

# Status of The Large Hadron Collider Project

- from visions to reality
- successes (until Sept 19, 2008)
- the „incident“ (Sept. 19, 2008)
- implications & repair
- current status and actual time plan
- plans for early LHC running

# The Large Hadron Collider

## Visions (1980'ies)

- Build a particle accelerator with the highest technically achievable energies, aiming at:
  - testing the **Standard Model** at energies beyond 1 TeV
  - finding the missing pieces of the SM: **the top-quark ...**
  - exploring the mechanism of **electroweak symmetry breaking** (i.e., find the **Higgs Boson**)
  - searching for **new physics** beyond the Standard Model (**SUperSYmmetry**; large extra dimensions; ...)
  - finding the **unexpected ....**

# the Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

proton – proton collisions:  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

experiments: ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, TOTEM, LHCf

27 km circumference (LEP tunnel)

1232 dipol magnets (15m, 35 tons, 8.33T @ 1.9K)

2808 x 2808 proton bunches, 7.5m distance (25 ns)

$10^{11}$  protons / bunch

362 MJ kin. energy per beam (100 tons @ 200 km/h)


collision rate: 40 MHz

p-p collisions @  $10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ :  $\sim 10^9$  / sec  
(about 25 collisions per beam crossing)

$\sim 1600$  charged particles in detector

$\Rightarrow$  high demands on detectors (radiation;  
resolution)

# the Large Hadron Collider (LHC)



proton – proton collisions:  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

experiments: ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, TOTEM, LHCf

27 km circumference (LEP tunnel)

1232 dipol magnets (15m, 35 tons, 8.33T@ 1.9K)

2808 x 2808 proton bunches, 7.5m distance (25 ns)

$10^{11}$  protons / bunch


362 MJ kin. energy per beam (100 tons @ 200 km/h)

collision rate: 40 MHz

p-p collisions @  $10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ :  $\sim 10^9$  / sec  
(about 25 collisions per beam crossing)

$\sim 1600$  charged particles in detector

$\Rightarrow$  high demands on detectors (radiation; resolution)



# The LHC Project - from **visions** to **reality**:



# The LHC Project - from **visions** to **reality**:

- ~1981: first ideas; basic design of machine
- 1984: ECFA meeting at Lausanne ("Start of LHC")
- 1990: Aachen workshop: physics, detectors, machine  
C. Rubbia: - LHC in competition to SSC, but cheaper and earlier (~1998)!  
- factor 10 in luminosity is worth factor 2 in energy  
(LHC:  $\sqrt{s} = 16$  TeV SSC:  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV)
- 1992: Letters of intent for LHC program
- 1994: ATLAS & CMS technical proposals
- 1994: CERN Council approval of (stage 1) LHC
- 1995: approval of full (single stage) LHC (start in 2005)
- 2000: shutdown and dismantling of LEP
- 2005: start of installation of LHC dipoles;
- 2007: colliding beams (summer)

# The LHC Project - from **visions** to **reality**:

- ~1981: first ideas; basic design of machine
- 1984: ECFA meeting at Lausanne ("Start of LHC")
- 1990: Aachen workshop: physics, detectors, machine  
C. Rubbia: - LHC in competition to SSC, but cheaper and earlier (~1998)!  
- factor 10 in luminosity is worth factor 2 in energy  
(LHC:  $\sqrt{s} = 16$  TeV SSC:  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV)
- 1992: Letters of intent for LHC program
- 1994: ATLAS & CMS technical proposals
- 1994: CERN Council approval of (stage 1) LHC
- 1995: approval of full (single stage) LHC (start in 2005)
- 2000: shutdown and dismantling of LEP
- 2005: start of installation of LHC dipoles;
- 2008: colliding beams (November)

# The LHC Project - from **visions** to **reality**:


- ~1981: first ideas; basic design of machine
- 1984: ECFA meeting at Lausanne ("Start of LHC")
- 1990: Aachen workshop: physics, detectors, machine  
C. Rubbia: - LHC in competition to SSC, but cheaper and earlier (~1998)!  
- factor 10 in luminosity is worth factor 2 in energy  
(LHC:  $\sqrt{s} = 16$  TeV SSC:  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV)
- 1992: Letters of intent for LHC program
- 1994: ATLAS & CMS technical proposals
- 1994: CERN Council approval of (stage 1) LHC
- 1995: approval of full (single stage) LHC (start in 2005)
- 2000: shutdown and dismantling of LEP
- 2005: start of installation of LHC dipoles;
- 2009: colliding beams (November)



