Quantum Gravity, Unification and E_{10}

100 Jahre Max-Planck-Institut 12 October 2017, München

Hermann Nicolai MPI für Gravitationsphysik, Potsdam (Albert Einstein Institut)

See also: "Gravity's Quantum Side" January/February issue of the CERN Courier (2017)

100 Years of MPI: revolutionizing physics!





The Phenomenal Success of QM and GR

- Quantum Mechanics (QM) is the most successful and best tested theory in all of science:
 - Overwhelming evidence over a vast range of phenomena
 - Standard Model (SM) of Particle Physics
- ... and General Relativity (GR) is catching up:
 - Numerous tests over large range of distances
 - Direct detection of gravitational waves

So far experimental searches have found *no deviation* whatsoever from these theories, despite a great effort.

YET: both the Standard Model of Particle Physics and General Relativity are incomplete theories, despite their overwhelming success in explaining phenomena over a vast range of distances and energy scales.

Why Quantum Gravity?

• Singularities in General Relativity (GR)

- Black holes: gravitational collapse generically unavoidable

- Singularity theorems: space and time 'end' at the singularity
- Cosmological (big bang) singularity: what 'happened' at t = 0?
- Structure of space-time at the smallest distances?

• Singularities in Quantum Field Theory (QFT)

- Perturbation theory: UV divergences in Feynman diagrams
- Can be removed by infinite renormalizations order by order
- Standard Model (or its extensions) unlikely to exist as rigorous QFT(s)
- Therefore must look for an UV completion of the theory!
- Difficulties probably have common origin:
 - Elementary Particles as exactly *pointlike* excitations
 - Space-time as a continuum (differentiable manifold)

\Rightarrow expect *something* to happen at the Planck scale!



- Granular structure of quantum space-time?
- A 'gas' of strings and branes?
- Spin networks and spin foams?
- De-emergence of space and time?

Gravity and Matter [\rightarrow Hermann Weyl (1918)]

Einstein's equations according to Einstein:

$$\underbrace{R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R}_{\text{Marble}} = \underbrace{\kappa T_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{Timber}?}$$

Question: can we understand the r.h.s. geometrically?

- Kaluza-Klein theories and higher dimensions?
- Supersymmetry \Rightarrow fermionic dimensions and geometry?

Gravity vs. Quantum Mechanics: do we need to change the basic rules of quantum mechanics?

- Black hole evaporation and information loss?
- Emergent space and time vs. quantum non-locality?

A Basic Fact

Perturbative quantum gravity is non-renormalizable

$$\Gamma_{div}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{209}{2880} \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} \int dV C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} C_{\lambda\tau}{}^{\mu\nu}$$

[Goroff& Sagnotti(1985); van de Ven(1992)]

Two possible conclusions:

- UV divergences are artefacts of perturbative treatment \Rightarrow disappear upon a proper *non-perturbative* quantization of Einstein's theory; or
- Consistent quantisation of gravity requires a radical modification of Einstein's theory at short distances, in particular inclusion of supersymmetric matter.

No approach to quantum gravity can claim complete success that does not explain *in detail* the ultimate fate of this divergence and other divergences!

Approaches to Quantum Gravity

- Supergravity, Superstrings and M Theory
- AdS/CFT and Holography
- Path integrals: Euclidean, Lorentzian, matrix models,...
- Canonical Quantization (metric formalism)
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Discrete Quantum Gravity: Regge calculus, (C)DT
- Discrete Quantum Gravity: spin foams, group field theory,...
- Non-commutative geometry and non-commutative space-time
- Asymptotic Safety and RG Fixed Points
- Causal Sets, emergent (Quantum) Gravity
- Cellular Automata ('computing quantum space-time')

The steady progress of Quantum Gravity?



