



## Impact of the PXD on the Vertex Reconstruction of $\pi^0$ particles

Fernando Abudinén

14. May, 2016

Why CP-V. Sensitivity to B<sup>0</sup> → π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup>?
 γ-Conversions and π<sup>0</sup> → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>γ
 Improvement of B<sup>0</sup> Vertex Reco.
 Summary and Outlook



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik







**Extr.**  $\Delta \alpha$  through isospin analysis:

$$A^{+-} = A(\mathbf{B} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^{+-} + A^{00} = A^{+0}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{A}^{+-} + \bar{A}^{00} = \bar{A}^{-0}$$

Pure Tree:  $A^{+0} = \bar{A}^{-0}$ 



- Isospin analysis requires also  $S_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  and  $S_{\pi^0\pi^0}$ .
- $S_{\pi^0\pi^0}$  needs  $\langle \Delta z \rangle \sim 130 \mu m$  of  $B \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  where  $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$
- $\Rightarrow$  Challenge!







• w/out  $S_{\pi^0\pi^0} \Rightarrow$ 8 fold ambiguity on  $\alpha$ 



- with  $S_{\pi^0\pi^0} \Rightarrow$ 2 fold ambiguity on  $\alpha$
- Converted  $\gamma \to e^+e^-$  and  $\pi^0 \to e^+e^-\gamma$  req. for  $\langle \Delta z \rangle$
- Possible with  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Belle II}} = 50 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{Belle}}$  and Belle II PXD?









• 
$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\pi^0 \pi^0} = 0.43 \text{ (PDG)}$$
  
•  $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^{\pi^0 \pi^0} = \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{A}_{CP}} \sin 2 \cdot (\alpha - \Delta \alpha^*) \approx 0.76$   
\*hep-ex/0703039



Vertex of  $\gamma$ -Conversions in  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ 











- a) If there is an event with  $\gamma\text{-conversions}$
- $\Rightarrow$  How Many?



b) How many Events have at least one  $\gamma$ -conversion?

Vertex in	Events $\%$
Beam Pipe	2.00 %
1st. PXD Layer	0.60 %
2nd. PXD Layer	0.50 %
Total inside PXD	3.10 %

c) ... and at least one  $\gamma\text{-conversion}$  or one  $\pi^0\to e^+e^-\gamma$  decay?

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^0 \to \mathrm{e^+e^-}\gamma & 2.00 \% \\ \hline \mathbf{Total} \ \pi^0 \cup \gamma & 5.05 \% \end{array}$$

Requirement: All converted  $\gamma$  in accept. and not converted in ECL









Reconstruction

Algorithm: Kinematic Vertex Fit

- Access: RAVE (Reconstruction in a Abstract, Versatile Environment) TNS.2011.2119492
  - Vertex Reconstruction with spatial constraint centered at the Beam Spot.



- $au_{\pi^0} \sim 0.9$  as  $\cong 0.1$  nm
- $\Rightarrow \pi^0$  Vertex  $\hat{=} B^0$  Vertex.
  - ≫ on e<sup>±</sup> Tracks: At least one PXD hit.









$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}^0 \rightarrow & \pi^0 & \pi^0 \\ & \hookrightarrow \mathbf{e}^+ & \mathbf{e}^- & \gamma \end{array}$$















 $\blacksquare~{\rm e^+}$  and  ${\rm e^-}$  from  $\gamma$ 









- Beam Spot constrained kinematic vertex fit reaches higher resolution with PXD Information.
- $\Rightarrow$  Higher  $\Delta t$  resolution.
  - $\blacksquare \ e^{\pm}$  track fit result to be improved.
  - Check new V0 Finder tool for  $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ .

Time of Propagation counter with 20 mm quartz bars MCP-PMT readout

 ${
m K_L^0}/\mu$  Detector (outside) RPC Plates and plastic scintillators with SiPM readout Superconducting Magnet homogeneous field of 1.5 T

Electromagnetic Calorimeter 8000 Csl Crystals, 16 X<sub>0</sub> PMT/APD readout

**Pixel Vertex Detector** 2 layer pixel detector (8MP) DEPFET technology

Silicon Vertex Detector 4 layer double sided strips 20-50 ns shaping time

Central Drift Chamber proportional wire drift chamber 15000 sense wires in 58 layers Aerogel RICH Proximity focusing RICH with silica aerogel

< □













- Inst. Lumi.:  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Belle II}} \sim 40 \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{Belle}}$
- $\Rightarrow$  Background  $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ 
  - Closest to IP
- $\Rightarrow$  Occupancy ( $\sim r^{-2}$ )  $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ 
  - $\blacksquare \ \langle \beta \gamma \rangle_{\rm Belle \ II} < \langle \beta \gamma \rangle_{\rm Belle \ II}$
- $\Rightarrow$  smaller  $\Delta z$
- $\Rightarrow$  Pixel Detector needed !
- $\Rightarrow {\sf DEPFET} \ {\sf Technology} \ {\sf most} \ {\sf suited} \\ {\sf Depleted} \ {\sf Field} \ {\sf Effect} \ {\sf Transistor} \\$





- Why CP-Violation? ⇒ Matter-Antimatter-Asymm. in the universe larger than in SM. (Sakharov)
- Why in the  $B^0$ -system?  $\Rightarrow$  largest CP-V. within the SM.
- CP-V. in the SM  $\Rightarrow$  Weak Interaction  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathbf{V}_{CKM}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

• Wolfenstein-Parameters:  $\lambda = \sin \theta_C \approx 0.2, A, \rho, \eta$ 

$$\mathbf{V}_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) \end{bmatrix} \quad -A\lambda^2 \quad 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{Yuk}} \propto igW^{\mu}J^{cc}_{\mu} \Rightarrow J^{cc}_{\mu} \stackrel{CP}{\longrightarrow} J^{cc}_{\mu} \neq J^{cc}_{\mu}$$
  

$$\blacksquare \text{ Unitarity: } \sum_k V_{ik}V^*_{jk} = 0 \Rightarrow V_{ud}V^*_{ub} + V_{cd}V^*_{cb} + V_{td}V^*_{tb} = 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \quad \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \quad \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{cases}$$







