Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern (Higgs & Co)



12. Higgs Discovery at the LHC

22.01.2018



... and the theoretical foundations

Prof. Dr. Siegfried Bethke Dr. Frank Simon

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

Overview

- Theoretical foundations: What you missed last week, courtesy of Deutsche Bahn
- Discovering a New Boson
 - Recap: Status of Higgs search before LHC
 - Recap: Production and Decay
 - Discovery Channels & Discovery
- Properties of the New Boson
 - Branching fractions
 - Mass
 - Spin



gauge field theory with *gauge symmetry* in weak isospin/hyper charge [SU(2) x U(1)] to describe electromagnetic and weak interactions of quarks and leptons:

includes *massless* gauge bosons (γ , Z0, W+, W–) and fermions

any attempt to include *mass terms* breaks gauge symmetry and *destroys renormalizabilty* of the theories



• The solution:

Englert, Brout and Higgs (1964): *spontaneous symmetry breaking* (generates mass, keeps renormalizabilty):

• introduction of complex SU(2) doublets of scalar fields with a potential of $V(\phi) = \lambda (\phi + \phi)^2 - \mu^2 \phi + \phi$; with $\lambda, \mu^2 > 0$; $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$



• The solution:

Englert, Brout and Higgs (1964): *spontaneous symmetry breaking* (generates mass, keeps renormalizabilty):

- introduction of complex SU(2) doublets of scalar fields with a potential of $V(\phi) = \lambda (\phi + \phi)^2 \mu^2 \phi + \phi$; with $\lambda, \mu^2 > 0$; $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_2 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$
- V does not have minimum at $\phi = 0$, but at

$$\left|\phi\right| = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}} \equiv \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$$





• The solution:

Englert, Brout and Higgs (1964): *spontaneous symmetry breaking* (generates mass, keeps renormalizabilty):

- introduction of complex SU(2) doublets of scalar fields with a potential of V(ϕ) = λ (ϕ + ϕ)2 μ 2 ϕ + ϕ ; with λ , μ 2 > 0; $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_2 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$
- V does not have minimum at $\phi = 0$, but at

$$\left|\phi\right| = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}} \equiv \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{2}}$$

 3 of the 4 real degrees of freedom are used to generate the longitudinal spin d.o.f. of Z⁰ and W[±] The 4. d.o.f. -> physical Higgs particle!





 inserting φ in Lagrange function results in 3 massive vector fields, 1 massless vector-field, plus one massive scalar field with



 inserting φ in Lagrange function results in 3 massive vector fields, 1 massless vector-field, plus one massive scalar field with

$$M_{W} = \frac{1}{2}gv \implies v = 246 \text{ GeV}$$
$$M_{Z} = M_{W}/\cos\theta_{w} \qquad (g = e/\sin\theta_{w})$$
$$M_{\gamma} = 0$$
$$M_{H} = 2\mu^{2} = 2\lambda v^{2}$$



 inserting φ in Lagrange function results in 3 massive vector fields, 1 massless vector-field, plus one massive scalar field with

$$M_{W} = \frac{1}{2}gv \implies v = 246 \text{ GeV}$$
$$M_{Z} = M_{W}/\cos\theta_{w} \qquad (g = e/\sin\theta_{w})$$
$$M_{\gamma} = 0$$
$$M_{H} = 2\mu^{2} = 2\lambda v^{2}$$

