


Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern (Higgs & Co)



05. Event Generators & Detector Simulation

20.11.2017



Overview



- Data analysis at large collider experiments
- The anatomy of hadron collisions: Event Generators
 - Overview
 - Parton distributions
 - Hard processes, parton showers
 - Hadronization
- Simulation of events in the detector
 - Simulation of particles traversing matter
 - The biggest challenge: Calorimeters
 - Detector response



Data Analysis in Big Experiments


The Problem

- Theory:




The Problem


- Theory:




- Experiment:



The “Food Chain”




The “Food Chain”



* only steps 1 - 3 are guaranteed


The Importance of Simulations & Generators I



- Determination of correction factors: Requires excellent understanding of detector response
- A realistic modelling is usually impossible without simulations!

The Importance of Simulations & Generators II

- Precise description of known processes mandatory to enable the discovery of new phenomena (or not...):
 - Example: SUSY search at ATLAS



JHEP 09 (2014) 103

The Anatomy of Hadron Collisions

-


Event-Generators

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- Beam particles: Substructure described by parton distribution functions (PDFs)

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- Hard interaction: Described by the matrix element - This is what we usually draw as Feynman graphs

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- Decay of short-lived particles connected to the hard interaction

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- Initial-State Radiation: Parton showers

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- Final-State Radiation: Parton showers

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision




- “Underlying Event”: Lower-energy processes of the other constituents of the beam particles

The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision



- ... and the corresponding initial and final state radiation


The Schematic Sequence of a p+p Collision



- Beam remnants and outgoing partons
- Confinement requires the formation of color-neutral objects: Hadronization
- Short-lived states decay, the other particles reach the detector

The Full Chain


$$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}g X \rightarrow (W^+b)(W^-\bar{b})X \rightarrow (qq'b)(\ell\nu\bar{b})X$$




PDF ISPS ME BR FSPS FF

- Parton distribution-functions
- Initial state parton showers, splitting functions, ...
- Matrix elements, higher order corrections
- Decay rates, quark mixing
- final state parton showers
- Hadronization, fragmentation functions
- Detector geometry and properties, interaction with matter,...

The Basics: The Factorization Theorem



The Basics: The Factorization Theorem





- The cross section for a high-energy process can be split into universal parton distributions, a partonic matrix element and (if applicable, depending on the final state) a fragmentation function:

$$\sigma(AB \rightarrow X) = \sum_{a,b} \int dx_1 \int dx_2 f_{a/A}(x) f_{b/B}(x) \hat{\sigma}^{ab \rightarrow x} D_f^{x \rightarrow X}$$


PDF
matrix element
fragmentation function

Factorization II

- Often more than one partonic sub-process contribute to a given final state
 - depending on the final state several fragmentation functions can enter
- The parton distribution functions and the fragmentation functions depend on the hard scale (the energy transfer)
- Example: $t\bar{t}$ - production at LHC



Parton Distribution Functions I





- Distribution of partons (here: valence quarks, sea quarks, gluons) in the proton as a function of x
 - x is the fraction of the total momentum of the proton carried by the parton
- Measured in e+p collisions at HERA
- Parton distributions are universal: The distributions measured via e+p scattering are also applicable to p+p collisions


Parton Distribution Functions II

- The PDFs depend on the scale at which they are evaluated
 - QCD provides a description of the scale evolution of the PDFs: If they are known at one scale, they can be calculated for other scales as well
 - But: Only the evolution can be calculated, not the distributions themselves (e.g., not the structure of the proton) - these need to be measured
- ▶ Homogeneous evolution equations: DGLAP (Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi) evolution equations
- Important components: Splitting functions
 - Describe the probability to find a parton i with the momentum fraction z in parton k

examples: $P_{q_i q_k}(z) = \delta_{ik} \left[\frac{4}{3} \frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)_+} + 2\delta(1-z) \right], \quad P_{q_i g}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right].$




Problems: PDFs at Extreme Kinematics



- Extrapolation to small x is guesswork: Up to now the estimates have always turned out to be wrong!
- PDFs at small x are important for many process at LHC