# Der **L**arge **H**adron **C**ollider am Europäischen Zentrum für Teilchenphysik CERN / Genf




# Der **L**arge **H**adron **C**ollider am Europäischen Zentrum für Teilchenphysik CERN / Genf




# LHC PROJECT


## Point 5 UNDERGROUND WORKS




# Die LHC Dipol-Magnete



# Die LHC Dipol-Magnete




# Die LHC Dipol-Magnete






Speedlight


AGILE







# how to connect sc cables ... ? „splices“



**n.b.: there are ~24.000 splices in and between all LHC magnets !**

<b>circuit</b>	<b>splice type</b>	<b>splices per magnet</b>	<b>number of units</b>	<b>total splices</b>
RB	inter pole	2	1232	2464
RB	inter aperture	1	1232	1232
RB	interlayer	4	1232	4928
RB	internal bus	1	1232	1232
RB	interconnect	2	1686	3372
RQ	Inter pole	6	394	2364
RQ	internal bus	4	394	1576
RQ	interconnect	4	1686	6744
total				23912

# The LHC project: approaching operation

- March 2005 : lowering down of 1st dipole
- April 2007 : installation of last dipole
- Nov. 2007 : last connection of magnets completed
- July 2008 : LHC at operation temperature
- Aug. 8, 2008 : beam 1 up to LHC point 3 (ALICE)
- Aug. 22, 2008: beam 2 up to LHC point 7 (LHCb)
- Sep. 10, 2008 : **circulating beam 1, later beam 2 !!!**  
magnets sector 34 tested up to 4 TeV,  
all other sectors up to 5 TeV; then:  
~ 40 hours of single rotating beam
- Sep. 19, 2008 : **major incident** in sector 34 when  
testing magnets to 5 TeV (w/o beam)


# LHC Tunnel (12/2005)





16 June 2008: Last piece of LHC ring being put in place

September 8, 2009: CMS closed; ready for beam



# BEAM SETUP: INJECT AND DUMP

TED T12 position:

BEAM

TED T18 position:

DUMP

TDI P2 gaps/mm

upstream: 29.82

downstream: 30.14

TDI P8 gaps/mm

upstream: 3.32

downstream: 3.28


BCT T12:

0.00e+00


BCT T18:

0.00e+00


BTVSI.C5L2.B1 Updated: 10:31:46




BTVST.A4L2.B1 Updated: 10:31:46



BTVSI.C5R8.B2 Updated: 10:31:46



BTVST.A4R8.B2 Updated: 10:31:46



Comments 10-09-2008 10:31:29 :

B1 extraction only

Beam1: correcting the orbit.

We did three turns!



# BEAM SETUP: INJECT AND DUMP

TED T12 position:

BEAM

TED T18 position:

DUMP

TDI P2 gaps/mm

upstream: 29.82

downstream: 30.14

TDI P8 gaps/mm

upstream: 3.32

downstream: 3.28


BCT T12:

0.00e+00


BCT T18:

0.00e+00


BTVSI.C5L2.B1 Updated: 10:31:46




BTVST.A4L2.B1 Updated: 10:31:46



BTVSI.C5R8.B2 Updated: 10:31:46



BTVST.A4R8.B2 Updated: 10:31:46




Comments 10-09-2008 10:31:29 :

B1 extraction only

Beam1: correcting the orbit.

We did three turns!

# first beam splash recorded by ATLAS





# The LHC incident: what happened and why?



# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“

# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor

# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor
- formation of electrical arc, punctuating the He vessel

# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor
- formation of electrical arc, punctuating the He vessel
- outflow of  $\sim 2$  tons of He into the cryostat



# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor
- formation of electrical arc, punctuating the He vessel
- outflow of  $\sim 2$  tons of He into the cryostat
- overpressure valves cannot release mass flow (design:  $2 \text{ kg/s}$ ; actual at incident:  $20 \text{ kg/s}$ )


# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor
- formation of electrical arc, punctuating the He vessel
- outflow of  $\sim 2$  tons of He into the cryostat
- overpressure valves cannot release mass flow (design:  $2 \text{ kg/s}$ ; actual at incident:  $20 \text{ kg/s}$ )
- pressure of  $7 \text{ bar}$  (design:  $1.5$ ) on vacuum barriers


# The LHC incident: what happened and why?

- a bad electrical connection between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24 of  $\sim 200 \text{ n}\Omega$  results in  $\Delta U \sim 2 \text{ mV}$  at  $9 \text{ kA}$ , generating  $\sim 16 \text{ W}$  of heat load, which cannot be cooled away by HeII  $\rightarrow$  „thermal runaway“
- transition to normal conductivity (quench) of sc cable (which is not in the quench protection system of magnets)  $\rightarrow$  melting and boiling away of conductor
- formation of electrical arc, punctuating the He vessel
- outflow of  $\sim 2$  tons of He into the cryostat
- overpressure valves cannot release mass flow (design:  $2 \text{ kg/s}$ ; actual at incident:  $20 \text{ kg/s}$ )
- pressure of  $7 \text{ bar}$  (design:  $1.5$ ) on vacuum barriers
- severe mechanical destruction