• introduction of Yukawa-couplings g_f between ϕ and the fermion fields: generates fermion masses $m_f = g_f v / \sqrt{2}$



 inserting φ in Lagrange function results in 3 massive vector fields, 1 massless vector-field, plus one massive scalar field with

$$M_{W} = \frac{1}{2}gv \implies v = 246 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{Z} = M_{W}/\cos\theta_{w} \qquad (g = e/\sin\theta_{w})$$

$$M_{\gamma} = 0$$

$$M_{H} = 2\mu^{2} = 2\lambda v^{2}$$

- introduction of Yukawa-couplings g_f between ϕ and the fermion fields: generates fermion masses $m_f = g_f v / \sqrt{2}$
- fundamental coupling of the Higgs to fermions and bosons:

$$f = \frac{e m_f}{2M_w \sin \theta_w}$$

$$g_{f\bar{f}H} = \frac{e m_f}{2M_w \sin \theta_w}$$

$$w_1^* z^\circ$$

$$f = \frac{w_1^* z^\circ}{2M_w \sin \theta_w}$$

$$g_{ZZH} = \frac{e M_Z}{\sin \theta_w \cos \theta_w}$$



The SM Higgs Boson: Production

 Production and decay options given by the couplings Leading production processes:





Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)

Higgsstrahlung / associated production





Gluon Fusion



The SM Higgs Boson: Decay

 Production and decay options given by the couplings Tree-level processes:



predominantly into the heaviest kinematically accessible pair of fermions or bosons



The only Unknown: The Mass of the Higgs Boson

- theoretical bounds for MH from self-consistency arguments of the Standard-Model:
- upper bound: perturbativity
- lower bound: vacuum stability



 Λ : energy scale up to which SM is valid



The only Unknown: The Mass of the Higgs Boson

- M_H [GeV] Perturbativity bound Stability bound 300 Finite-T metastability bound upper bound: perturbativity $\lambda = 2\pi$ Zero-T metastability bound $\lambda = \pi$ Shown are 1₀ error bands, w/o theoretical errors 250 lower bound: vacuum stability not allowed allowed 200 Tevatron exclusion at >95% C allowed 150 LEP exclusion If SM is valid only up to $\Lambda = O(1 \text{ TeV})$, at >95% CL then $M_{\rm H} = 50 \dots 1000 \, {\rm GeV}$ 100 8 10 12 14 16 18 $\log_{10}(\Lambda/\text{GeV})$ validity scale of the Standard Model (Λ)

 Λ : energy scale up to which SM is valid



The only Unknown: The Mass of the Higgs Boson

- theoretical bounds for MH from self-consistency arguments of the Standard-Model:
- 350 M_H [GeV] Perturbativity bound Stability bound 300 Finite-T metastability bound $\lambda = 2\pi$ upper bound: perturbativity Zero-T metastability bound $\lambda = \pi$ Shown are 1₀ error bands, w/o theoretical errors 250 lower bound: vacuum stability not allowed allowed 200 Tevatron exclusion at >95% C allowed 150 LEP exclusion If SM is valid only up to $\Lambda = O(1 \text{ TeV})$, at >95% CL then $M_{\rm H} = 50 \dots 1000 \, {\rm GeV}$ 100 8 12 10 14 16 18 $\log_{10}(\Lambda/\text{GeV})$ validity scale of the Standard Model (Λ) If SM is valid up to $\Lambda = O(M_{Planck})$ then M_H ~ 125 ... 180 GeV Λ : energy scale up to which SM is valid



Status of the Higgs Search w/o LHC Data





Higgs Production at LHC and Tevatron

- Cross section depends on Higgs mass and rises strongly with energy
 - no substantial "break" when going from proton-anti-proton to proton-proton





Higgs Production at LHC and Tevatron

- Cross section depends on Higgs mass and rises strongly with energy
 - no substantial "break" when going from proton-anti-proton to proton-proton
 - => Production dominated by gluons!





Higgs Production at the LHC



Total H cross section ~ 17 pb @ 7 TeV, 21 pb @ 8 TeV for 125 GeV



LHC - What we had for the Discovery



- In 2011: 5.6 fb⁻¹ @ 7 TeV
 ~ 100k H produced
 (for a mass of 125 GeV)
- In 2012: 23 fb⁻¹ @ 8 TeV
 ~ 500k H produced
 (for a mass of 125 GeV)

NB: No additional data in 2013 and 2014: LHC in shutdown Since July 2015: 13 TeV, up to now ~ 90 fb⁻¹

The challenge is to pick them out of an enormous background!





