar color structures with the following definitions:

$$C_s = \begin{cases} C_F - C_A/2 & q\bar{q} \to g \\ C_A/2 & qg \to q \text{ and } gg \to g \end{cases}$$

• For *W*/*H* production @ large *p*_T, we obtain:

$$\tilde{S}_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu)}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(48.7045 C_{s}^{2} + \left(\underbrace{107.12}_{bare} - \underbrace{111.40}_{ren} = -4.28 \right) C_{A}C_{s} - 25.2824 C_{s}n_{f}T_{F} \right)$$

• Whereas *Becher, Bell, Marti /* 1201.5572 calculate:

$$\tilde{S}_0^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} \left(48.7045C_s^2 - 2.6501C_A C_s - 25.3073C_s n_f T_F \right)$$

Conclusions and future work

- SCET provides an efficient, analytic approach to high-order resummations necessary for precision collider physics.
- We have presented an automated algorithm to compute dijet soft functions for a wide class of observables in SCET
- Our master formulas coupled with *SecDec* can quickly and easily produce predictions for a wide class of SCET_I soft functions at one and two-loops.
- This is an important ingredient for NNLL resummations in SCET...
- Next steps: Better understanding of the numerics, SCET_{II} observables, n-jet soft functions and a public code...

Thanks!