



# PXD efficiency and impact parameter resolution in Phase 3 data

Alexander Glazov, Cyrille Praz

#### 27.05.2019

A. Glazov, C. Praz

PXD Workshop: efficiency and resolution

## Outline



### 2 PXD efficiency

- Strategy
- Results
- Impact parameter resolution
  - Strategy
  - Results



## Data samples

- Phase 3, experiment 7, runs 3356, 3370, 3372, 3374, 3375.
  - LER beam injection at  $\sim 5-6.25\,\mathrm{Hz}.$
- Phase 3, experiment 8, runs 367, 1037.
  - Continuous LER injection.
  - Integrated efficiency drop for run 367 (• Jira ticket).

## Reconstruction

• Release 03-01-02 of basf2.

• Recommended global tags for SVD reconstruction (often updated).

- basf2.use\_central\_database("data\_reprocessing\_prompt")
- basf2.use\_central\_database("svd\_basic")
- basf2.use\_central\_database("svd\_loadedOnFADC")
- basf2.use\_central\_database("svd\_offlineCalibrations")
- Output: tracking validation ntuples (1 row = 1 track).

## Outline



### 2 PXD efficiency

- Strategy
- Results
- 3 Impact parameter resolution
  - Strategy
  - Results

Status and outlook

# Estimate of the PXD efficiency

- Select tracks coming from the IP and detected by the outer detectors (SVD and CDC).
- Efficiency estimate =  $\frac{\# \text{ selected tracks with } \# PXD \text{ hits } \ge 1}{\text{total } \# \text{ selected tracks}}$ .
- This estimate is a function of the intrinsic PXD efficiency and the matching efficiency.
- Projection on the  $\phi_0$ -tan $(\lambda)$  plane.

•  $\lambda \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$ : angle between a track and the plan  $\perp$  to the beam.

# Track-based selection for PXD efficiency study

• Look for tracks detected in the SVD coming from Bhabha events.

Variable	Requirement	Unit
<i>d</i> <sub>0</sub>	< 3	mm
$ z_0 $	< 1	cm
# selected tracks in the event	= 2	
$ ho_{ m T}$	> 0.6	${ m GeV}/c$
# selected tracks in the event	= 2	
# CDC hits	$\geq$ 10	
# SVD hits	$\geq$ 6	

# PXD efficiency (experiment 7, runs 3356-3375)



# PXD efficiency (experiment 8, run 1037)



# PXD efficiency (experiment 8, run 367)



PXD efficiency

Results

# PXD efficiency vs tan( $\lambda$ ) (experiment 7, runs 3356-3375)



#### First PXD hit in L1 or L2.



A. Glazov, C. Praz

PXD Workshop: efficiency and resolution

PXD efficiency

Results

# PXD efficiency vs tan( $\lambda$ ) (experiment 8, run 1037)



#### First PXD hit in L1 or L2.



A. Glazov, C. Praz

PXD Workshop: efficiency and resolution

## Outline



#### 2 PXD efficiency

- Strategy
- Results

#### Impact parameter resolution

- Strategy
- Results

#### Status and outlook

## Estimate of the $d_0$ resolution

- Goal: estimation of the transverse impact parameter  $(d_0)$  resolution.
- Select tracks coming from the IP and detected by PXD and SVD.
- width of the  $d_0$  distribution = estimate of the  $d_0$  resolution.
  - (valid if beam size  $\ll d_0$  resolution).
- 68% coverage  $(\sigma_{68})$ :  $\sigma$  chosen so that the interval [Median $(d_0) \sigma$ , Median $(d_0) + \sigma$ ] contains 68% of the distribution.

## Track-based selection for $d_0$ resolution study

Look for tracks detected in the VXD coming from Bhabha events.

Variable	Requirement	Unit
<i>d</i> <sub>0</sub>	< 3	mm
$ z_0 $	< 1	$\mathrm{cm}$
# selected tracks in the event	= 2	
$p_{\mathrm{T}}$	> 1	GeV/c
$  heta-\pi/2 $	< 0.5	
$p\beta\sin( heta)^{3/2}$	> 2	GeV/c
# selected tracks in the event	= 2	
# CDC hits	> 20	
# SVD hits	$\geq$ 6	
# PXD hits	$\geq 1$	

# $d_0$ and $\sigma(d_0)$ against $\phi_0$

- In data,  $d_0$  depends on  $\phi_0$ , because the center of the beam is not exactly located at the origin.
- Fit  $d_0(\phi_0)$  with  $A\cos(\phi_0) + B\sin(\phi_0) + C$ .
- Correction of the  $d_0$ -offset for each run individually.
- $\sigma(d_0)$  as a function of  $\phi_0$  exhibits the horizontal beam size.



# Comparison with simple model [C. Niebuhr]

• The resolution estimate depends on the intrinsic resolution  $(\sigma_i)$  and the horizontal beam size at the IP ( $\sigma_x^*$ ).

• Simple model: 
$$\sigma(d_0)(\phi_0) = \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + (\sin(\phi_0) \cdot \sigma_x^*)^2}$$
.



A. Glazov, C. Praz

## Status and outlook

- Many improvements since the very early phase 3 data.
- Calibration constants have not yet fully converged.
- An internal note will be written to present the  $d_0$  resolution study.
  - Comparison with simulation.
  - Goal: public plot by the end of the next B2GM.

## Thank you for your attention.

# PXD efficiency (experiment 7, runs 3356-3375)

Numerator

#### Denominator.

