



Jet Shapes in SCET

in collaboration with:

Steve Ellis

Chris Lee

Chris Vermilion

Jonathan Walsh

arXiv:0912.0262

arXiv:1001.0014

Andrew Hornig Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics & Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Intro

 much recent work on jet substructure to find boosted tops, new physics, etc. (see Steve Ellis' talk)



- often times it relies on Monte Carlo (e.g., $1 \rightarrow 2$ splittings in Pythia)
- question: can we use SCET to predict and systematically improve our understanding of QCD jets???
- we begin by trying to determine to what extent the shapes of quark and gluon jets are different...



"The" (Original) Jet Shape

• frac. of p_T inside subcone of radius r (gives energy profile of jet):



Angularities as jet shapes

Berger, Kucs, Sterman (2003)

$$\tau_{a} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i \in \text{jet}} E_{i} (\sin \theta_{i})^{a} (1 - |\cos \theta_{i}|)^{1-a} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i \in \text{jet}} |\mathbf{p}_{i}^{T}| e^{-|\eta_{i}|(1-a)}$$

$$\overset{\checkmark}{\text{sum only over jet}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} a = 0 & \text{thrust} & \text{infrared safety:} & -\infty < a < 2\\ a = 1 & \text{broadening} & \text{factorizability:} & -\infty < a < 1 \end{array}$$

• Knowing distribution for multiple "a" also gives profile:





Angularities as jet shapes

Berger, Kucs, Sterman (2003)

$$\tau_{a} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i \in jet} E_{i} (\sin \theta_{i})^{a} (1 - |\cos \theta_{i}|)^{1-a} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i \in jet} |\mathbf{p}_{i}^{T}| e^{-|\eta_{i}|(1-a)}$$

$$\overset{\checkmark}{\underset{\text{sum only over jet}}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} a = 0 & \text{thrust} & \text{infrared safety:} & -\infty < a < 2\\ a = 1 & \text{broadening} & \text{factorizability:} & -\infty < a < 1 \end{array}$$

• Knowing distribution for multiple "a" also gives profile:





Andrew Hornig

SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

Using angularities to distinguish quark and gluon jets

τ_a distribution for a = -3.0, for quark and gluon jets 10⁻¹ 10⁻² 10⁻³ **10**⁻⁴ 10⁻⁵ 10⁻⁶ **10**⁻¹⁰ **10**⁻⁵ 10⁻³ 10⁻⁹ 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁷ **10**⁻⁴ 10⁻² 10⁻¹ Studied quark v. gluon jets in e+e- to 3 well-separated jets in PYTHIA

cuts exist keeping ~2% of gluon jets and ~20% of quark jets,

or ~15% of gluons and ~8% quarks.

Greater discriminating power in correlated distributions for multiple values of a?...



Andrew Hornig



PRELIMINARY

Using angularities to distinguish **PRELIMINARY** quark and gluon jets

 2d-cuts (or multivariate analysis) may have greater distinguishing power than 1d-cuts (work in progress):



Thursday, April 8, 2010

Using angularities to distinguish quark and gluon jets

τ_a distribution for a = -3.0 vs. a = 0.8, for gluon jets τ_a distribution for a = -3.0 vs. a = 0.8, for quark jets 10 10 350 600 10⁻² 10⁻² 300 500 250 10⁻³ 10⁻³ 400 200 300 10-4 10⁻⁴ 150 200 100 10⁻⁵ 10 100 50 10^{-t} 10⁻⁶ 10^{-3} 10⁻² 10⁻¹ **10⁻⁴** 10⁻³ 10⁻¹ **10**⁻⁴ 10⁻²

 our starting focus: likelihood fnc. from analytical, singly-differential distributions from SCET



SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

PRELIMINARY

Jet Algorithms

- N jets ⇒ need jet algorithms in factorization (or global "N-jet shapes")
- Examples:

```
<sup>
§</sup>k<sub>T</sub>
<sup>
§</sup>Cambridge-Aachen (CA)
<sup>§</sup>anti-k<sub>T</sub>
```

- SISCone
- Snowmass
- Sterman-Weinberg (SW)
- [©]JADE
- Ş
- not a zoology of all algorithms (see talk by Saba for more...)
- its up to the algorithm to act at higher orders as it should



Andrew Hornig

Jet Algorithms

- N jets ⇒ need jet algorithms in factorization (or global "N-jet shapes")
- Examples:

₽ĸ

Cambridge-Aachen (CA)

^ÿanti-k⊤

SISCone

Snowmass

Sterman-Weinberg (SW)

[₽]JADE

<u></u>

- not a zoology of all algorithms (see talk by Saba for more...)
- its up to the algorithm to act at higher orders as it should



Andrew Hornig

Our Focus:

"k⊤-type"

"cone-type"

k⊤-type Algorithms

- sequential recombination:
 - for all "particles", make list of d_i for each particle and d_{ij} for each pair of particles

$$d_{ij} = \min\{d_i, d_j\} \frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}$$

- d_i energy metric (E^{α} or p_T^{α} for e^+e^- or pp, $\alpha = \pm 1$, 0 for k_T , anti- k_T , or CA)
- ΔR_{ij} angular metric (θ_{ij} or $\sqrt{\Delta \phi_{ij}^2 + \Delta \eta_{ij}^2}$)
 - R number (typically, 0.7 or 1)
- if d_{ij} is smallest, merge i & j, call result a "particle"; if d_i is smallest, remove from list and call i a jet



Andrew Hornig

k⊤-type Algorithms

• for 2 particle (coll & soft or coll & coll), metric cancels:

• to merge, need $d_{ij} < d_i$ and d_j $\Rightarrow d_{ij} = \min\{d_i, d_j\} \frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R} < \min\{d_i, d_j\}$ $\Rightarrow \Delta R_{ij} < R$

note: we focus on this "inclusive" type of recombination (also are "exclusive": d_{ij} is compared to some fixed number - see Saba's talk)

 metric matters for order in multi-particle state: anti-k_T groups hardest first, k_T groups softest



Cone-type algorithms

- modern example: SISCone
- find "stable" cones: parent direction = center of cone



 \Rightarrow need only to impose individual restrictions $\Delta R_{i,n} < R$

 nicer? so far, but split/merge issue for overlapping stable jets



<u>Goal</u>: Factorize & Resum N-jet distribution (M "measured" and N-M "unmeasured")

- "unmeasured jet": jet whose direction and energy (label momenta) are measured, but otherwise unprobed
- "measured jet": (singly) differential in angularity of jet (+ labels)
- reasons for having both:
 - unmeasured jets related to total cross-section (see Saba's talk)
 - unmeasured jets mimic beams w/ no measurement
 - study what's needed in general for consistency of factorization



Overview of Factorization



Thursday, April 8, 2010

Overview of Factorization

• **now**: also need that only E in jet contributes:

jet algorithm → jets and out-of-jets/soft

 $\mathcal{J}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) = \{\mathcal{J}_{n_1}(\mathcal{E}), \dots, \mathcal{J}_{n_N}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{J}_s(\mathcal{E})\} \simeq \{\mathcal{J}_{n_1}(\mathcal{E}_{n_1}), \dots, \mathcal{J}_{n_N}(\mathcal{E}_{n_N}), \mathcal{J}_s(\mathcal{E}_s)\}$

tells which pts in phase-space belong to jet i:

$$\hat{\theta}_{n_i} = \theta(\mathcal{J}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n_i}))$$



 $\hat{\tau}_{n_i} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{n_i} \hat{\tau}_{n_i}$ no longer "inclusive jet fncs"



Overview of Factorization

- additional multijet assumptions (⇔ power corrections):
 - 1. jet algorithms respect factorization (soft doesn't know about collinear splittings)
 - 2. jets are well-collimated and well-separated: not (N-1)-jet
 - 3. energy outside jets is cut off by Λ : not (N+1)-jet
- \Rightarrow not just a single, global parameter $au_{
 m event} \ll 1$
- \Rightarrow many scales $\tau_{jet}^1, \tau_{jet}^2, \dots, \Lambda \ll 1$ and $R \ll n_i \cdot n_j$ (more later....)



