GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



sideband method for determining W+jets normalization

Giorgio Cortiana



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



introduction

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Int-L (pb-1)</u>	<u>Nfiles</u>	Output AOD datasets
108172	146	622	user.RichardHawkings.0108172.topmix_Egamma.AOD.v1
			user.RichardHawkings.0108172.topmix_Muon.AOD.v1
			user.RichardHawkings.0108172.topmix_Jet.AOD.v1

Processes:

- tT (non-hadronic) Acer MC
- Single top Wt
- Single top t-chan (no s-chan)

Unfiltered W+jets

- Wenu+2/3/4/5 parton
- Wmunu+2/3/4/5 parton
- Wtaunu+2/3/4/5 parton

Samples are mixed according to "unknown" adjustment factors which could vary the corresponding SM x-sec of a given sample.

All samples are produced with Full simulation, with the missing HEC quadrant.

For details, please see: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasProtected/TopMixingExercise



egamma stream (trigger e20_loose)



MC prediction are 6-10% below the "data" (but signal and background normalization is unknown)

matrix method (D0)

Matrix method is used in D0 to get the normalization of QCD events.

$$N_{1} = \varepsilon_{1}^{top} N^{top} + \varepsilon_{1}^{W} N^{W}$$
$$N_{2} = \varepsilon_{2}^{top} N^{top} + \varepsilon_{2}^{W} N^{W}$$



$$N^{W} = \frac{N_{1} - N^{top} \varepsilon_{1}^{top}}{\varepsilon_{1}^{W}}$$



By knowing $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{top}$ and $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{W}$ from MC and the number of observed events in the the "data" regions 1 and 2, We can calculate the number of top and W+jets events we had before kin sel. Applying then the kin sel efficiency we can get the normalization of top and W in the observed distribution



matrix method: too simple to be true?

pseudo-exp with he following samples:

tT Acer MCWenu+4p

From the expected number of events in 146 pb-1 Using the SM x-sec, pseudo data were constructed varying the top and w+jet contribution (from 1 to 10 times the expectations) $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{top}$ and $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{W}$ are derived once from the corresponding MC samples.

We then applied the matrix method to normalize Top and W+jets contribution





MPI-Top Meeting, Feb. 13th, 2009

5

matrix method: too simple to be true?

pseudo-exp with he following samples:

> T Acer MC Wenu+4p

From the expected number of events in 146 pb-1 Using the SM x-sec, pseudo data were constructed varying the top and w+jet contribution (from 1 to 10 times the expectations) $\epsilon_{1,2}^{top}$ and $\epsilon_{1,2}^{W}$ are derived once from the corresponding MC samples.

We then applied the matrix method to normalize Top and W+jets contribution



400

400

500

500

matrix method: too simple to be true?

pseudo-exp with he following samples:

- tT Acer MC
- Wenu+4p

From the expected number of events in 146 pb-1 Using the SM x-sec, pseudo data were constructed varying the top and w+jet contribution (from 1 to 10 times the expectations) $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{top}$ and $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{W}$ are derived once from the corresponding MC samples.

We then applied the matrix method to normalize Top and W+jets contribution





matrix method: conclusions

Preliminary studies are really encouraging.

- The matrix method seems to be powerful to get the absolute background normalization (stat only uncertainty should be at the level of 10% or so.
- So far only two components studies were done (tT + W+jets) but we could probably generalize the method to more components by adding other equations to be solved using information from additional data sub-samples.
- The plan is to adopt this method to get background normalization in the top mixing samples and then to use background normalization as input for our template-method based top mass measurement.



- backup slides -



muon stream (trigger mu20)



signal only (Acer MC) fits



MPI-Top Meeting, Feb. 13th, 2009

G. Cortiana 11