# INVESTIGATION OF PEN AS STRUCTURAL SELF VETOING MATERIAL FOR CRYOGENIC LOW BACKGROUND EXPERIMENTS

Felix Fischer March 15, 2018

DPG-Rehearsals, Munich

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik







Large Enriched Germanium Experiment for Neutrinoless ßß Decay

### Rare event search ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ , $\beta\beta$ , Dark Matter ...)

Rare event search ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ,  $\beta\beta$ , Dark Matter ...)

- Low Background
- ightarrow Reduction & identification of background events
  - New generation of experiments approaches
- ightarrow Develop new methods of identification

Rare event search ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ,  $\beta\beta$ , Dark Matter ...)

- Low Background
- ightarrow Reduction & identification of background events
  - New generation of experiments approaches
- ightarrow Develop new methods of identification

 $\Rightarrow$  PEN as structural self vetoing material

### WHAT IS PEN?

# POLYETHYLENE NAPHTHALATE (PEN)

The common plastic PEN has been shown to scintillate.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> B. Majorovits et al., arXiv:1708.09265v1

The common plastic PEN has been shown to scintillate.<sup>1</sup>

Scintillator: material that emits light when struck by ionizing radiation.



#### PEN excited by <sup>137</sup>Cs source

<sup>1</sup> H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011) <sup>2</sup> B. Majorovits et al., arXiv:1708.09265v1 The common plastic PEN has been shown to scintillate.<sup>1</sup>

Scintillator: material that emits light when struck by ionizing radiation.



PEN excited by <sup>137</sup>Cs source

<sup>1</sup>H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011) <sup>2</sup>B. Majorovits et al., arXiv:1708.09265v1 Excitation and emission spectrum of PEN. The sample was moulded at TU Dortmund.<sup>2</sup>







PEN as		Common plastic
scintillator	VS.	scintillator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)



PEN as scintillator	VS.	Common plastic scintillator
Emits in favourable region	=	Emits in favourable region
Fast enough signal	$\rightarrow$	Fast signal
(Reported) High light yield <sup>3</sup>	=	High light yield
Wavelength shifting	=	Wavelength Shifting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)



PEN as scintillator	vs.	Common plastic scintillator
Emits in favourable region	=	Emits in favourable region
Fast enough signal	$\rightarrow$	Fast signal
(Reported) High light yield <sup>3</sup>	=	High light yield
Wavelength shifting	=	Wavelength Shifting
Pure material is		Mixture of plastic and
already a scintillator	$\leftarrow$	organic scintillator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)



PEN as scintillator	VS.	Common plastic scintillator
Emits in favourable region	=	Emits in favourable region
Fast enough signal	$\rightarrow$	Fast signal
(Reported) High light yield <sup>3</sup>	=	High light yield
Wavelength shifting	=	Wavelength Shifting
Pure material is		Mixture of plastic and
already a scintillator	$\leftarrow$	organic scintillator
Can be purified	$\leftarrow$	Expensive to purify

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)



PEN as scintillator	vs.	Common plastic scintillator
Emits in favourable region	=	Emits in favourable region
Fast enough signal	$\rightarrow$	Fast signal
(Reported) High light yield <sup>3</sup>	=	High light yield
Wavelength shifting	=	Wavelength Shifting
Pure material is		Mixture of plastic and
already a scintillator	$\leftarrow$	organic scintillator
Can be purified	$\leftarrow$	Expensive to purify
Low costs	$\leftarrow$	Relative expensive

<sup>3</sup>H. Nakamura et al. In: Europhysics Letters 95.2 (June 2011)

### APPLICATION



 Replacement for inactive structural materials like copper in low background experiments <sup>4</sup>

- <sup>4</sup>B. Majorovits et al., arXiv:1708.09265v1
- <sup>5</sup> F. Simon, CALICE AHCAL, Alternative Scintillator Option, Dec. 2015
- 6<sub>E, Tiras et al., arXiv:1611.05228v1</sub>
- 7 D, Flühs et al., Ocul Oncol Pathol 2016; 2:5–12

### APPLICATION



- Replacement for inactive structural materials like copper in low background experiments <sup>4</sup>
- Low cost alternative when needing a lot of scintillating tiles<sup>5</sup>
- Radiation hard scintillation detectors for high energy physics<sup>6</sup>
- Replacement for polyvinyltoluene-based scintillators in eye plaque dosimetry<sup>7</sup>

- <sup>D</sup> F. Simon, CALICE AHCAL, Alternative Scintillator Option, Dec. 2015
- <sup>b</sup>E, Tiras et al., arXiv:1611.05228v1
- <sup>7</sup> D, Flühs et al., Ocul Oncol Pathol 2016; 2:5–12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>B. Majorovits et al., arXiv:1708.09265v

### PEN CHARACTERISATION

### • Light yield properties

- Spectral response
- Temperature dependence
- Environmental influences
- $\circ~$  Dependence of the light output on mechanical stress
- Attenuation length
- Radiopurity
- Moulding of scintillator tiles