Problems: PDFs at Extreme Kinematics



- Extrapolation to small x is guesswork: Up to now the estimates have always turned out to be wrong!
 - PDFs at small x are important for many process at LHC
- For the production of heavy particles the knowledge of the PDFs is quite good:
 - Example: Top-Quark - In the center-of-mass frame of the parton-parton collision $2 m_t$ have to be available

$$E_{cm} = \sqrt{s} = \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2} = \sqrt{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1 E_2 (1 - \beta_1 \beta_2 \cos \theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 m_t \approx \sqrt{4 x_1 x_2 E_{LHC}^2} \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 = \frac{m_t^2}{E_{LHC}^2} \approx 6 \times 10^{-4} \quad [x \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-2}]$$


Parton Showers

- The cross section of a process is given by the matrix element and the PDFs
- For hard radiation ME at $O(\alpha_s^n)$ is used
 - The precision of the ME is usually given by the order to which it is calculated:
LO, NLO, NNLO (already quite rare)...

Parton Showers

- The cross section of a process is given by the matrix element and the PDFs
- For hard radiation ME at $O(\alpha_s^n)$ is used
 - The precision of the ME is usually given by the order to which it is calculated:
LO, NLO, NNLO (already quite rare)...


⇒ Soft radiation at higher orders described by parton showers



Parton Showers

- The cross section of a process is given by the matrix element and the PDFs
- For hard radiation ME at $O(\alpha_s^n)$ is used
 - The precision of the ME is usually given by the order to which it is calculated:
LO, NLO, NNLO (already quite rare)...


⇒ Soft radiation at higher orders described by parton showers



- Parton showers: radiation of gluons, the probability that no radiation takes place is described by “Sudakov factors” (before/after scattering)
- Parton showers do not change the cross section $\rightarrow$ radiation harder than the matrix element is forbidden (“matching”)

Hadronization I


- Describes how hadrons are formed from the final-state partons
 - Experimentally: Measured fragmentation functions
 - For computer simulations: Two commonly used models:



- The Lund string model (Jetset)
 - The colored strings between two partons fragment, given by a string tension of $\kappa = 1 \text{ GeV/fm}$
 - Radiation of hard gluons
 - If the energy in a string is sufficient, a q-Anti-q oder a qqq state is producedProbability:

$$P \propto \exp \left(\frac{-\pi(m_q^2 + p_{t,q}^2)}{\kappa} \right)$$

Hadronization II



- The Cluster Model (Herwig)
 - Gluons at the end of a shower are non-perturbatively transformed into q-Anti-q pairs
 - Locally color-neutral clusters with a few GeV mass are formed out of quarks
 - Depending on their mass, these clusters are split into two, or are transformed into hadron pairs or single hadrons

- Both of these hadronization models are often compared to obtain an estimate of systematic uncertainties - which are then given by the differences between the two models

The Code Armada

- **PDFs**
 - MRSxx, CTEQyy, HERAPDFzz, different α_s and renormalization schemes
- **Matrix element generators LO/NLO**
 - MC@NLO, Alpgen, . . .
- **Matrix element - parton shower matching**
 - LO: CKKW, MLM
 - NLO: MC@NLO, PHOWEG
- **Event generators**
 - HERWIG, PYTHIA, SHERPA, WHIZARD, . . .
- **Hadronization models**
 - String model, cluster model
- **Detector simulations**
 - GEANT4, EGS showers, Fluka showers



The Code Armada

- **PDFs**
 - MRSxx, CTEQyy, HERAPDFzz, different α_s and renormalization schemes
- **Matrix element generators LO/NLO**
 - MC@NLO, Alpgen, . . .
- **Matrix element - parton shower matching**
 - LO: CKKW, MLM
 - NLO: MC@NLO, PHOWEG
- **Event generators**
 - HERWIG, PYTHIA, SHERPA, WHIZARD, . . .
- **Hadronization models**
 - String model, cluster model
- **Detector simulations**
 - GEANT4, EGS showers, Fluka showers

A large number of possible combinations!

