# Munich Muon Spectrometer Calibration and Alignment Centre

Oliver Kortner

#### Max-Planck-Insitut für Physik

#### ATLAS-Besprechung am 18.06.2007 am MPI

#### The regular calibration tasks

- Weekly synchronization of all drift-tube channels.
- Daily determination of the *r*-*t* relationship of each chamber.
- Daily determination of the spatial resolution of each chamber.

#### Calibration data-stream and calibration centres

- Calibration data stream: level-2 muons in region of interests at a rate of 2 kHz.
- Calibration data stream allows for quasi-online calibration of the muon spectrometer (1 day delay).
- Calibration centres: computing clusters of 100 CPUs each in Michigan, Munich, and Rome receiving the data stream and performing the calibration.

# Status of the MDT calibration

- The calibration framework and the calibration algorithms are ready.
- A prototype version for the extraction of muon calibration stream at the end of the level-2 trigger is available.
- The automatic processing of the stream at all calibration centres is being tested within the calibration data challange.
- The streaming of the single-muon data from CERN to all calibration centres should take place in June.

Problem at MPI: Tier-2 not yet ATLAS compliant, not yet in the list of ATLAS tiers.

 $\rightarrow$  Problem at MPI main reason for delay of streaming test.

• Start of conditions and muon calibration Oracle database replication planned for September.

# MDT chamber calibration with the first pp data

## r-t accuracy after time synchronization and autocalibration



## Assumptions:

- L= $10^{31}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Calibration stream at a rate of 100 Hz, i.e. 0.25 Hz/chamber.

## **Conclusions:**

- Reasonable *r*-*t* accuracy already after 1 days of data taking.
- 30 µm *r*-*t* accuracy close to TDR requirement after 1 week of data taking!
- TDR requirement of 20 μm unreachable due to missing statistics for a tube-by-tube synchronization.

# Alignment of the muon spectrometer

## End caps

- Calibrated optical alignment system.
- $\rightarrow\,$  Absolute alignment accuracy  ${\sim}100~\mu{\rm m}.$



## Barrel

- Absolute optical alignment  $\sim 100~\mu{\rm m}$  in most areas of the barrel.
- Absolute optical alignment  $>500 \ \mu m$  in some areas where platform positions are not known with sufficient accuracy.
- Relative alignment of large barrel towers with optical sensors.
- Missing optical precision measurements for small chambers.
- Role of muon tracks:
  - Absolute alignment of large towers.
  - Alignment of small towers with respect to large towers with overlap tracks.

# Status of the alignment with tracks

- Alignment with tracks based on the calibration stream. Stream extraction for overlap region still missing!
- Algorithms for the alignment with straight and curved tracks have been developed.

## Remarks on the baseline scenario for the initial alignment

- Baseline scenario: Run with no toroid field  $\rightarrow$  absolute alignment from straight tracks.
- Strategy for the baseline scenario at L=10<sup>31</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Alignment on the calibration stream
  - No  $p_t^{\mu}$  cut possible in the level-1/2 muon trigger in absence of the field.
  - $\rightarrow$  Calibration stream dominated by low- $p_T$  muons ( $\sim 1 \text{ GeV/c}$  as for cosmics), but with a rate of  $\sim 1 \text{ kHz}$ .
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Alignment accuracy 100  $\mu m$  for 1 day of data taking with the stream at a rate of  ${\sim}1$  kHz.
  - Alignment with high-level data
    - Use the momentum measurement from the inner detector to select 20 GeV muons.
    - Alignment accuracy  ${\sim}100~\mu\text{m}$  for 5 days of data taking.

Complementary approaches.  $\rightarrow$  Cross check of the alignment parameters.

# Stand-alone momentum resolution with first data



•  $p_T^{\mu} \lesssim 100~GeV/c:$  Momentum resolution close to ideal.

•  $\mathbf{p}_T^\mu > 100 \; GeV/c$ : Significant deterioration of the momentum resolution.

# Manpower

## Alignment data challenge

- Halted. Waiting for the appropriate data stream.
- Team: S. Kotov supported by O. Kortner.

### Calibration data challenge

- CDC is done by the experts for the calibration framework and calibration algorithms.
- Team: O. Kortner (MPI), F. Rauscher (LMU).

#### Calibration of commissioning data

- The calibration centres share the production of calibration constants for the commissioning data starting with the M3 data.
- Team:
  - O. Kortner (coordination).
  - F. Rauscher (trigger-time calibration and event time calculation).
  - J. v. Loeben (MDT chamber calibration, expert for *r*-*t* calibration).
  - S. Kaiser (MDT chamber calibration, expert for efficiency and fake-rate determination).
  - G. Dedes, Th. Ehrich (MDT chamber calibration).

# Manpower requirements after the LHC start-up

# **Coordination:** • 1 person for the overall coordination of the calibration and alignment centre.

- 1 contact person at LMU.
- 1 contact person for the calibration part.
- 1 contact person for the alignment part.

#### **Operation:** • Team of shifters: 4 persons.

• 1 person for the calibration database, data transfer, data storage, and job submission infrastructure.

A refined table will be made after the calibration data challenge based on the experience of the CDC.