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)

WW - Quite abundant, but: Background only manageable for leptonic W decays - Missing energy!





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)

WW - Quite abundant, but: Background only manageable for leptonic W decays - Missing energy!

gg - Decay into two light jets hopeless at LHC





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)

WW - Quite abundant, but: Background only manageable for leptonic W decays - Missing energy!

gg - Decay into two light jets hopeless at LHC

ττ - Taus are tough: Missingenergy in leptonic decays,hard to identify in hadronicdecays





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)

WW - Quite abundant, but: Background only manageable for leptonic W decays - Missing energy!

gg - Decay into two light jets hopeless at LHC

ττ - Taus are tough: Missing energy in leptonic decays, hard to identify in hadronic decays





• This defines the channels to look for:

bb - the most abundant (but hopeless background - needs tricks!)

WW - Quite abundant, but: Background only manageable for leptonic W decays - Missing energy!

gg - Decay into two light jets - hopeless at LHC

ττ - Taus are tough: Missing energy in leptonic decays, hard to identify in hadronic decays





• Additional decay channels:

cc - Two charm jets - quite rare, no chance at LHC





• Additional decay channels:

cc - Two charm jets - quite rare, no chance at LHC

qq - Light quarks - two light jets: tiny branching fraction, no chance for measurement





• Additional decay channels:

cc - Two charm jets - quite rare, no chance at LHC

qq - Light quarks - two light jets: tiny branching fraction, no chance for measurement

µµ - Excellent signature, very
good mass measurement but
tiny branching fraction: Needs
high luminosity





• Additional decay channels:

cc - Two charm jets - quite rare, no chance at LHC

qq - Light quarks - two light jets: tiny branching fraction, no chance for measurement

μμ - Excellent signature, very good mass measurement but tiny branching fraction: Needs high luminosity

ee - Excellent signature, negligible rate, no chance for measurement



Discovery Channels - H -> WW



- The way to separate these events from background: Look for energetic leptons from the W decay -> Only leptonic decays of Ws
- Poor mass resolution (two missing neutrinos)



Discovery Channels - H -> ZZ



- The way to separate these events from background: Look for energetic leptons from the Z decay -> Only leptonic decays of Zs
- Excellent mass resolution: ~ 1%, very good purity



Discovery Channels - Η -> γγ



- Good mass
 resolution: ~ 1%
 level, given by
 photon energy
 resolution of ECAL
- Low branching fraction:

 Moderate background level - Good mass resolution allows to identify signal on top of random photon pairs



Understanding Higgs Exclusion Limits



• Overall discovery strategy:

Compare the observed event rates with calculated predictions for SM Higgs with different masses + background

- Statistics give sensitivity compared to SM cross section
- Result: How much signal can there be, in units of SM x-section



some parameter



Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern: WS 17/18, 12: Higgs Discovery

Frank Simon (fsimon@mpp.mpg.de)

Understanding Higgs Exclusion Limits



• Overall discovery strategy:

Compare the observed event rates with calculated predictions for SM Higgs with different masses + background

- Statistics give sensitivity compared to SM cross section
- Result: How much signal can there be, in units of SM x-section





The Discovery - All Channels Combined



- The SM Higgs is excluded over the full range from 110 GeV to 600 GeV, with the exception of the region around 125 GeV
- Observed and expected limits match well within 1 2 σ



The Discovery - All Channels Combined



 Clear signal around 125 GeV, well in excess of the expected exclusion: A discovery!



