# Evaluations of Physics Performance for High Mass VBF Final States in the Presence of Pile-up



Peter Loch<sup>†</sup>, John Rutherfoord, Andrew Steinmetz University of Arizona



<sup>†</sup>US ATLAS Scholar, LBNL 2014-15

#### **Motivation and Goal**

- Importance of forward calorimetry for searches with ATLAS at future (s/HiLum)LHC operations
  - VBF and VBS important production mechanism at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV
    - Electroweak heavy resonance production
      - Heavy Higgs-like/excited Higgs states
    - Multiple boson production and coupling anomalies
      - SM: di-bosons, triple-bosons, quarticbosons... - precision explorations in new kinematic domains, self-coupling including for Higgs
      - BSM: coupling anomalies
  - Distinctive quark jet pattern tag VBF/VBS
    - Two forward-going (tag) quark jets
      - $\Delta \eta_{\text{tag-jets}} \nearrow \text{ with } M \nearrow \text{ or } \sqrt{\hat{s}} \nearrow$
      - $\Delta \eta_{\text{tag-jets}} \nearrow \text{with } \sqrt{s} \nearrow$

#### General region of interest $\sqrt{\hat{s}}/\sqrt{s} \gtrsim 0.05$ (TeV scale)



 $\sigma_{qq \rightarrow Hqq}/\sigma_{gg \rightarrow H}$  is  $O(10\%) @ M_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma_{qq \rightarrow H'qq}/\sigma_{gg \rightarrow H'}$  can be  $O(1) @ M_{H'} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ 

Slide 2

### **Motivation and Goal**

- Importance of forward calorimetry for searches with ATLAS at future (s/HiLum)LHC operations
  - VBF and VBS important production mechanism at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV
    - Electroweak heavy resonance production
      - Heavy Higgs-like/excited Higgs states
    - Multiple boson production and coupling anomalies
      - SM: di-bosons, triple-bosons, quarticbosons... - precision explorations in new kinematic domains, self-coupling including for Higgs
      - BSM: coupling anomalies
  - Distinctive quark jet pattern tag VBF/VBS
    - Two forward-going (tag) quark jets
      - $\Delta \eta_{\text{tag-jets}} \nearrow \text{with } M \nearrow \text{ or } \sqrt{\hat{s}} \nearrow$
      - $\Delta \eta_{\text{tag-jets}} \nearrow \text{with } \sqrt{s} \nearrow$





Leading & sub-leading jet  $\eta$ in  $qq \rightarrow qqH'$  with  $M_{H'} = 1$  TeV (QCD jets from MB for reference)

Slide 3

# VBF Signatures in High Pile-up

- Example: new physics at TeV scale
  - Heavy Higgs-like particle H'produced in longitudinal WW scattering
    - Postulated mass  $M_{H'} = 1$  TeV
    - Only considered decay is  $H' \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $Z \rightarrow \ell \ell (\nu \nu)$
    - Associated tag jets produced by scattered quarks
  - Distinguished jet pattern
    - Two tag jets (widely) separated in  $\eta$
    - Separation increases with increasing (1)  $M_{H'}$  and (2)  $\sqrt{s}$
    - Jets are typically low  $p_{\rm T} \sim 20 200$  GeV
  - Effects of pile-up (PU)
    - Disturbs distinguished jet pattern
    - Can fake one of the tag jets especially the one sub-leading in p<sub>T</sub> (similar kinematic regime)
    - Can deteriorate the response of true signal jets resolution and scale



