Dark Matter Candidates

Frank Daniel Steffen





Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

CRESST-GERDA-FCD-ZEUS Chat @ MPP April 24th, 2009

Why is **Dark Matter** an exciting topic?

Our present picture of the Universe



95% of the energy content of the Universe cannot reside in Standard Model particles

There is striking

evidence for

Dark Matter ...

Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

\Box Spiral Galaxies

* Rotation Curves



The gravity of the visible matter in the Galaxy is not enough to explain the high orbital speeds of stars in the Galaxy. For example, the Sun is moving about 60 km/sec too fast. The part of the rotation curve contributed by the visible matter only is the bottom curve. The discrepancy between the two curves is evidence for a **dark matter hab**.



\Box Spiral Galaxies

- * Rotation Curves
- (Super-) Clusters of Galaxies Galaxy Velocities \leftrightarrow X-Rays * * Weak Gravitational Lensing * Strong Gravitational Lensing PATH OF LIGHT AROUND DARK MATTER DISTANT OBSERVED SKY



- \Box Spiral Galaxies
 - * Rotation Curves
- \Box (Super-) Clusters of Galaxies
 - * Galaxy Velocities \leftrightarrow X-Rays
 - * Weak Gravitational Lensing
 - * Strong Gravitational Lensing

Large Scale Structure

* Structure Formation







Dark Matter Candidates

Spiral Galaxies

- Rotation Curves
- (Super-) Clusters of Galaxies
 - Galaxy Velocities \leftrightarrow X-Rays
 - * Weak Gravitational Lensing
 - Strong Gravitational Lensing
- Large Scale Structure
 - Structure Formation





What is

the identity of Dark Matter ?

Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

Properties of Dark Matter

• stable or lifetime well above

the age of our Universe

- electrically neutral
- clusters —
- "cold"
- dissipationless
- color neutral





The Standard Model

GAUGE	Gauge bosons	$\left(\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{C}},\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{Y}$
B-boson	$A^{(1)}_{\mu} = B_{\mu}$	$({f 1} , {f 1})_0$
W-bosons	$A^{(2)a}_{\mu} = W^a_{\mu}$	$({f 1},{f 3})_0$
gluon	$A^{(3)a}_{\mu} = G^{a}_{\mu}$	$({f 8},{f 1})_0$

MATTER	Fermions	$\left(\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{C}},\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{Y}$
leptons $I = 1, 2, 3$	$L^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{I} \\ e_{L}^{-I} \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 1}, {f 2})_{-1}$
	$E^{cI} = e_R^{-cI}$	$({f 1},{f 1})_{+2}$
quarks $I = 1, 2, 3$	$Q^I = egin{pmatrix} u^I_L \ d^I_L \end{pmatrix}$	$\left({f 3},{f 2} ight)_{+rac{1}{3}}$
$(\times 3 \text{ colors})$	$U^{cI} = u_R^{cI}$	$(\overline{f 3},{f 1})_{-rac{4}{3}}$
	$D^{cI} = d_R^{cI}$	$(\overline{f 3},{f 1})_{+rac{2}{3}}$

HIGGS	Higgs Boson	$\left(\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{C}},\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{Y}$
Higgs	$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 1},{f 2})_{+1}$

Properties of Neutrino Dark Matter

- stable $\rightarrow \tau_{\rm DM} \gtrsim$ age of our Universe
- clusters \leftarrow gravitation
- fast "hot"
- electrically neutral
- color neutral



[Yvonne Y.Y.Wong et al.] $\sum_i m_{\nu_i} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ eV})$