The Discovery - A closer Look at ATLAS



- Local significance (published!) ~ 6σ
- Probability for a random fluctuation 10⁻⁹ (like tossing a coin and getting heads 30 times in a row)





• The most significant: H -> $\gamma\gamma$



CMS "discovery plot"



The most significant: H -> γγ







The most significant: Η -> γγ





• The golden mode: H-> ZZ -> I+I-I+I-





Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern: WS 17/18, 12: Higgs Discovery

23



Significance: 3.80

 Limited mass resolution leads to a very broad peak: Background uncertainties accumulate, significance is reduced



The Discovery: Seeing it happen



- Summer 2011: First (and last) focus on limits from LHC
- December 2011: First hints of a signal presented to CERN council
- Summer 2012: Discovery
- December 2012: Well established signal entering the era of detailed Higgs physics program



July 4, 2012 - The Big Day







July 4, 2012 - The Big Day





July 4, 2012 - The Big Day





Beyond Discovery - Other Channels



 Enormous hadronic background, high branching fraction best to reconstruct with additional particle to tag: Higgs production off vector bosons

- H->bb BR:
 57%
- VH production: ~5% of all H production, only leptonic V decay: 0.7%
- Combined:0.2%
- Limited mass resolution:
 b-Jet reconstruction





Ta+ Dy>tt

Beyond Discovery - Other Channels



- Poor mass resolution: tau decays include at least one neutrinos
- BR: 6.3%
- All decays considered none are easy to identify:
 - τ -> hadron(s) + v
 - $\tau -> I + v + v$



Beyond Discovery - Other Channels





Higgs Properties



Branching Fractions & Signal Strength

 The key question: Does the new boson couple to mass as expected for the Higgs? -> Can be answered by measuring the ratios of different decay modes, compared to the SM expectation - now a Run 1 combination of ATLAS + CMS





Branching Fractions & Signal Strength

 The key question: Does the new boson couple to mass as expected for the Higgs? -> Can be answered by measuring the ratios of different decay modes, compared to the SM expectation - now a Run 1 combination of ATLAS + CMS





Higgs Couplings



- From the measured signal strengths the couplings of the Higgs to various particles can be determined (with additional uncertainties)
- Clear evidence that couplings scale with particle mass (nothing like this has been observed for any other particle!):

It is a Higgs boson



Mass

- The mass is a key parameter:
 - Important parameter in SM and BSM theories
 - Defines the SM expectations for the branching ratios

Determining mass: The invariant mass of observed decay products





Mass: Measured in two Channels

Η -> γγ





Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern: WS 17/18, 12: Higgs Discovery

 $H \to ZZ^* \to 4I$

Mass

ATLAS and CMS		⊢⊷⊣Tota	l Stat.	Syst.
<i>LHC</i> Run 1			Total S	Stat. Syst.
ATLAS $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$			126.02 ± 0.51 (± 0	0.43 ± 0.27) GeV
CMS $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$			124.70 ± 0.34 (± 0	0.31±0.15) GeV
ATLAS $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$	• • ••		124.51±0.52(±0	.52 ± 0.04) GeV
CMS H→ZZ→4l			125.59 ± 0.45(± 0	0.42 ± 0.17) GeV
ATLAS+CMS γγ			125.07 ± 0.29 (± 0	0.25 ± 0.14) GeV
ATLAS+CMS 4l	H <mark></mark>		125.15 ± 0.40 (± 0	0.37 ± 0.15) GeV
ATLAS+CMS γγ+4l			125.09 \pm 0.24 (\pm 0	0.21 ± 0.11) GeV
123	124 125	5 126	127 12	8 129
				<i>m_H</i> [GeV]

In both experiments slightly different mass results for the two channels - but in opposite directions

 $m_H^{\gamma\gamma} = 125.07 \pm 0.29 \text{ GeV}$



Teilchenphys WS 17/18, 12 $= 125.07 \pm 0.25 \, ({
m stat.}) \pm 0.14 \, ({
m syst.}) \,\, {
m GeV} \,\, {}^{_{
m (e)}}$

35

- We expect a scalar particle: Spin 0
- Naive first look From observed decays (neglects possible angular momentum in final state - e.g. p-wave vs s-wave)

