Conformal defects in Supergravity

Piotr Witkowski

based on work with R. Janik and J. Jankowski ArXiv:1503.08459

Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Applied Computer Science Jagiellonian University

> Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Munich, 30 VI 2015



2 First approach and "emergent" Supergravity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

③ Full Solutions

4 Conclusions and further directions

5 Bibliography

MAIN MOTIVATION: ADS/CMT

AdS/CMT

- "purely AdS" solutions translational invariance spoils computations of transport properties (like DC conductivity)
- a solution proposed by [G. T. Horowitz, J. E. Santos, D. Tong] translational invariance broken by introduction of spatially modulated scalar field ("Holographic lattice")
 - extensively investigated (ex. [M. Blake, D. Tong, D. Vegh] , [A. Donos, J.P. Gauntlett])
 - the lattice is mimicked by a spatially spread ("wide") source $\sim \cos(kx)$

・ロン ・回 と ・ヨン ・ヨン … ヨ

OUR MODIFICATIONS:

- idea: replace "wide" source with local, point- or line-like source $\sim \delta(x)$
- use solutions with local sources to study point-like defect
- Solution is try to obtain lattice constructed from such defects − source $\sim \sum_{n} \delta(x nx_{l}) \text{holographic realisation of the Kronig-Penney model}$ of condensed matter physics

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

FRAMEWORK: ADS_4/CFT_3

action

$$S = rac{1}{16\pi G_n} \int \sqrt{|g|} \left(R - 1/2
abla_{a} \phi
abla^{a} \phi - V(\phi)
ight)$$

• potential $V(\phi) = -6 - \phi^2 \Leftrightarrow$ cosmological constant & mass $m^2 = -2$

- $\phi(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow$ operator $\mathcal{O}(x, y)$ of dimension $\Delta = 2$, deforming CFT
- near-boundary asymptotic of scalar $\phi(x, y, z) = \phi_1(x, y)z + \phi_2(x, y)z^2 + ...$ (in Poincaré coordinates)
- the operator deforms CFT by a shift of Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{CFT_3} + \phi_1(x, y)\mathcal{O}(x, y)$$

Its expectation value reads $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \phi_2(x, y)$

• focus on single defect concentrated along some line (eg. x=0)

Implement the boundary condition of type $\phi_1(x, y) = \eta \delta(x)$ (Dirac delta on line x = 0) in Einstein equations generated by given Action

PREVIOUS WORKS WITH DISCONTINUOUS BCS IN (SUPER)GRAVITY

- $\phi_{1} = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ analytical Janus solutions [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, S. Hirano]
- $\phi_1 = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ at T > 0 numerical and analytical Janus black holes in d = 2 + 1 [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, R. A. Janik]
- $\phi_1 = \delta(x)$ and $m_{\phi}^2 = -2$ with SUSY, analytical and scale invariant [E. D'Hoker *et al.*]

(人間) くほう くほう

Implement the boundary condition of type $\phi_1(x, y) = \eta \delta(x)$ (Dirac delta on line x = 0) in Einstein equations generated by given Action

PREVIOUS WORKS WITH DISCONTINUOUS BCS IN (SUPER)GRAVITY

- $\phi_{1} = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ analytical Janus solutions [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, S. Hirano]
- $\phi_1 = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ at T > 0 numerical and analytical Janus black holes in d = 2 + 1 [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, R. A. Janik]
- $\phi_1 = \delta(x)$ and $m_{\phi}^2 = -2$ with SUSY, analytical and scale invariant [E. D'Hoker *et al.*]

 \rightarrow various non-trivial *p*-forms

(人間) くほう くほう

Implement the boundary condition of type $\phi_1(x, y) = \eta \delta(x)$ (Dirac delta on line x = 0) in Einstein equations generated by given Action

PREVIOUS WORKS WITH DISCONTINUOUS BCS IN (SUPER)GRAVITY

- $\phi_{1} = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ analytical Janus solutions [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, S. Hirano]
- $\phi_1 = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ at T > 0 numerical and analytical Janus black holes in d = 2 + 1 [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, R. A. Janik]
- $\phi_1 = \delta(x)$ and $m_{\phi}^2 = -2$ with SUSY, analytical and scale invariant [E. D'Hoker *et al.*]
 - \rightarrow various non-trivial *p*-forms

 \rightarrow hard to generalise to black hole case (T > 0)

Implement the boundary condition of type $\phi_1(x, y) = \eta \delta(x)$ (Dirac delta on line x = 0) in Einstein equations generated by given Action

PREVIOUS WORKS WITH DISCONTINUOUS BCS IN (SUPER)GRAVITY

- $\phi_{1} = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ analytical Janus solutions [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, S. Hirano]
- $\phi_1 = \theta(x)$ and $m_{\phi} = 0$ at T > 0 numerical and analytical Janus black holes in d = 2 + 1 [D. Bak, M. Gutperle, R. A. Janik]
- $\phi_1 = \delta(x)$ and $m_{\phi}^2 = -2$ with SUSY, analytical and scale invariant [E. D'Hoker *et al.*]
 - \rightarrow various non-trivial p-forms
 - \rightarrow hard to generalise to black hole case (T > 0)
 - \rightarrow not very useful in AdS/CMT

ロト (個) (注) (日)