Wheeler-DeWitt Equation (1962) 'Schrödinger equation of quantum gravity' $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})\Psi \equiv 16\pi G\hbar^2 G_{mn\,pq}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\delta^2 \Psi[g]}{\delta g_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) \delta g_{pq}(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{\sqrt{g}}{16\pi G} \left(R(\mathbf{x}) - 2\Lambda\right) \Psi[g] = 0$

with 'wave function of the universe' $\Psi[g]$?

- Numerous mathematical/technical issues:
 - WDW equation is mathematically *very* ill-defined \Rightarrow non-renormalizable infinities in a different guise?
 - Recent attempts to overcome difficulties are based on 'new variables' (LQG, spin foams, GFT,...)
- Numerous conceptual issues:
 - Interpreting $\Psi[g]$: Copenhagen vs. Everett?
 - Quantum cosmology.
 - Emergence of time from 'timeless' WDW equation?
- \bullet Which is the 'correct' Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})?$

Searching for Symmetries of QG

• Supersymmetry: matter (fermions) vs. forces (bosons) $\{Q^i_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{j\dot{\beta}}\} = 2 \,\delta^i_j \,\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} P_{\mu} + \cdots \quad (i, j, \cdots = 1, ..., N)$

Global (rigid) supersymmetry: $N \le 4$, $s \le 1$ Local supersymmetry \rightarrow supergravity: $N \le 8$, $s \le 2$ Supersymmetry can merge space-time and internal symmetries, but only for N > 1.

• Duality Symmetry [Dirac(1931)]

$$\mathbf{E} + i\mathbf{B} \rightarrow e^{i\omega} (\mathbf{E} + i\mathbf{B})$$

- Invariance of Maxwell's equations in empty space, but can be generalized to matter couplings.
- Dualities are essential and ubiquitous in supergravity and superstring theory.

The Superworld

Basic strategy: render gravity perturbatively consistent (i.e. finite) by modifying GR at short distances.

- (Partial) cancellation of UV infinities
- The *raison d'etre* for matter to exist?
- Maximally symmetric point field theories
 - -D = 4, N = 8 Supergravity
 - -D = 11 Supergravity
- Superstrings:
 - no point-like interactions \Rightarrow no UV singularities?
 - -IIA, IIB and heterotic (D=10)
- Supermembranes and Matrix theory
- M theory: the unknown completion of physics

Supersymmetry in the light of LHC

- Absence of *low energy* (N=1) supersymmetry: should we altogether abandon the idea of supersymmetry?
- Nevertheless: probably needed for consistent quantisation of gravity (cancellation of infinities,...).
- Key problem: how is supersymmetry broken?
 - Can be arranged in supersymmetric *field* theories and (N=1) supergravity models, though not very compellingly.
 - Problem is more acute/difficult and of more fundamental significance in superstring theory.
 - Supersymmetry *not* compatible with $\Lambda > 0$.
- Space-time supersymmetry *vs.* emergent space-time: Distinction between space-time bosons and fermions may become meaningless in 'pre-geometric' regime.

... and NO, Loop Quantum Gravity *cannot* step in as a replacement if superstring theory fails to live up to its promises for unification and quantum gravity:

- still struggling to recover classical space-time and the classical Einstein equations (after > 30 years).
- cannot recover standard and well tested results of QFT and quantum gauge theories, both perturbative (QCD) and non-perturbative (confinement).
- in particular: cannot recover gauge anomalies = a whiff of trans-Planckian physics filtering down to Standard Model physics (fermion representations).

N = 8 Supergravity

Unique theory (modulo 'gauging'), most symmetric known field theoretic extension of Einstein's theory!