Decays	Observed?	Spin 0	Spin 1	Spin 2
Η -> γγ	yes	yes	no	yes
H -> ZZ	yes	yes	yes	yes
H -> bb	(yes)	yes	yes	(yes)
Η -> ττ	yes	yes	yes	no
still allowed ?		yes	not really	no



- We expect a scalar particle: Spin 0
- Naive first look From observed decays (neglects possible angular momentum in final state - e.g. p-wave vs s-wave)

Decays	Observed?	Spin 0	Spin 1	Spin 2
Η -> γγ	yes	yes	no	yes
H -> ZZ	yes	yes	yes	yes
H -> bb	(yes)	yes	yes	(yes)
Η -> ττ	yes	yes	yes	no
still allowed ?		yes	not really	no

The question of spin is basically settled - not with decay mode observations alone, since there can be additional angular momentum in the two-particle final states...





• The full answer will come from angular correlations!

One example: H->WW



parity violation in weak interactions:



Charged leptons are close in angle! (For spin 2, the W spins would be parallel, the charged leptons would be in opposite direction -> large angles!)





A word of caution: The requirements for large MET and also small opening angle between the leptons in the analysis disfavors event selection for spin $\neq 0$ • The full answer will come from angular correlations!

One example: H->WW



parity violation in weak interactions:



Charged leptons are close in angle! (For spin 2, the W spins would be parallel, the charged leptons would be in opposite direction -> large angles!)



The Spin in WW - ATLAS



The Spin and Parity of the New Boson



- CMS summary alternative hypotheses tested compared to 0+
- 0+ strongly favored in every case alternatives typically rejected at > 99% CL
 - only leaves room for small admixtures of other states for composite Higgs models



Newest Results: Higgs @ 13 TeV



... already ~ 4 x more data than run 1, and higher cross section: many more Higgses!



Summary - The Scientific Breakthrough of 2012

Breakthrough of the Year, 2012



Every year, crowning one scientific achievement as Breakthrough of the Year is no easy task, and 2012 was no exception. The year saw leaps and bounds in physics, along with significant advances in genetics, engineering, and many other areas. In keeping with tradition, Science's editors and staff have selected a winner and nine runners-up, as well as highlighting the year's top news stories and areas to watch in 2013.



FREE ACCESS The Discovery of the Higgs Boson A. Cho

Exotic particles made headlines again and again in 2012, making it no surprise that the breakthrough of the year is a big physics finding: confirmation of the existence of the Higgs boson. Hypothesized more than 40 years ago, the elusive particle completes the standard model of physics, and is arguably the key to the explanation of how other fundamental particles obtain mass. The only mystery that remains is whether its discovery marks a new dawn for particle physics or the final stretch of a field that has run its course.

Read more about the Higgs boson from the research teams at CERN.

This year's runners-up for Breakthrough of the Year underscore feats in engineering, genetics, and other fields that promise to change the course of science.







Neutrino Mixing Angle



Controlling Bionics





X-ray Laser Advances



Eggs from Stem Cells



Teilchenphysik mit höchstenergetischen Beschleunigern: WS 17/18, 12: Higgs Discovery

Frank Simon (fsimon@mpp.mpg.de)

Summary - The Scientific Breakthrough of 2012

Higgs-Boson

Teilchenphysikern gelingt Entdeckung des Jahres

Von Holger Dambeck



AP

 (\mathbf{i})

So viel Aufregung um ein Partikel war selten: In diesem Jahr haben Forscher endlich das lange gesuchte Higgs-Boson aufgespürt. Die Entdeckung war mühsam und teuer – aber sie hat die Teilchenphysik ein großes Stück vorangebracht. Die Forscher planen bereits das nächste Milliardenexperiment.



