



SC Status



Michael Ritzert michael.ritzert@ziti.uni-heidelberg.de 22nd International Workshop on DEPFET Detectors and Applications Ringberg

09.04.2018



- Alarms
- GUI
- PS Firmware
- PSC



Alarm System

Some clarifications:

- Sole addressee of alarms is the shifter.
 ⇒ Only objective: Keep the system producing good data.
- It's not a reminder tool for experts.
- Two well-defined alarm levels:
 - **MAJOR**: System is broken.
 - **MINOR**: Do something *now* to prevent MAJOR.
 - ⇒ no MINOR without MAJOR possible. We might not have many MINOR alarms.
- DQM will provide valuable inputs:
 - PXD operation is judged by the data that come out.
 - If in doubt: If data are fine, all is well.
 - Unfortunately, this means there's a delay between failure and alarm.
 ⇒ get from BonnDAQ what's also available there?

What Happens When an Alarm Fires?

- Sound Notification in the Control Room.
 - Obviously requires speakers.
- Alarm visible in the respective views.
- Action guidelines for the shifter available in the context menu.

- List of things to try. Last item usually "Call ... expert."

- Only one possible action: Let the IOC do it automatically.
 - Unless the state of the system should not be changed for inspection by the experts. Use sparingly.
 - Log entry as "FYI" to the shifter. Shown on PXD top panel.
- Probably no upstream integration (to Belle 2): No compatible system used.



First Alarms Are Active



GUI

- First draft of shifer-overview panel and a few levels of hierarchy in PSC.
 - Inspired by outcome of discussion with Botho.
 - Next level: (Half-)expert panels for more detailled overview and taskoriented view, i.e. all information/buttons required to perform a task.
- Widely accessible, no expert-only information.
- It's not possible to run 40 units manually. No provisions for that are foreseen.
- To be hosted on pxdgw1.
 - Check into one repository, get precompiled output in another repository.
 - git ⇒ mirroring to outside of KEK possible.
 - Read-only copy in stash @ DESY if required.



PXD Top GUI

PXD Overview \$(title2)



Log entries \geq warning level \Rightarrow awareness of what's happening when things fail. E.g. automated action by the alarm system triggered an error state.

PXD PSC GUI

PXD Powersupply Control \$(title2)



yellow background: local mode

Disco

1.04.1

Disc

1.06.1

Disc

2.04.1

Disco

1.03.1

Disco

1.07.1

Disco

2.10.1

+X

Disco

2.03.1

1.14.1

OFF

Disco

1.08.1

Disco

2.11.1

1.13.1

OFF



left-click: descend. right-click: go local, emergency shutdown.



Single Module GUI

PXD Module 1131 \$(title2)



& Simulation

New PS Firmware Trouble

At first: Everything according to plan with first real tests:

- Firmware successfully tested with two units (no modules) at KEK.
 - Parallel ramp-up/-down without problems.
- Several units flashed at DESY.
 - Flashing now really easy.
 - Routine power on/off cycles without problems.

BUT

- Frequent disconnections between firmware and IOC at KEK.
- Immediately visible symptoms:
 - Some ‰ of monitoring packets are not received by the IOC.
- Happens many times a day at KEK, not a single time in weeks in Heidelberg.
- At DESY, I do see "something" once every couple of *days*.
 - Events involving both units within a few seconds, longer that at KEK.

Implications

- TCP connection for commands IOC \Rightarrow PS.
 - Effectively unidirectional communication.
 - ACK gets lost
 - \Rightarrow IOC retransmits the frame.
 - PS ignores the duplicate frame ⇒ no problem? (Commands carry a sequence number, no discontinuity is detected.)
- UDP "connection" $PS \Rightarrow IOC$.
 - Monitoring packets (voltage/current data).
 - No retransmission of lost packets
 - \Rightarrow IOC drops the connection on timeout.



Is It Very Bad?

- In principle: No.
- A patched IOC accepts three or four lost packets in a row.
 - ~800 ms monitoring period, timout increased to 4 s.
 - Two lost packets in a row are a frequent event, more is very rare (at KEK).
 - The old firmware/PS has a 5 s timeout.
 - **RISK:** At DESY, events are much less frequent, but more destructive.
- Are there more implication I don't see, yet?



Debugging (Fixing?)