# the blown-up connection between C24 and Q24




# physical displacement of many magnets; destruction of connections



# support jacks ripped out of concrete socket



# inventory of damages



I sector (3.3km):

	Q17	A18	B18	C18	Q18	A19	B19	C19	Q19	A20	B20	C20	Q20	A21	B21	C21	Q21
Cryostat	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Cold mass	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

	Q21	A22	B22	C22	Q22	A23	B23	C23	Q23	A24	B24	C24	Q24	A25	B25	C25	Q25
Cryostat	<2	<2	<2	<2	-7	<2	<2	<2	-187	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Cold mass	<5	<5	<5	<5	-25	-67	-102	-144	<5	-190	-130	-60	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

	Q25	A26	B26	C26	Q26	A27	B27	C27	Q27	A28	B28	C28	Q28	A29	B29	C29	Q29
Cryostat	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	474	-4	<2	<2	11	<2	<2	<2	<2
Cold mass	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	57	114	150?	-45	230	189	144	92?	50	35	<5	<5

	Q29	A30	B30	C30	Q30	A31	B31	C31	Q31	A32	B32	C32	Q32	A33	B33	C33	Q33
Cryostat	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	188	<2	<2	<2	5	<2	<2	<2	<2
Cold mass	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	19	77	148	<5	140	105	62	18	<5	<5	<5	?

█ SSS with vacuum barrier  
>C Towards P4  
[mm] Values are in mm  
? Not measured yet

█ Open interconnection  
✶ Electrical interruptions  
✶ Dipole in short circuit  
➔ Electrically damaged IC

█ Disconnected  
➔ Cold mass displacement  
➔ Cryostat displacement  
↔ Buffer zones

# inventory of damages, actions for repair and improvement of safety



- about 50 magnets and short straight sections (SSS) to be brought to surface
- ~10 magnets to be replaced, others to be repaired
- tunnel & magnets to be cleaned
- quench protection system for bus-bars (electronics; 160 km cables)
- install large capacity pressure valves (must happen in warm!)
- measure and detect other possible bad sc connections (sufficient sensitivity only when cold!)



# search for bad sc connections „successful“:


- two magnets found with  $\sim 100 \text{ n}\Omega$  resistence in inner splices; sectors (12 and 56) warmed up, magnets de-installed and brought on surface -> lack of solder!

## Splice resistance non-conformities – example



- magnets of other sectors (not being cold) investigated by analysis of old test data

# baseline schedule (Feb. 09):



- Machine cold wk 34
- Powering Tests start wk 24
- LHC Machine starts wk 39 (~Sept 22)







## current LHC status (April 27):

- **no delay w.r.t. above schedule** (daily struggles with e.g. technological problems, purchasing, admin ... but still within schedule)
- **re-installation of last dipole on time**
- **replacement of new valves (warm sectors) finished**
- **parts for new quench protection tested, ordered**
- **decisions taken on 2009/2010 LHC running:**

# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:




# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:



- continuous LHC physics run from 11/09 - 10/10


# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:



- continuous LHC physics run from 11/09 - 10/10
- limited to **5 TeV beams** (valves; retraining of magnets to 7 TeV needs ~60 days and ~1000 magnet quenches!)




# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:




- continuous LHC physics run from 11/09 - 10/10
- limited to **5 TeV beams** (valves; retraining of magnets to 7 TeV needs ~60 days and ~1000 magnet quenches!)
- possible initial „stops“ at 0.5 , 1 and 2 TeV beams

# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:



- continuous LHC physics run from 11/09 - 10/10
- limited to **5 TeV beams** (valves; retraining of magnets to 7 TeV needs ~60 days and ~1000 magnet quenches!)
- possible initial „stops“ at 0.5 , 1 and 2 TeV beams
- expect peak  $L \sim 5 \cdot 10^{31} \dots 2 \cdot 10^{32}$ ; **int.  $L \sim 250 \text{ pb}^{-1}$**

# LHC schedule for 2009-2011:



- continuous LHC physics run from 11/09 - 10/10
- limited to **5 TeV beams** (valves; retraining of magnets to 7 TeV needs ~60 days and ~1000 magnet quenches!)
- possible initial „stops“ at 0.5 , 1 and 2 TeV beams
- expect peak  $L \sim 5 \cdot 10^{31} \dots 2 \cdot 10^{32}$ ; **int.  $L \sim 250 \text{ pb}^{-1}$**
- possibly ~1 month **heavy ion** running at the end

one year completely lost for physics ?