## **Outline of Study**

- Physics signal and background (pile-up) simulation
  - *H*′ (signal) production using Pythia8
    - Two center-of-mass energies  $\sqrt{s}$  = 7 TeV and  $\sqrt{s}$  = 14 TeV
    - Record stable ( $c\tau > 10$  mm) particles emerging from the interaction
  - Corresponding pile-up simulation
    - Dynamic overlay of generated minimum bias (MB) interactions at stable particle level
    - Number of MB interactions overlaid on a given signal interaction is sampled from Poisson distribution around central values  $\langle \mu \rangle = 20$ ,  $\langle \mu \rangle = 50$ ,  $\langle \mu \rangle = 100$
- Signal definitions
  - *H'* decay products removed from final state
    - Not relevant for present study
  - All remaining particles within  $|\eta| < 5$ 
    - No further kinematic selection applied
  - Towers within  $|\eta| < 4.9$ 
    - Simple detector model collects all remaining particles into towers in  $(\eta, \varphi)$  space various configurations (next slide)
    - No further kinematic selection applied
  - Jets are constructed from particles and tower configurations
    - Anti- $k_t$  jet clustering with distance parameter R = 0.4
    - Two samples:  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet} > 20$  GeV,  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet} > 40$  GeV

#### **Tower Configurations**



Slide 6

7 TeV

FCal

0

2

3

4



η subleading jet Two leading particle jets  $p_{\rm T} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ Relative Double-jet tagging efficiency 14 TeV  $|\eta_{\text{subleading jet}}| < 3$ Ca  $|\eta_{\text{subleading jet}}| < 2$ 0.7E  $|\eta_{\text{subleading jet}}| < 1$ ≈ 38% 0.6 60 H 0.5 0.4 40 0.3 FCal 0.2 20 coverage 0 0.5-3 2 3 4  $\eta_{\text{subleading jet}}$ Detector coverage |η| Maximum double-tag efficiency Slide 7 affected by limited detector acceptance  $|\eta| < 4.9$  and jet  $p_{\rm T}$ -threshold!

# **Pile-up Mitigation**

- Jet grooming techniques considered for pile-up mitigation
  - Subtraction of pile-up contribution from  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ 
    - Employs jet area technique  $p_{T,corr}^{jet} = p_{T,raw}^{jet} \rho A^{jet}$
    - $\rho = \frac{\partial^2 p_T}{\partial \eta \partial \varphi}$  measured by median transverse momentum density of event – reflects softer event  $p_T$ -flow induced by pile-up (dominant) and underlying event activity
  - Trimming of remaining jet
    - Removes small sub-jets from reconstructed jets improves jet response, single jet mass measurement etc.



D.Krohn, J.Thaler, L.Wang, JHEP 02 (2010) 84

# **Pile-up Mitigation**

- Jet grooming techniques considered for pile-up mitigation
  - Subtraction of pile-up contribution from  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ 
    - Employs jet area technique  $p_{T,corr}^{jet} = p_{T,raw}^{jet} \rho A^{jet}$
    - $\rho = \frac{\partial^2 p_T}{\partial \eta \partial \varphi}$  measured by median transverse momentum density of event – reflects softer event  $p_T$ -flow induced by pile-up (dominant) and underlying event activity
  - Trimming of remaining jet
    - Removes small sub-jets from reconstructed jets improves jet response, single jet mass measurement etc.



# VBF Jets with Pile-up (1)

#### pile-up Leading and sub-leading jet kinematics at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV



## VBF Jets with Pile-up (2)

pile-up Leading and sub-leading jet kinematics at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV



## VBF Events with Pile-up



Jets From Pile-Up at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV



## **Efficiency of Pile-Up Suppression**

- Jets with pile-up are matched with signal jets
  - Signal jets are particle level jets without pile-up (⟨μ⟩ = 0.
    "truth")
    - Only the two leading jets considered – maybe not sufficient for signal selection (additional cuts on di-jet angles and/or mass, include third jet)
    - Radiation patterns are observed where two leading jets are relatively close
  - Jets with pile-up are groomed
    - PU subtraction only standard ATLAS correction for Run I and Run II
  - Matching is geometric
    - Jets are matched if  $\Delta R < 0.1$

With pile-up!