Neutrino Dark Matter = Hot Dark Matter in conflict with Large Scale Structure

Dark Matter

Physics beyond the Standard Model

Supersymmetry

GAUGE	Gauge bosons	Gauginos	$(\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}})_{\mathrm{V}}$	
B-boson, bino	$A^{(1)}_{\mu} = B_{\mu}$	$\lambda^{(1)} = \widetilde{B}$	$(1,1)_0$	Minimal
W-bosons, winos	$A^{(2)a}_{\mu} = W^{a}_{\mu}$	$\lambda^{(2)a} = \widetilde{W}^a$	$({f 1},{f 3})_0$	Supersymmetric
gluon, gluino	$A^{(3)a}_{\mu} = G^a_{\mu}$	$\lambda^{(3)a} = \widetilde{g}^a$	$({f 8},{f 1})_0$	Extension
MATTER	Sfermions	Fermions	$\left(\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{c}},\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} ight)_{Y}$	of the Standard Madal
sleptons, leptons $I = 1, 2, 2$	$\widetilde{L}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\nu}_{L}^{I} \\ \widetilde{e}_{L}^{-I} \end{pmatrix}$	$L^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{I} \\ e_{L}^{-I} \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 1}, {f 2})_{-1}$	Standard Model
I = 1, 2, 3	$\widetilde{E}^{*I} = \widetilde{e}_R^{-*I}$	$E^{cI} = e_R^{-cI}$	$({f 1},{f 1})_{+2}$	
squarks, quarks $I = 1, 2, 3$	$\widetilde{Q}^{I} = egin{pmatrix} \widetilde{u}_{L}^{I} \ \widetilde{d}_{L}^{I} \end{pmatrix}$	$Q^I = \begin{pmatrix} u_L^I \\ d_L^I \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 3},{f 2})_{+{1\over 3}}$	
$(\times 3 \text{ colors})$	$\widetilde{U}^{*I} = \widetilde{u}_R^{*I}$	$U^{cI} = u_R^{cI}$	$(\overline{f 3},{f 1})_{-rac{4}{3}}$	Every Particle
	$\widetilde{D}^{*I} = \widetilde{d}_R^{*I}$	$D^{cI} = d_R^{cI}$	$({f \overline{3}},{f 1})_{+{2\over 3}}$	of the
Higgs, higgsinos	$H_d = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 \\ H_d^- \end{pmatrix}$	$\widetilde{H}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{H}_d^0 \\ \widetilde{H}_d^- \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 1},{f 2})_{-1}$	Standard Model
	$H_u = \begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\widetilde{H}_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{H}_{u}^{+} \\ \widetilde{H}_{u}^{0} \end{pmatrix}$	$({f 1},{f 2})_{+1}$	nas a Superpartner

Conservation of R-Parity

- superpotential: $W_{\text{MSSM}} \leftarrow W_{\Delta L} + W_{\Delta B}$
- non-observation of L & B violating processes (proton stability, ...)
- postulate conservation of R-Parity \leftarrow multiplicative quantum number



The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable!!!

Why Supersymmetry?



Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candidates						
LSP	interaction	n production constraints experiments				
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)		
	weak $M_{ m W} \sim 100~{ m GeV}$	freeze out		direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,) prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)		
\widetilde{G}	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak	therm. prod. NLSP decays	$\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{ cold} \\ \leftarrow \text{ warm} \end{array}$	$\widetilde{ au}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\widetilde{ au}$ collection		
	$\mathrm{M}_{Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{GeV}$		BBN	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?)		
ã	$\left(rac{p}{f_a} ight)^n$ extremely weak $f_a \gtrsim 10^9 \; { m GeV}$	therm. prod. NLSP decays 	← cold ← warm BBN	$\widetilde{ au}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\widetilde{ au}$ collection + $\widetilde{ au}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{a}}$, f_a		

Dark Matter

Neutralino LSP

Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candiates















Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)



LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	• indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		neutralino pair annihilation
	to 10	noutrali		$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \mathrm{SM}_1 \mathrm{SM}_2$
pro			no	• direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,)
		- Standa	well.	elastic neutralino scattering
		Mode		$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \operatorname{A} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \operatorname{A}$
		particl	es	• prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
pro	ton i r	neutralino		neutralino pair production
				$\mathrm{p}\mathrm{p} ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ (Tevatron, LHC)
D0 `				$e^+ e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \dots {}_{ m (ILC)}$
C	1 S	LAS		•••

Neutralino DM Production at the LHC



Collider Searches



pp @ 14 Te



Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

... however, SUSY phenomenology might look very different ...

Dark Matter

Gravitino LSP

Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candiates

	LSP	ID	spin	mass	interaction
lightest neutralino $\in MSSM$	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	$\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{H}_u^0, \widetilde{H}_d^0$ mixture	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\mathcal{O}(100 \; { m GeV})$ $M_1, M_2, \mu, aneta$	g, g' weak
gravitino * gravity	\widetilde{G}	superpartner of the graviton	$\frac{3}{2}$	eV – TeV SUSY breaking	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak
	gauge	-MSB gi	ravity gaugii	$m_{\widetilde{G}} \sim \sum_{I} \frac{\langle F_I \rangle}{M_{\rm Pl}} + 2$ -MSB all no-MSB	$\sum_{A} \frac{\langle D_A \rangle}{M_{Pl}} \sim \frac{M_{SUSY}^2}{M_{Pl}}$ nomaly-MSB mirage-MSB
	light gravitino I eV-I GeV		weak grav 0.01	c-scale vitino - I TeV	heavy gravitino I-100 TeV

LSP Dark Matter: Production, Constraints, Experiments

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g' weak	WIMP freeze out	\leftarrow cold	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,) direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,)
	$M_{ m W} \sim 100~{ m GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)