one year completely lost for physics ?  
no - not entirely!

one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed

one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts

one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts
- data runs with cosmic muons (abt. 216 million events; >1.2 PB on disk)
  - commissioning of detector, trigger, readout, software, grid computing, shift & maintenance crews, ...
  - calibration and alignment of subdetectors



one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts
- data runs with cosmic muons (abt. 216 million events; >1.2 PB on disk)
  - commissioning of detector, trigger, readout, software, grid computing, shift & maintenance crews, ...
  - calibration and alignment of subdetectors
- write-up and document, (e.g. ATLAS computing & software challenge, CSC)

one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts
- data runs with cosmic muons (abt. 216 million events; >1.2 PB on disk)
  - commissioning of detector, trigger, readout, software, grid computing, shift & maintenance crews, ...
  - calibration and alignment of subdetectors
- write-up and document, (e.g. ATLAS computing & software challenge, CSC)
- start to develop plans for detector upgrades for sLHC

# one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:

- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts
- data runs with cosmic muons (abt. 216 million events; >1.2 PB on disk)
  - commissioning of detector, trigger, readout, software, grid computing, shift & maintenance crews, ...
  - calibration and alignment of subdetectors
- write-up and document, (e.g. ATLAS computing & software challenge, CSC)
- start to develop plans for detector upgrades for sLHC
- generate large MC samples


one year completely lost for physics ?

no - not entirely!

down-time used for:



- maintenance and repair wherever possible and needed
- installation of some (previously staged) detector parts
- data runs with cosmic muons (abt. 216 million events; >1.2 PB on disk)
  - commissioning of detector, trigger, readout, software, grid computing, shift & maintenance crews, ...
  - calibration and alignment of subdetectors
- write-up and document, (e.g. ATLAS computing & software challenge, CSC)
- start to develop plans for detector upgrades for sLHC
- generate large MC samples

# cosmic muon in ATLAS





# cosmic muon in CMS

Run 66748, Event 8900172, LS 160, Orbit 167345832, BX 2011




# cosmic muon in CMS

Run 66748, Event 8900172, LS 160, Orbit 167345832, BX 2011




... passing all subdetectors incl. pixel ...

# physics prospects:







# roadmap for first LHC collision data:



from: S. Tapprogge

# roadmap for first LHC collision data:

- 1 - 10 pb<sup>-1</sup> : detector calibration with real data  
first measurements (e.g. „minimum bias“)

# roadmap for first LHC collision data:

- $1 - 10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  : detector calibration with real data  
first measurements (e.g. „minimum bias“)
- $\sim 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  : precision calibration  
SM measurements (re-establishment)  
first sensitivity for new physics

expect (10 TeV,  $100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ):

- $> 5 \cdot 10^6$  triggered minimum bias events
- $\sim 10^8$  jet events
- $2.5 \cdot 10^5$   $W \rightarrow l \nu$  events
- $2.5 \cdot 10^4$   $Z \rightarrow ll$  events

# roadmap for first LHC collision data:

- $1 - 10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  : detector calibration with real data  
first measurements (e.g. „minimum bias“)


- $\sim 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  : precision calibration  
SM measurements (re-establishment)  
first sensitivity for new physics

expect (10 TeV,  $100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ):

- $> 5 \cdot 10^6$  triggered minimum bias events
- $\sim 10^8$  jet events
- $2.5 \cdot 10^5$   $W \rightarrow l \nu$  events
- $2.5 \cdot 10^4$   $Z \rightarrow ll$  events

- $\sim 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  : sensitivity to discover Higgs bosons,  
SUSY, new resonances ( $O(\text{TeV})$ )

# roadmap for first LHC collision data:



# Summary

# Summary

- repair and recuperation from Sept. 19 incident in full swing and on schedule for restart of LHC in mid September 2009
- measures taken to prevent re-occurrence of incidents like Sept-19 (bus bar quench protection; valves; magnet tests; 5 TeV)
- plan for long and continuous data run from 11/09 - 10/10 at 10 TeV and with  $L \sim 5 \cdot 10^{31} \dots 2 \cdot 10^{32}$ ; int.  $L \sim 250 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- physics roadmap for that initial run:
  - lots of Z's, W's, jets and first t-quarks in Europe
  - with a little luck, first sensitivity for new physics
- precise measurement of top-quark mass, and significant sensitivity for Higgs, SUSY, extra dimensions etc. will have to wait for times beyond 2010/2011 ...