$1 \times [2] \oplus 8 \times \left[\frac{3}{2}\right] \oplus 28 \times [1] \oplus 56 \times \left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \oplus 70 \times [0]$

- Diffeomorphisms and local Lorentz symmetry
- N = 8 local supersymmetry
- SU(8) R symmetry (local or rigid)

In addition: 'hidden' duality symmetry $E_{7(7)}$ combining 28 electric \oplus 28 dual magnetic vectors [Cremmer, Julia] 70 scalar fields described by 56-bein $\mathcal{V}(x) \in E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ $\mathcal{V}(x) \to \mathcal{V}'(x) = g\mathcal{V}(x)h(x), \quad g \in E_{7(7)}, h(x) \in SU(8)$

N = 8 Supergravity: main issues

- Is the theory UV finite to all orders?
- Is there any relation to 'real physics'?
- On-shell superspace [Brink, Howe(1979)] enables construction of possible counterterm candidates [Kallosh(1981); Howe, Stelle, Townsend(1981)] But: absence of *off-shell* formulation impedes quantization.
- Perturbation theory compatible with continuous E₇₍₇₎ ⇒ counterterms must respect full E₇₍₇₎.[Bossard,Hillmann,HN(2010)]
 But: expect non-perturbative effects to break E₇₍₇₎ to discrete subgroup. [Hull,Townsend(1995)]
- No counterterms known that are *fully* compatible with N = 8SUSY and non-linear $E_{7(7)}$. [Kallosh,HN,Roiban,Yamada, work in progress]

N = 8 Supergravity: new perspectives

Very recent work has shown that N = 8 supergravity

• is much more finite than expected (behaves like N = 4 super-Yang-Mills up to four loops)

[Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson, Roiban, PRL103(2009)081301]

- ... and could thus be finite to all orders!
- However: efforts towards five loops still pending.

• Thus: $D_{cr} = 4 + \frac{6}{L} \left(=\frac{26}{5}\right) vs. D_{cr} = 2 + \frac{14}{L} \left(=\frac{24}{5}\right)???$

Even if theory *is* finite, no obvious reason *why* it should be \rightarrow unknown symmetry structures?

If no new spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ degrees of freedom are found at LHC, the following curious fact could also become relevant:

A strange coincidence with SM physics

 $SO(8) \rightarrow SU(3) \times U(1)$ breaking and 'family color locking'

$(u,c,t)_L$:	${f 3}_c imesar{f 3}_f o {f 8}\oplus{f 1}\;,$	$Q = \frac{2}{3} - q$
$(\bar{u},\bar{c},\bar{t})_L$:	$ar{3}_c imes 3_f o 8 \oplus 1 \; ,$	$Q = -\frac{2}{3} + q$
$(d,s,b)_L$:	$3_c imes 3_f ightarrow 6 \oplus ar{3} \; ,$	$Q = -\frac{1}{3} + q$
$(ar{d},ar{s},ar{b})_L$:	$ar{3}_c imes ar{3}_f ightarrow ar{6} \oplus 3 \; ,$	$Q = \frac{1}{3} - q$
$(e^-,\mu^-,\tau^-)_L$:	$1_c imes 3_f ightarrow 3 \; ,$	Q = -1 + q
$(e^+, \mu^+, \tau^+)_L$:	$1_c imes ar{3}_f ightarrow ar{3}$,	Q = 1 - q
$(u_e, u_\mu, u_ au)_L$:	$1_c imes ar{3}_f ightarrow ar{3}$,	Q = -q
$(\bar{\nu}_e , \bar{\nu}_\mu , \bar{\nu}_\tau)_L$:	$1_c imes 3_f ightarrow 3 \; ,$	Q = q

N = 8 Supergravity and Standard Model assignments agree if spurion charge is chosen as $q = \frac{1}{6}$ [Gell-Mann (1983)] \rightarrow realized at SU(3) × U(1) stationary point [Warner,HN(1985)] Mismatch $\pm \frac{1}{6}$ can be fixed by deforming U(1), but deformation *not contained* in SU(8) R symmetry. [Meissner,HN:1412.1715]

Beyond N = 8 Supergravity

- Take the hints from unexpected ('hidden') $E_{7(7)}$ duality symmetry of N = 8 supergravity
- \rightarrow can duality symmetry supersede space-time symmetries, and in particular supersymmetry?
- 'Dimensional reduction' \equiv metamorphoses spacetime symmetries into internal symmetries:

 $\cdots \subset E_6 \subset E_7 \subset E_8 \subset E_9 \subset E_{10}$

with the ∞ -dimensional 'prolongations' E_9 and E_{10}

- E_{10} = maximally extended hyperbolic Kac–Moody algebra – a symmetry after reduction to D = 1?
- \Rightarrow 'De-Emergence' of space (and time) ?!?