Detector Simulations

The Task

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response



The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required
 - Detailed information on the interaction of particles with all types of matter and the corresponding energy deposition are needed

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required
 - Detailed information on the interaction of particles with all types of matter and the corresponding energy deposition are needed
- The second step is the simulation of the response of the detector, based on the energy deposition obtained in step 1

The Task

- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required
 - Detailed information on the interaction of particles with all types of matter and the corresponding energy deposition are needed
- The second step is the simulation of the response of the detector, based on the energy deposition obtained in step 1
 - Have to know the mechanisms of charge collection and charge amplification

The Task


- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required
 - Detailed information on the interaction of particles with all types of matter and the corresponding energy deposition are needed
- The second step is the simulation of the response of the detector, based on the energy deposition obtained in step 1
 - Have to know the mechanisms of charge collection and charge amplification
 - Take the effects of readout electronics into account

The Task


- The goal is a precise simulation of the full detector response
- The first step is the simulation of the passage of particles through matter and the energy deposition in the detector material
 - The geometry and all materials have to be known as precisely as possible (ideally each screw, each cable, ...)
 - Precise knowledge of the magnetic field required
 - Detailed information on the interaction of particles with all types of matter and the corresponding energy deposition are needed
- The second step is the simulation of the response of the detector, based on the energy deposition obtained in step 1
 - Have to know the mechanisms of charge collection and charge amplification
 - Take the effects of readout electronics into account
- ▶ Very complex, but indispensable for detector design and data analysis!

The Standard Tool Today: GEANT4

- A software package (“tool kit”) to simulate the passage of particles through matter
 - Many possibilities to describe geometry and materials
 - Large libraries for particle-matter interactions (“physics lists”), custom combinations can be created




- The working principle
 - Step-wise tracking of particles through the detector material (“Steps”)
 - For each step:: Position, momentum and energy changes, energy deposition, probabilistic selection of interactions based on the cross sections given by the physics list
 - The step length is automatically adjusted based on the material (density, interaction probability, ...), at least one step per material layer
 - Analogous: Tracking of all secondary particles ...




Detector Simulation: Example STAR FGT

- Energy deposition of particles by ionization in sensitive volume of the detector
 - Transformation of the individual energy depositions into created free electrons




Tue Aug 28 09:30:53 2007





- Simulation of the drift of the electrons in electric field (including diffusion), amplification processes
- Projection on readout strips, charge sharing
- Simulation of the response of the electronics

Application of Detector Simulations: ATLAS HCAL



- Steel (82%) / Scintillator (18%), 7.2λ
- Comparison of simulations with test beam data:
Electrons
Hadrons




- Energy resolution for hadrons:
Data: $53 \pm 2\% / \sqrt{E} \oplus 2.0 \pm 0.2\%$
MC-QGSP: $58 \pm 3\% / \sqrt{E} \oplus 2.6 \pm 0.5\%$
MC-LHEP: $59 \pm 3\% / \sqrt{E} \oplus 2.4 \pm 0.5\%$
► Not perfect, but already quite close...

Highly Granular Calorimeters for Future Experiments



- Particle Flow calorimeters developed by the CALICE collaboration - Various different technologies
 - ECALs with W absorber, Si & Scintillator + SiPM readout
 - HCALs with Steel and W absorber, Scintillator + SiPM & Gas detector readout

One of the technology highlights: The first large-scale use of SiPMs in the CALICE analog HCAL



SiPM: 1156 pixels,
manufactured by
MePhI/PULSAR


Plastic scintillator tiles
with WLS fiber & SiPM




212 scintillator tiles per layer,
38 layers, each channel read
out separately

8 000 channels in total

Detector Simulations - CALICE




- Development of highly granular calorimeters for future experiments



- The “physics program”: Study of the physics of hadronic showers, improvement of simulations with data with unprecedented spatial resolution


Simulating & Understanding Showers



Identification of MIP-like track segments in the AHCAL:


Hadronic showers are **not** amorphous blobs of energy in the detector, but tree-like structures with MIP-like hadrons connecting regions of denser activity

... and modern simulation models in GEANT4 predict / reproduce this structure already with good accuracy! - Much improved compared to LHC development phase!




JINST 8 P09001 (2013)



Detailed Tests of Shower Models - Pitfalls



Detailed Tests of Shower Models - Pitfalls



But beware: Agreement in one variable does not necessarily mean a model works well for the full shower description!



Other Applications

Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design



Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...

Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken



Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken
 - for example: Alignment of individual detector modules in the ATLAS Si tracker



Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken
 - for example: Alignment of individual detector modules in the ATLAS Si tracker
 - each of the 6000 modules has 6 degrees of freedom, the position has to be known very precisely to reach the required spatial resolution for tracks

Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken
 - for example: Alignment of individual detector modules in the ATLAS Si tracker
 - each of the 6000 modules has 6 degrees of freedom, the position has to be known very precisely to reach the required spatial resolution for tracks
 - ▶ Very complex problem, is only possible with particle collisions, but the software is needed right from the beginning of data taking to be able to quickly get first results - Development of the algorithms with simulations!



Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken
 - for example: Alignment of individual detector modules in the ATLAS Si tracker
 - each of the 6000 modules has 6 degrees of freedom, the position has to be known very precisely to reach the required spatial resolution for tracks
 - ▶ Very complex problem, is only possible with particle collisions, but the software is needed right from the beginning of data taking to be able to quickly get first results - Development of the algorithms with simulations!
- Physics analysis: For many processes studied at LHC the analysis codes have been developed before the start of data taking based on simulations: Enabled the quick start-up, and the rather fast discovery of the Higgs





Other Applications

- Optimization of detector design
 - Investigation of the performance for physics measurements depending on geometry, material, number of layers, strip pitch, ...
- Development of calibration and analysis strategies long before first data are taken
 - for example: Alignment of individual detector modules in the ATLAS Si tracker
 - each of the 6000 modules has 6 degrees of freedom, the position has to be known very precisely to reach the required spatial resolution for tracks
 - ▶ Very complex problem, is only possible with particle collisions, but the software is needed right from the beginning of data taking to be able to quickly get first results - Development of the algorithms with simulations!
 - Physics analysis: For many processes studied at LHC the analysis codes have been developed before the start of data taking based on simulations: Enabled the quick start-up, and the rather fast discovery of the Higgs
 - ▶ Still: Modifications are usually necessary once the first data comes in...



Tuning - An Example

- Tuning of PYTHIA based on data - adjusting selected model parameters
 - Crucial to be able to describe background distributions for physics processes, used for many analyses - Extrapolation from lower energy not always reliable



ATLAS: Simulation & Reality

- Detailed study of material and geometry with photon conversions





first layer of
pixel detector

A Buckley, IEEE-NSS 2010


ATLAS: Simulation & Reality

- Detailed study of material and geometry with photon conversions



first layer of
pixel detector

Comparison of real data and simulations is crucial to find problems in the detector description (and mistakes do happen!)



A Buckley, IEEE-NSS 2010

Summary

- Event generators and detector simulations are indispensable tools for the optimization of detectors and for the analysis of particle collisions
- The factorization theorem of QCD allows a splitting of the description of processes into clearly defined parts, which can be considered more or less independently
- PDFs have a special role in hadron collisions, precise knowledge is important for analysis, also for the discovery of New Physics
- The non-perturbative hadronization is described by two complementary models
- A detailed modelling of the detector response to particles, including the simulation of the propagation and interaction of particles in the detector material is very important, and used in all modern experiments
 - Large steps have been made, but further improvement is still necessary, in particular in the area of hadronic showers

Summary

- Event generators and detector simulations are indispensable tools for the optimization of detectors and for the analysis of particle collisions
- The factorization theorem of QCD allows a splitting of the description of processes into clearly defined parts, which can be considered more or less independently
- PDFs have a special role in hadron collisions, precise knowledge is important for analysis, also for the discovery of New Physics
- The non-perturbative hadronization is described by two complementary models
- A detailed modelling of the detector response to particles, including the simulation of the propagation and interaction of particles in the detector material is very important, and used in all modern experiments
 - Large steps have been made, but further improvement is still necessary, in particular in the area of hadronic showers

Next Lecture: Trigger, Data Acquisition, Computing, S. Bethke 27.11.2017



Schedule

1.	Introduction	16.10.
2.	Accelerators	23.10.
3.	Particle Detectors I	30.10.
----- no lecture -----		06.11.
4.	Particle Detectors II	13.11.
5.	Monte Carlo Generators and Detector Simulation	20.11.
6.	Trigger, Data Acquisition, Computing	27.11.
7.	QCD, Jets, Proton Structure	04.12.
8.	Top Physics	11.12
9.	Topic Open - Wishes, Ideas?	18.12.
----- Christmas -----		
10.	Tests of the Standard Model	08.01.
11.	Higgs Physics I	15.01.
12.	Higgs Physics II	22.01.
13.	Physics beyond the SM	29.01.
14.	LHC Outlook & Future Collider Projects	05.02.