### SPECTROSCOPY BASED INVESTIGATION



- Andor spectrometer and CCD camera<sup>8</sup>
- $\circ~$  UV-LED: 255 nm,  $P_{\rm max,UV}=2~\mu{\rm W}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shamrock-SR-303I-A spectrograph, iDus DV420A CCD camera

## SPECTROSCOPY BASED INVESTIGATION





- Andor spectrometer and CCD camera<sup>8</sup>
- $\circ$  UV-LED: 255 nm,  $P_{\rm max,UV} = 2 \ \mu W$

- Resulting spectrum for PEN
- Integrated spectrum is treated as *light output*
- ightarrow Integrated range: 405 to 542 nm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shamrock-SR-3031-A spectrograph, iDus DV420A CCD camera

### **RADIATION DAMAGE AND REPRODUCIBILITY**



- $\circ\,$  Constantly decreasing light output when exposed to UV (255 nm, 1.36  $\mu \rm W)$
- → In accordance with other plastic scintillators<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>C. Zorn, https://doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90040-2

### **RADIATION DAMAGE AND REPRODUCIBILITY**



- Constantly decreasing light output when exposed to UV (255 nm, 1.36  $\mu W)$
- In accordance with other plastic  $\rightarrow$ scintillators<sup>9</sup>

- Three-week reproducibility measurement:
- Standard deviation: 1.0 %  $\rightarrow$

### **DETERIORATION OF THE LIGHT OUTPUT**



- One self-moulded tile was constantly exposed to UV light  $(1, 36 \pm 0.01 \ \mu\text{W})$  for 10 days
- $\circ \approx$  30 % decrease due to photon induced damage (surface effect)
- Afterwards, no recovery detected



- 32 self-moulded tiles, randomly chosen from one batch were set under different conditions for one month:
- $\rightarrow\,$  Dark vacuum, vacuum, dark box, laboratory

## **CRYOGENIC ENVIRONMENT - LIQUID NITROGEN**



PEN tiles were stored in liquid nitrogen for different time spans. After each cycle, the light output was measured again

 $\rightarrow~$  Cooling procedures do not influence the light output of PEN

## **STRESS TESTS**

Experimental set-up<sup>10</sup>to expose PEN tiles to stress in a cryogenic environment.





 $<sup>10</sup>_{\rm FMT\text{-}220}$  force test stand and FMI-S30K1 force gauge by ALLURIS

## **STRESS TESTS - RESULTS**



PEN tiles were measured regarding their light output before and after exerting them to different stress levels

ightarrow No significant effect could be observed

# Stress Tests - Youngs's Modulus



Young's modulus  $\left(\frac{Stress}{Strain}\right)$  for PEN increases from 1.9 to 3.5 GPa when cooled down from room temperature to 77 K.<sup>11</sup> Maximum yield strength: 150 MPa  $\stackrel{Cooling}{\rightarrow}$  300 MPa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>S. Eck, Bachelor Thesis

- The scintillation spectrum of PEN claimed by Nakamura could be reproduced.
- UV light deteriorates light output.
- Mechanical stress and cryogenic temperatures do not deteriorate light output.
- Light output not optimum yet, probably due to short attenuation length.
- $\rightarrow$  Work in progress

SiPM Based Investigation For cryogenic experiments, silicon photomultipliers (SiPM) are more favourable than a spectrometer.

- Evaluation-board including pre-amplifier from the *Future Detectors* group (MPP)
- 3 × 3 mm SiPM<sup>12</sup>with 3600 pixels (50  $\mu m$  pitch)



#### Muon Telescope



- Two triggers
- PEN and common plastic scintillator (BC-408) samples in between

#### Muon Telescope - Results



#### Results:

- PEN: clear peak at 14 photoelectrons per MIP.
- BC-408: higher average light output (due to attenuation length?)

#### Detection efficiency:

- $\circ~\text{PEN:}\approx60~\%$
- $\circ~$  BC-408:  $\approx 80~\%$

SiPM Based Experiments - Outlook

 $\rightarrow$  PENNI - PEN at liquid Nitrogen temperature Investigation

Some scintillators provide a higher light yield at low temperatures.<sup>13</sup>

 $\rightarrow\,$  investigate the scintillation properties of PEN at cryogenic temperatures



#### Outlook - PENNI

Achieved so far:

- $\circ~$  Vacuum of:  $\approx 10^{-6}$  mbar.
- Temperature at the inner part of the cold finger: ≈ -140°C.

What has to be done:

- Better thermal insulation during the transition from the dewar into the vacuum.
- Construct a thermal insulated holding structure for radioactive sources.



### Backup - PEN vs. BC-408 without UV lamp



#### Backup - PEN and BC-408 Pulse from SiPM



#### Backup - UV lamp stability



#### Backup - Average spectrum



#### Backup - Fitted emission maximum



### Backup - Exposure position



#### Backup - Claimed PEN spectrum



### Backup - Spectra of reproducibility measurements