Another hint: BKL and Spacelike Singularities



For $T \rightarrow 0$ spatial points decouple and the system is effectively described by a continuous superposition of one-dimensional systems \rightarrow effective dimensional reduction to D = 1! [Belinski,Khalatnikov,Lifshitz (1972)]

A candidate symmetry: $G = E_{10}$?

 E_{10} is the 'group' associated with the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \equiv \mathfrak{e}_{10}$ defined via the Dynkin diagram



Defined by generators $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$ and relations via Cartan matrix A_{ij} ('Chevalley-Serre presentation')

$$\begin{array}{ll} [h_i, h_j] &= 0, & [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \\ [h_i, e_j] &= A_{ij} e_j, & [h_i, f_j] = -A_{ij} f_j, \\ (\operatorname{ad} e_i)^{1 - A_{ij}} e_j &= 0 & (\operatorname{ad} f_i)^{1 - A_{ij}} f_j = 0. \end{array}$$

 \mathfrak{e}_{10} is the free Lie algebra generated by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$ modulo these relations \rightarrow infinite dimensional as A_{ij} is *indefinite* \rightarrow Lie algebra of *exponential growth* !

A candidate symmetry: $G = E_{10}$?

[Image credit: Teake Nutma]



E_{10} : The Basic Picture



Conjecture: for $0 < T < T_{PL}$ space-time 'de-emerges', and space-time based (quantum) field theory is replaced by quantised 'spinning' $E_{10}/K(E_{10}) \sigma$ -model.

[Damour, Henneaux, HN, Kleinschmidt: since 2002]

Main Points and Challenges

• E_{10} encompasses previously known dualities and is *more powerful* than maximal supersymmetry \rightarrow can E_{10} replace SUSY as a unifying principle?



Main Points and Challenges

- E_{10} encompasses previously known dualities and is *more powerful* than maximal supersymmetry \rightarrow can E_{10} replace SUSY as a unifying principle?
- A very concrete proposal for the (de-)emergence of space and time in quantum gravity: 'spreading' coordinate dependence over E_{10} Lie algebra!
- Maximal compact subgroup ('R-symmetry') $K(E_{10}) \subset E_{10} \Rightarrow$ governs fermionic sector.
- $K(E_{10})$ is required to rectify $\pm \frac{1}{6}$ mismatch between supergravity and SM fermions, and also contains *chiral* transformations and family symmetries.
- A new and very different paradigm for getting SM physics from Planck scale theory?

Outlook

- Incompleteness of the SM and GR are strongest arguments in favor of quantizing gravity.
- Main Question: how are short distance singularities resolved in GR and QFT, and how can this resolution be reconciled with classical Einstein equations in continuum space-time?
 - Dissolving pointlike interactions (strings, branes,...)
 - Cancellation of UV infinities (e.g. N = 8 supergravity)?
 - Fundamental discreteness (LQG, discrete gravity)?
 - Other mechanism (e.g. AS, non-commutative space-time)?
- Symmetry enhancement may be the best strategy!

Outlook

- Incompleteness of the SM and GR are strongest arguments in favor of quantizing gravity.
- Main Question: how are short distance singularities resolved in GR and QFT, and how can this resolution be reconciled with classical Einstein equations in continuum space-time?
 - Dissolving pointlike interactions (strings, branes,...)
 - Cancellation of UV infinities (e.g. N = 8 supergravity)?
 - Fundamental discreteness (LQG, discrete gravity)?
 - Other mechanism (e.g. AS, non-commutative space-time)?
- Symmetry enhancement may be the best strategy!
- ... there is still a long way to go!