# Effect of Granularity

- Reconstruct jets with different tower grids
  - No towers (particle level), fine grid, medium grid, coarse grid
    - See earlier slide <u>"Tower Configurations"</u>
  - All jets are reconstructed with pile-up
    - **Groomed** and **matched** with "truth" signal jet reconstructed with  $\langle \mu \rangle = 0$
  - Findings

With pile-up

- Fine tower grid very similar to particle level expected
- Medium grid (~ATLAS FCal) has reduced efficiency for  $|\eta| > 2.5$
- Coarse grid (worse than ATLAS FCal) significant efficiency losses



#### Efficiency Estimate $\langle \mu \rangle = 20$



With pile-up!

#### Efficiency Estimate $\langle \mu \rangle = 50$



With pile-up!

### Efficiency Estimate $\langle \mu \rangle = 100$



Detector coverage |η|

With pile-up!

## Conclusion (1)

- Efficient VBF/VBS tagging requires largest  $\eta$ -coverage
  - Tag jets will be important discovery tools
    - Higher  $\sqrt{s}$  pushes jets more forward at a ~fixed  $\sqrt{s}$  as does corresponding higher reach in  $\sqrt{s}$
    - EW production of new particles and multi-boson final states at high  $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$  is VBF/VBS driven makes tagging jets at high  $|\eta|$  much more important
  - Small cross-sections of prospective VBF-produced heavy particles requires highest detection efficiency
  - Present and future pile-up interferes with VBF tagging
    - Fake jets
    - Loss of precision of jet kinematics measurement
- Higher FCal granularity
  - First impression: better performance
    - Full evaluation needs detector effects Sven Menke/Walter Lampl are working on FullSim of highly granular FCal for sLHC/HiLum
  - Potential particle flow with forward tracking
    - Improved pile-up suppression for low  $p_{\rm T}$  jets higher signal efficiency

#### Conclusions (2)

Fabrice Balli (JES/JER meeting January 20, 2015) Run II  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{corr}}/p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{true}}$  IBL services may degrade FCal jet performance Tag jets are low in p<sub>T</sub>! Tag efficiency may be problematic  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 25 ns 0.7 We need FullSim with Run II  $2.8 < |\eta| < 3.2$ conditions to study this Pile-up may be less of a concern for 25 ns running 20 10 15 35 • Jet-area-based subtraction expected to work (blue) – without the additional out-of-time pile-up correction needed for  $|\eta| > 2.5$  (in μ  $/p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{true}}$ pT<sup>corr</sup> / red) Statistically limited, very preliminary plots! 0.8 0.7 Jet-area-based pile-up subtraction  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, 25 ns  $3.6 < |\eta| < 4.5$ based on  $\rho$ Additional correction for out-of-<u>|||||||||</u> 10 15 time pile-up (essential in Run I at 50 20 25 30 ns) Slide 20

## **Backup Material**

# More on Effect of Jet Grooming

• Difference in jet number density per event at given  $\eta$ 



# Signal & Pile-up Generation

- Signal
  - Pythia8.165
    - $M_{H'} = 1$  TeV,  $\sigma_{M_{H'}} \approx 520$  GeV (default settings for  $H' \to ZZ \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp}(\nu \nu)$ )
    - CTEQ6.1 LO PDF
    - Underlying event tune 4C
  - 500,000 signal events for each center-of-mass
    - $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV &  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV
- Minimum bias for pile-up
  - Pythia8.165
    - CTEQ6.1 LO PDF
    - Underlying event tune 4C
  - 100,000,000 MB events available
    - Need approximately  $N_{\text{signal events}} \times (\langle \mu_{\text{max}} \rangle + (3 4) \times \sqrt{\langle \mu_{\text{max}} \rangle}) \approx 70,000,000 \text{ MB}$  events (at least) for completely independent and non-repetitive pile-up sample
- Output
  - All stable particles from signal and MB
    - $c\tau \ge 10 \text{ mm}$