"Emergent" supergravity

- linearised analysis gives $\phi_{lin} = \frac{\eta z^2}{\pi(x^2+z^2)} \rightarrow$ suggests conformal symmetry along defect line (SO(2,2))
- new coordinates

$$r^2 = x^2 + z^2$$
, tan $\alpha = x/z$

$$(\phi_{lin}(\alpha) = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \cos^2(\alpha))$$

- full solution with this symmetry cannot be found! dynamical generation of source $\phi_1 \sim \delta(x) + 1/|x| + ...!$
- a way out modification of the scalar potential $V(\phi)$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○のへの

"Emergent" supergravity

SUPERSYMMETRIC POTENTIAL

• SO(2,2) symmetry fixes uniquely $V(\phi)$

$$V(\phi) = -6 \cosh(\phi/\sqrt{3})$$

- ② the same potential arises from reduction & truncation of D=11 SUGRA on $AdS_4 \times S^7!$ [M. Cvetic et al.]
- with such potential φ₁(x, y) = ηδ(x) & SO(2,2) symmetry can be both fulfilled

T = 0 (NO HORIZON)

- we take the supersymmetric potential $V(\phi) = -6 \cosh(\phi/\sqrt{3})$ in action
- metric ansatz:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{A(\alpha)^{2}} \left(\frac{d\alpha^{2}}{p^{2}} + \frac{dr^{2} - dt^{2} + dy^{2}}{r^{2}} \right)$$

• solving both using numerics (pseudospectral collocation method on Chebyschev grid) and perturbative expansion in parameter $\eta = \phi(0)$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

T = 0 (NO HORIZON)



Metric and scalar field for $\phi(0) = 1.2$ Points \rightarrow numerical solution with N = 47 spectral grid Lines \rightarrow fourth order perturbative solution

PIOTR WITKOWSKI (WFAIS UJ)

A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

10 / 18

T > 0 case

- problem no longer 1-dimensional we only replace x coordinate with $\alpha = \tan(x/z)$
- we use the most general metric ansatz:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[-(1-z)G(z)H_{1}(\alpha,z)dt^{2} + \frac{H_{2}(\alpha,z)dz^{2}}{(1-z)G(z)} + S_{1}(\alpha,z)(d\alpha + F(\alpha,z)dz)^{2} + S_{2}(\alpha,z)dy^{2} \right]$$

with $G(z) = 1 + z + z^2$. DeTurk method stands for gauge-fixing [M. Headrick, et al.]

• numerical method was based on spectral collocation method on Chebyschev grid [P. Grandclement and J. Novak]

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン … ヨ

T > 0 CASE



Scalar field (right) and metric component $F(\alpha, z)$ (left) for $\phi(0) = 1.0$.

CONFORMAL DEFECTS IN SUGRA

э

< ≣⇒

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト

An observable: Entanglement Entropy

HOLOGRAPHIC ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

[S. Ryu, T. Takayanagi] – EE of some region is proportional to the area of minimal a surface whose boundary is boundary of that region. For strip of width 2*L* around the defect the generic form of EE should be: $S = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{B}{I}$



A strip for which we calculated entanglement entropy, with a sketch of minimal surface used in calculation.

PIOTR WITKOWSKI (WFAIS UJ)

Conformal defects in SUGRA

An observable: Entanglement Entropy



Left: EE of pure AdS (line) and defect geometry $\phi(0) = 2$. Right: EE difference between pure AdS and: standard AdS-black hole (red points), defected black hole (blue dots).

CONCLUSIONS

- We examined a novel setup in numerical GR, and developed methods to handle it
- It turned out that conformal defect exists only in Supergravity (scalar potential is fixed to be $V(\phi) = -6 \cosh(\phi/\sqrt{3})$)
- In the theory with defect, entanglement entropy of a strip is lower than in theory without it

ヘロト 人間 とくほと くほとう

FURTHER DIRECTIONS

- Construction of holographic lattice from such local defects
- Introduction of nonzero chemical potential (gauge field in bulk)
- Computation of various quantities i.e. optical conductivity or heat transport

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

Thank you for your attention

э

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Bibliography

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- G. T. Horowitz, J. E. Santos, D. Tong, "Optical Conductivity with Holographic Lattices," JHEP **1207**, 168 (2012)
- M. Blake, D. Tong, D. Vegh, "Holographic Lattices Give the Gravitation a Mass," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 071602 (2014)
- A. Donos, J.P. Gauntlett, "Holographic Q-lattices," Imperial/TP/2013/JG/04, arXiv:1311.3292
- D. Bak, M. Gutperle, S. Hirano, "A Dilatonic deformation of AdS(5) and its field theory dual," JHEP 0305, 072 (2003)
- D. Bak, M. Gutperle, R. A. Janik, "Janus Black Holes," JHEP 1110, 056 (2011)
- E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle, D. Krym, "Janus solutions in M-theory," JHEP 0906, 018 (2009)
- M. Cvetic et.al. "Embedding AdS black holes in ten-dimensions and eleven-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 558, 96 (1999)
- M. Headrick, et al. "A New approach to static numerical relativity, and its application to Kaluza-Klein black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. 27, 035002 (2010)
- P. Grandclement, J. Novak, "Spectral methods for numerical relativity," Living Rev. Rel. 12, 1 (2009)
- S. Ryu, T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 181602

PIOTR WITKOWSKI (WFAIS UJ)

Conformal defects in SUGRA

3