## ONSEN system, issues and plan

Sören Lange Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen PXD TB, 23.03.201

### ONSEN "problems" during testbeam?

- Onsen running stable for  $\sim 10^9$  events per run up to  $\sim 15$  hours, 1500 sroot files, 3.5 TB, few kHz trigger rate final hardware, ROI selection online (2 parallel), re-mapping o.k. during whole testbeam
- 2 issues observed (only): both related to <u>run start</u>

#### ONSEN issue #1: socket monitoring

- Local RC needs ~1 min until ONSEN status READY.
- Then global RC needs additional ~10 min.
- Confusion during testbeam
  - For several cases wrong sequence: HLT and EB2 try to connect to Onsen, although ONSEN was not initialized.
  - For several cases global DAQ operator became impatient and hit repeatedly run start and stop within 2-3 min (example: run 373–377)
- Approach for solution:
  - introduce another ONSEN state ("pre-ready")
  - permanent socket "keep alive" status monitoring by global RC requires a "backdoor" technique (additional UDP socket, RBCP protocol).
  - note: does not work for epics.

#### ONSEN issue #2: "cold start" required

- ONSEN gets some corrupt data in or before the first event
- Not always, but often.
- Source is not identified yet.
- Requires a cold start. Sometimes even 2 cold starts (indicating that problem may be in a buffer outside Onsen).
- This was <u>never</u> observed at Persy, never at any other testbeam and never in any lab test (e.g. KEK) before.
  - $\rightarrow$  what is different?
- Approach to solve:
  - $\sim$  100 testbeam "fork" files, which contain ONSEN <u>un-processed</u> data
  - can be re-processed quasi-online on a test system at Giessen

#### Why problems not observed at PERSY?

- Many things different.
- No "real" PXD data (only DHP test patterns).
- No "real" SVD data (only COPPER dummy data).
- No HLT (only 1 night, after moving to testbeam area).
- No optical links yet (arrived only after Persy unmount).
- No global RC (systems started "by hand", local RC).
- No Poisson triggers.
- Problem could be related to any of them.
- May all be different for new 2017 PERSY
- Note: PERSY was enormously useful for re-mapping (otherwise no small ROIs in testbeam)

#### Plan for Onsen

- At Giessen
  - test system for re-process "fork" data (04-05/2017)  $\rightarrow$  solve "cold start" issue. PRIORITY.
  - finish hardware mass production check (04-10/2017)
  - address socket monitoring (04–06 ? /2017)
- At PERSY
  - ONSEN back online: April 5, then remote support
  - DATCON tests at DESY, April 5–7, maybe few days longer (?)
  - Goals of Persy from ONSEN point of view:
    - test runs for global run control (when socket monitoring is ready, 06/2017?)
    - test runs with Poisson triggers for higher than 2.1 kHz (?)
      (maybe solved, but still on Itoh-san's list)
    - test with DHC carrier boards (when ?), as next major change of DAQ
    - otherwise PERSY not urgently required for ONSEN
- At COSMIC test setup (MPI or DESY)
  - Data rate will be so low that we will try to use pocket-ONSEN
- Plan 11/2017: Delivery of complete ONSEN to KEK

# **BACKUP**