Berlin - Die Protonen machen Winterpause am Kernforschungszentrum in Genf. Ende Dezember steht der weltgrößte Teilchenbeschleuniger LHC still. Wo in den vergangenen Jahren fast ununterbrochen Milliarden Protonen nahezu mit Lichtgeschwindigkeit aufeinander prallten, legen nun Mechaniker Hand an. Die größte Experimentiermaschine der Welt wird gewartet.

2012 war ohne Zweifel das erfolgreichste Jahr für die Teilchenphysiker am Cern. Sie haben gefunden, wonach sie schon lange suchen: das ominöse Teilchen, das sie <u>Higgs-Boson</u> nennen. Es gilt als Beweis für die Existenz des sogenannten Higgs-Felds, das Materie Masse verleiht. Das Wissenschaftsmagazin "Science" hat den Fund des neuen Partikels zum wissenschaftlichen Durchbruch des Jahres gekürt.

Wissenschaft 2012

Das Jahr des Gottesteilchens



EPA/CERN/DPA

Partikel-Kollision (Grafik): Die Suche nach dem Higgs-Boson war 2012 erfolgreich

Das Higgs-Boson ist entdeckt, der Rover "Curiosity" auf dem Mars gelandet, Bluttests erlauben Einblicke ins Erbgut von Embryos: Forscher haben 2012 beeindruckende Erfolge gefeiert. Allerdings gab es auch bedrohliche Entwicklungen – insbesondere aus Sicht von Erdbeben- und Klimaforschern.



Selten herrschte in der Forschergemeinde eine so große Einigkeit über den Durchbruch des Jahres: Der Nachweis des Higgs-Bosons, landläufig auch Gottesteilchen genannt, überstrahlte 2012 alle anderen wissenschaftlichen Erfolge zumindest in der Öffentlichkeit.





Summary

- A new boson has been discovered at the LHC by ATLAS and CMS
 - The significance of the observation is by now beyond 7σ in both experiments, the $\gamma\gamma$ channel has surpassed 7 σ in ATLAS single channel discovery!
 - The mass of the new boson is ~125.1 GeV
 - Its properties are so far consistent with those for the SM Higgs boson:
 - Spin 0, even Parity favored
 - Production rate and observed decays match expectations
- ▶ The exploration of this fundamentally new sector of matter has only just begun:
 - Mostly still large uncertainties on measurements leave room for surprises
 - Many models of New Physics lead to modifications of expected Higgs properties
 - A lot still to come from LHC, and new colliders currently in planning (more in the last lecture in this series)



Summary

- A new boson has been discovered at the LHC by ATLAS and CMS
 - The significance of the observation is by now beyond 7σ in both experiments, the $\gamma\gamma$ channel has surpassed 7 σ in ATLAS single channel discovery!
 - The mass of the new boson is ~125.1 GeV
 - Its properties are so far consistent with those for the SM Higgs boson:
 - Spin 0, even Parity favored
 - Production rate and observed decays match expectations
- The exploration of this fundamentally new sector of matter has only just begun:
 - Mostly still large uncertainties on measurements leave room for surprises
 - Many models of New Physics lead to modifications of expected Higgs properties
 - A lot still to come from LHC, and new colliders currently in planning (more in the last lecture in this series)

Next Lecture: Heavy Quarks, S. Bethke, 29.01.2018



Schedule

1.	Introduction	16.10.
2.	Accelerators	23.10.
3.	Particle Detectors I	30.10.
	no lecture	06.11.
4.	Particle Detectors II	13.11.
5.	Monte Carlo Generators and Detector Simulation	20.11.
6.	Trigger, Data Acquisition, Computing	27.11.
7.	QCD, Jets, Proton Structure	04.12.
8.	Top Physics	11.12
9.	Tests of the Standard Model	18.12.
	Christmas	
10.	Physics beyond the SM	08.01.
11.	Higgs Physics I	15.01.
12.	Higgs Physics II	22.01.
13.	Heavy Quarks	29.01.
14.	LHC Outlook & Future Collider Projects	05.02.

