



DepFET Laser Annealing ?

I. Dourki F. Westermeier D. Gitaric F. Tellkamp S. Epp



Radiation Damage EDET layers



mpsc

Catchy number: 1 milli-rad @ 1e / pixel

TEM movie



Radiation Damage EDET layers



40 e/px/image - > 1 Mrad @ 25 Mio. images or 250 000 movies of 100 frames
1000 e/px/image -> 1 Mrad @ 1 Mio. images or 275 hours of operation

Radiation Damage EDET layers



40 e/px/image - > 1 Mrad @ 25 Mio. images or 250 000 movies of 100 frames

1000 e/px/image -> 1 Mrad @ 1 Mio. images or 275 hours of operation



DEPFET Results – Damage Profiling



Single chip cumulatively irradiated to higher total doses up to ~ $1.5 \text{ Mrad}(\text{SiO}_2)$

Clear-like structures:

Gate-like structures:

Increasing margin of error due to degradation of the photo cathode at the REGAE experiment.



Problem: Radiation damage

- Chip is especially sensitive to inhomogeneous damage of p-doped layer around the n-clear
 - 2 V shift correspond to 1.5 Mrad
 - Safety margin: 3 Volt -> 2 Mrad
- Test campaign of Martin
 - On pxd6 test structures
 - W23 test structures (wafer was strongly damaged, 1 metal layer missing...)
 - Double-FET structures on 34 test chips
 - 2V shift after ~1.0 Mrad
 - Relatively early
 - No sign that trend relaxes with further irradiation





Chip is especially sensitive to inhomogeneous damage of p-doped layer around the nclear

- 2 V shift correspond to 1.5 Mrad
- Safety margin: 3 Volt -> 2 Mrad

Test campaign of Martin

- ▷ On pxd6 test structures
 - → W23 test structures (wafer was strongly damaged, 1 metal layer missing...)
 - → Double-FET structures on 34 test chips
- ▷ 2V shift after ~1.0 Mrad

 - → No sign that trend relaxes with further irradiation





Annealing Temperature / $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$



Clear-like structures annealed for 1h



- ▷ Strong annealing effect for ϑ > 250°C
- Below: weak annealing to about 80%
 of damage remaining

Clear-like structures annealed for 2h



Annealing Temperature / $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Longer annealing periods do not improve the process significantly

Problem: Radiation damage

- Chip is especially sensitive to inhomogeneous damage of p-doped layer around the n-clear
 - 2 V shift correspond to 1.5 Mrad
 - Safety margin: 3 Volt -> 2 Mrad
- Test campaign of Martin
 - On pxd6 test structures
 - W23 test structures (wafer was strongly damaged, 1 metal layer missing...)
 - Double-FET structures on 34 test chips
 - 2V shift after ~1.0 Mrad
 - Relatively early
 - No sign that trend relaxes with further irradiation





EDET Laser Annealing Setup



Quadrant

Laser: 907 nm c.w., 0-30 W, focal spot 500 mu

Laser diode



EDET Laser Annealing Setup



Quadrant

Laser: 907 nm c.w., 0-30 W, focal spot 500 mu

Laser diode



Possible setup



2 Mirror deflection unit: To cover sensitive area even with inclined walls Laser: 810 nm cw, 10-20 Watt

EDET DepFET Layers Structure (simplified)



Market

EDET DepFET Layers Structure (simplified)



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAF

Surface layers

- Surface layers
 - 100nm Cu
 - 100 nm TiW
 - 3000 nm BCB
 - 1000 nm SiO2
 - 1000 nm Al
 - 300 nm SiO2
 - 50 nm Si3N4
 - 200 nm SiO2
 - 400 nm Si
 - 100 nm SiO2
 - Sensitive layer

- For back illumination
 - Absorption:
 - Mainly in Si
 - Reflection
 - At surface and internal Alu
 - Transmission
 - No Transmission

Open filters

Frontside illumination Optimum: 300 nm



Backside illumination Optimum: 600-900 nm



Blue: Reflection / Green: Absorption / Red: Transmission

Illumination from front



- Left: 100 nm Cu + 100 nm TiW
- Right: Only 100 nm TiW
- Less than a fraction of 10⁻⁵ (10⁻⁷ for Cu+TiW) of incoming light is transmitted to the layers below

Illumination from back Reflection



- Silicon
 - Reflection decreases
 with increasing Si
 thickness
- Surface layers
 - Below 950 nm: Surface reflection of Si
 - Above 950 nm:
 Reflection mainly at internal aluminum layer

Illumination from back Reflection



Illumination from back Reflection



- Silicon
 - Reflection
 decreases with
 increasing Si
 thickness
- Surface layers
 - Below 950 nm:
 Surface reflection
 of Si
 - Above 950 nm:
 Reflection mainly at internal aluminum layer



Illumination from back



- Silicon
 - Transmission
 decreases with
 increasing Si
 thickness
- Surface layers
 - With Al layer:
 - Transmission= 0

Illumination from back Absorption

- Silicon
 - Absorption increases with increasing Si thickness
- Surface layers
 - Absorption increases with increasing number of surface layers

Absorption depth

- Silicon
 - Absorption increases with increasing Si thickness
- Surface layers
 - Absorption increases with increasing number of surface layers

Illumination from back Conclusion

- 4 processes:
 - Si absorption
 - Surface reflection
 - Internal reflection (Alu)
 - 'Surface layer' absorption and absorption of reflected light
- Absorption occurs throughout the 'thick' Si layer
- Light is reflected/absorbed latest at the internal Aluminum layer

Simulations By Djordje

Simulations By Djordje

Simulations By Diordie

Conclusion

Mechanical Setup almost completed Scanning algorithm yet to be implemented Simulation promisses precise injection of heat temperatures not rechable by other techniques Possibility to anneal "live" Sensor -> new insight? > Annealing time could be 1 week or more Test structures (irradiated?) for commissioning

00

mpsc

Summary

- Illumination from top
 - Everything is either reflected or absorbed by the top Cu (and TiW) layer
- Illumination from back
 - Absorption occurs throughout the 'thick' Si layer
 - Light is reflected/absorbed latest at the internal Aluminum layer

Questions

- Maximum 'safe temperature' for complete quadrant
- Test structures for laser tests
- Irradiation / radiation damage tests
 - X-rays
 - electrons
 - annealing