LSP Dark Matter: Production, Constraints, Experiments

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (cresst, edelweiss, \dots)
	$M_{\rm W} \sim 100~{\rm GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
\widetilde{G}	$\left(rac{p}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}}} ight)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	
	extremely weak	NLSP decays	\leftarrow warm	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$
Ν	$I_{\rm Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$			$\overrightarrow{\mathbb{X}}_{-4}$ T < 10 GeV
NLS	SP Candidates	• lightest neu	ıtralino	
		• lighter stau		-6 NLSP freeze out
		• lighter stop		$-8 \frac{100}{1} \frac{100}{100} \frac{1000}{1000}$
		• lightest sne	utrino	$x^{-1} = m_{\chi}/T$ (time \rightarrow)

Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)
LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (cresst, edelweiss, \dots)
	$M_{ m W} \sim 100~{ m GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
\widetilde{G}	$\left(rac{p}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}}} ight)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	
	extremely weak	(NLSP decays	\leftarrow warm	
Ν	$M_{\rm Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$			$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $
NL	SP Candidates	• lightest neu	Itralino	
e	lectrically charged	• lighter stau		-6 NLSP freeze out
		• lighter stop		$-8 \frac{1}{10} \frac{100}{100}$
		• lightest sne	utrino	$x^{-1} = m_{\chi}^{/T}$ (time \rightarrow)





Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (cresst, edelweiss, \dots)
	$M_{\rm W} \sim 100~{\rm GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)



LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	${ m g,\ g'} { m weak} { m M_W} \sim 100 { m ~GeV}$	WIMP freeze out	\leftarrow cold	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,) direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,) prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
Ĝ	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak	therm. prod. NLSP decays	$\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{ cold} \\ \leftarrow \text{ warm} \end{array}$	Can we probe Gravitino DM in experiments?
			BBN	
			CMB	
			$\gamma ~{ m rays}$	

Signatures of Gravitinos in Experiments

- Direct Detection of \widetilde{G}
- Direct Production of \widetilde{G}





Very different from the large E_T^{miss} signal of Neutralino DM

"Stable" Charged Massive Particle @ LHC



Signatures of Gravitinos in Experiments

- Direct Detection of \widetilde{G}
- Direct Production of \widetilde{G}



[...; Buchmüller et al., '04; Hamaguchi et al., '04; Feng, Smith, '05; Martyn, '06; ...]

LSF	• interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ g, g' WIMP		$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (cresst, edelweiss, \dots)
	$M_{\rm W} \sim 100~{\rm GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
\widetilde{G}	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	$\tilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,)
	extremely weak	NLSP decays	\leftarrow warm	$+ \tau$ collection
	$\mathrm{M}_{Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} \ \mathrm{GeV}$			+ $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?),
			BBN	
			CMB	
			$\gamma { m rays}$	

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	${ m g,~g'}$ weak $M_{ m W}\sim 100~{ m GeV}$	WIMP freeze out	\leftarrow cold	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,) direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,) prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
Ĝ	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak	therm. prod. NLSP decays	$ \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{ cold} \\ \leftarrow \text{ warm} \end{array} $	$\widetilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\widetilde{\tau}$ collection
	$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{GeV}$		BBN CMB	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?),

Does your theory allow for successful **BBN**?







Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis



Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis





Catalyzed BBN [Pospelov, '06]



[Cyburt et al., '06; FDS, '06; Pradler, FDS, '07; Hamaguchi et al., '07; Kawasaki, Kohri, Moroi, '07; Takayama, '07; Jedamzik, '07; Pradler, FDS, '08]

CBBN of 9Be: [Pospelov, '07; Pospelov, Pradler, FDS, '08]

[Pospelov, Pradler, FDS, '08]

Current Status of (C)BBN Constaints



Frank D. Steffen (Max-Planck-Institute of Physics, Munich)

Why are the cosmological constraints so important?

Gravitino DM @ LHC - Stau NLSP





Very different from the large E_T^{miss} signal of Neutralino DM

Dark Matter



Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candiates

	LSP	ID	mass	interaction
lightest neutralino	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	$\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{H}^0_u, \widetilde{H}^0_d$	${\cal O}(100~{ m GeV})$	g, g'
$\in MSSM$		mixture	$M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan eta$	weak
				$M_{\rm W} \sim 100 {\rm ~GeV}$
gravitino	\widetilde{G}	superpartner of	eV - TeV	$\left(rac{p}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}}} ight)^n$
* gravity		the graviton	SUSY breaking	extremely weak
* local SUSY			Ν	$I_{\rm Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$
avino	ã	superpartner of	777	$\left(\underline{p}\right)^n$
axiiio	a	the arrian	model	$\left(\overline{f_a}\right)$
* Strong CP		the axion	model	extremely weak $(> 10^9 \text{ G V})$
				$f_a \gtrsim 10^\circ { m GeV}$