- Narrowed down to: An Ethernet frame is handed to the DMA engine for transfer to the MAC. It never shows up on the Ethernet.
- On the switch side, I see "FCS errors" (Frame check sequence).
 ⇒ the problem is between PS unit and switch!
 - Rate of occurrences depends strongly on switch type used.
 - Wrong checksum, etc. can be ruled out: Any receiver would reject that.
 ⇒ the problem must be more "analog".
- The old units do not show this behavior
 - \Rightarrow Now I know where to look for differences in the code.
 - But reading the old code is a nightmare.
 - And interpreting it then is yet another one.
- My personal favourite right now: Different configuration of the µC-internal clock tree yields a slightly better PHY frequency output.
 - There a hardly any other settings that are not black/white good/bad.

How to continue?

- <u>Option a)</u> Ignore for now, use the patched IOC.
 - Accept the risk of occassional errors.
 - A fix can be developed without time pressure.
- <u>Option b</u>) Do not continue like this.
 - Safest way.
 - Totally unpredictable delay: Zero to "forever". A fix might be one line of code found tomorrow or require fixes in the PS hardware.
- If the situation at DESY with the new switch is as at KEK, I'd probably go for a).
 - These are the units we will use in phase 3.
 - The entire rack will travel to KEK, including the switch.
 - \Rightarrow We know beforehand, what we'll get.
- Hardware for flashing is available at KEK.
 - Needs access to top of belle for ~2 minutes per unit.

PSC

- PXD is not yet connected to PSC. Waiting for
 - New PS firmware.
 - Full PSC interface spec from Belle 2 SC team.
- It has been proposed to participate at first by only reporting the state of PXD.
- The mapping from state to allowed type of injection is as below.
- We designed our PSC (i.e. power-up sequence) this way, so this could be a way forward.
- But merging the states from several PS needs some thought.

HV state mapping (from Konno-san)

HV state	HV master	Continuous injection	Normal Injection
OFF	OFF	Allowed	Allowed
STANDBY	STANDBY	Allowed	Allowed
PEAK	РЕАК	Allowed	Inhibited

Thank you!

Events at DESY

- Quite unlike the events at KEK:
 - much less frequent than at KEK. Once every two days vs. once every hour.
 - two units almost(!) simultaneously
 - lasts for more than 4 seconds

2018-04-06	21:06:31.389	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	53:	monitoring delta 2612ms.
2018-04-06	21:06:32.389	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	53:	monitoring delta 3612ms.
2018-04-06	21:06:33.390	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	53:	Marked as dead.
2018-04-06	21:06:33.390	[warning]	[communic]	Unit	53:	Dropping TCP connection
2018-04-06	21:06:33.390	[severe]	[LogRecei]	Unit	53:	Error in recv() : 0, 107
2018-04-06	21:06:33.391	[warning]	[LogRecei]	Unit	53:	emergency_shutdown
2018-04-06	21:06:33.391	[warning]	[LogRecei]	Unit	53:	SC connection lost.
2018-04-06	21:06:37.390	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	60:	monitoring delta 2493ms.
2018-04-06	21:06:38.390	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	60:	monitoring delta 3493ms.
2018-04-06	21:06:38.390	[info]	[communic]	Unit	53:	TCP connected.
2018-04-06	21:06:38.391	[info]	[LogRecei]	Unit	53:	TCP connection accepted.
2018-04-06	21:06:38.391	[config]	[communic]	Unit	53:	firmware version 'afe2128-dirty @ 2018-04-05T13:05'.
2018-04-06	21:06:39.390	[warning]	[active_u]	Unit	60:	Marked as dead.
2018-04-06	21:06:39.390	[warning]	[communic]	Unit	60:	Dropping TCP connection
2018-04-06	21:06:39.391	[severe]	[LogRecei]	Unit	60:	Error in recv() : 0, 107
2018-04-06	21:06:39.391	[warning]	[LogRecei]	Unit	60:	emergency_shutdown
2018-04-06	21:06:39.392	[warning]	[LogRecei]	Unit	60:	SC connection lost.
2018-04-06	21:06:44.391	[info]	[communic]	Unit	60:	TCP connected.
2018-04-06	21:06:44.392	[info]	[LogRecei]	Unit	60:	TCP connection accepted.
2018-04-06	21:06:44.392	[config]	[communic]	Unit	60:	firmware version 'afe2128-dirty @ 2018-04-05T13:04'.