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	${ m g,\ g'} { m weak} { m M_W} \sim 100 { m ~GeV}$	WIMP freeze out	\leftarrow cold	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,) direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,) prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
Ĝ	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak $M_{\rm Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$	therm. prod. NLSP decays 	$\leftarrow \text{ cold}$ $\leftarrow \text{ warm}$ BBN	$\tilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\tilde{\tau}$ collection + $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?)
ã	$\left(\frac{p}{f_a}\right)^n$ extremely weak $f_a \gtrsim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$	therm. prod. NLSP decays 	$\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{ cold} \\ \leftarrow \text{ warm} \\ \text{BBN} \end{array}$	$\widetilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\widetilde{\tau}$ collection + $\widetilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{a}}, f_a$



LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g' WIMP		$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS, \dots)
	$M_{ m W} \sim 100~{ m GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
\widetilde{G}	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	$\widetilde{ au}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,)
	extremely weak	NLSP decays	\leftarrow warm	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ collection
$M_{\rm Pl}=2.44\times 10^{18}~{\rm GeV}$			BBN	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?)
\widetilde{a}	$\left(\frac{p}{f_a}\right)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	$\widetilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,)
	extremely weak	NLSP decays	$\leftarrow \text{warm}$	$+ \tilde{\tau}$ collection
	$f_a \gtrsim 10^9 { m GeV}$		BBN	$+ \tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{a}}, f_a$



Can one distinguish between

\tilde{a} LSP and \widetilde{G} LSP

experimentally?

[Brandenburg, Covi, Hamaguchi, Roszkowski, FDS, '05]

Can one distinguish between the \tilde{a}/G LSP Scenarios?

• Lifetime of the NLSP \leftarrow Assumption: $\widetilde{\tau}_R = \text{NLSP} \& \widetilde{\chi}^0 \approx \widetilde{B}$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a} &= \mathrm{LSP} \\ \tau_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\tilde{a}} \, ^{\mathrm{LSP}} \longleftarrow m_{\tilde{\tau}}, m_{\tilde{B}}, m_{\tilde{a}}, f_{a} \\ \mathcal{O}(0.01 \, \, \mathrm{sec}) &\lesssim \tau_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\tilde{a}} \, ^{\mathrm{LSP}} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10 \, \mathrm{h}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ f_{a} \sim 10^{9} \, \mathrm{GeV} \quad f_{a} \sim 10^{12} \, \mathrm{GeV} \end{split} \qquad \begin{split} \widetilde{G} \, \overset{\mathrm{LSP}}{\tau} &\longleftarrow m_{\tilde{\tau}}, m_{\tilde{B}}, m_{\tilde{G}} \\ \mathcal{O}(10^{-8} \, \, \mathrm{sec}) &\lesssim \tau_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\tilde{G}} \, ^{\mathrm{LSP}} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(15 \, \mathrm{y}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ m_{\tilde{G}} \sim 1 \, \mathrm{keV} \quad m_{\tilde{G}} \sim 50 \, \mathrm{GeV} \end{split}$$

Very Short/Very Long Lived NLSP $\rightarrow \widetilde{G}$ LSP Scenario



The 3-Body Decays





Differential Distribution of the Visible Decay Products

[Brandenburg, Covi, Hamaguchi, Roszkowski, FDS, '05]

[Raffelt, '06] Bounds on the Peccei-Quinn Scale



Bounds from Axion Searches Cosmological Axion Bounds Astrophysical Axion Bounds

> Is the value of the Peccei-Quinn scale inferred from axino searches consistent with astrophysical axion bounds and results from axion searches?

[Raffelt, '06] **Bounds on the Peccei-Quinn Scale**



Axino LSP

Supersymmetric Dark Matter Candidates

LSP	interaction	production	constraints	experiments
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	g, g'	WIMP	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	indirect detection (EGRET, GLAST,)
	weak	freeze out		direct detection (CRESST, EDELWEISS,)
	$M_{\rm W} \sim 100~{\rm GeV}$			prod.@colliders (Tevatron, LHC, ILC,)
\widetilde{G}	$\left(\frac{p}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^n$ extremely weak	therm. prod. NLSP decays	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$ $\leftarrow \text{warm}$	$\widetilde{\tau}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,) + $\widetilde{\tau}$ collection
	$M_{\rm Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$		BBN	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{G}}$, M _{Pl} (?)
ã	$\left(\frac{p}{f_a}\right)^n$	therm. prod.	$\leftarrow \text{cold}$	$\widetilde{ au}$ prod. at colliders (LHC, ILC,)
	extremely weak	NLSP decays	\leftarrow warm	+ $\tilde{\tau}$ collection
	$f_a \gtrsim 10^9 { m GeV}$		BBN	$+ \tilde{\tau}$ decay analysis: $m_{\tilde{a}}, f_a$