Power Corrections from Algorithm

- need soft fnc. depend only on "n" of jets (not coll. splitting details)
- k_T-type algorithms: all orders of soft emission for 2 collinear splittings (similar story at all orders in collinear splittings):



- cone-type better (soft only need to know about "n"), but again split merge issue for borderline cases....
 - ⇒ take R ~ 1 for observables that are sensitive to soft momenta (also, calorimeter cell itself has R ~ .1 @ LHC)



Andrew Hornig

Power Corrections from Jet Separation

• we will find that consistency (μ -independence) to $\mathcal{O}(1/t^2)$ where

$$t = \frac{\tan \frac{\psi}{2}}{\tan \frac{R}{2}} \qquad \qquad \psi \text{ angle between jets} \\ R \text{ angular size of jet}$$

- \bullet suggests that this is the meaning of "well-separated" (but, no $\mathcal{L})$
- note: $t \rightarrow \infty$ for back-to-back jets
- $1/t^2$ can be small with R ~ 1:

• e.g., for 3 jet, mercedes-benz events with R = .7, $1/t^2 = .044$

• @ LHC, this is improved for non-central jets ($R \rightarrow R/\cosh \eta$)



Power Corrections from Jet Separation

- consistency for arbitrary t if all jets are measured (unmeasured jets need large t since there is no other handle like τ)
- however, finite parts of the form

$$f(t)\log(\Lambda/Q)$$
 $f(t) \sim 1/t^2$

 \bullet again, suggestive that the "true" expansion is in $1/t^2$



New Calculations

• graphs with jet algorithm in N-jet calc:





Jet Function (& Zero-Bin)

- \bullet out-of-jet contributions: suppressed by Λ/Q
- algorithm introduces new scales ⇒ nonzero zero-bin!

(µ-independence/consistency of anom. dim. requires this)

- should not take scaling limits of theta functions; can take any limit on full (naive - zero-bin) limit of our results (for R >> τ to get incl. jet function)
- see Teppo's talk for more discussion/details



Soft Function





$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} H(Q;\mu) = \gamma_H(\mu) H(Q;\mu) \qquad \qquad \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} F(\tau_a;\mu) = \int d\tau'_a \gamma_F(\tau_a - \tau'_a;\mu) F(\tau'_a;\mu)$$

hard	$\gamma_H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\omega}_H^2} \mathbf{T}_i^2 + \gamma_i \right) - \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
unmeasured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$
universal soft ("swiss cheese")	$\gamma_S^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \tan^2 \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
measured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i) = \mathbf{T}_i^2 \left[\Gamma \frac{2-a}{1-a} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2} + \gamma_i \right] \delta(\tau_a^i) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i} \right]_+$
measured soft	$\gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left\{ -\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_i^2}\right) \delta(\tau_a^i) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i}\right]_+ \right\}$
	$\bar{\omega}_H = \prod_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\mathbf{T}_i^2/\mathbf{T}^2}, \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, \gamma_q = \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi}, \gamma_g = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{6}$



Andrew Hornig

SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

Requirement for consistency:

$0 = \left(\gamma_H(\mu) + \gamma_S^{\text{unm}}\right)$	$^{\mathrm{eas}}(\mu) + \sum_{i \notin \mathrm{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) \Big) \delta(\tau_a^i) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{meas}} \Big(\gamma_{J_i}(\tau_a^i;\mu)) + \gamma_S^{\mathrm{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) \Big) \Big)$
hard	$\gamma_H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\omega}_H^2} \mathbf{T}_i^2 + \gamma_i \right) - \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
unmeasured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$
universal soft ("swiss cheese")	$\gamma_S^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \tan^2 \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
measured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i) = \mathbf{T}_i^2 \left[\Gamma \frac{2-a}{1-a} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2} + \gamma_i \right] \delta(\tau_a^i) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i} \right]_+$
measured soft	$\gamma_{S}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_{a}^{i};\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left\{ -\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{1-a} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2} \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}} \right) \delta(\tau_{a}^{i}) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}} \right]_{+} \right\}$
Androw Hornig	$\bar{\omega}_H = \prod_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\mathbf{T}_i^2/\mathbf{T}^2}, \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, \gamma_q = \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi}, \gamma_g = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{6}$

Requirement for consistency:

$0 = \left(\gamma_H(\mu) + \gamma_S^{\text{unm}}\right)$	$e^{\text{eas}}(\mu) + \sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) \Big) \delta(\tau_a^i) + \sum_{i \in \text{meas}} \left(\gamma_{J_i}(\tau_a^i;\mu)) + \gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) \right)$
hard	$\gamma_H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\omega}_H^2} \mathbf{T}_i^2 + \gamma_i \right) - \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
unmeasured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$
universal soft ("swiss cheese")	$\gamma_S^{\text{unmeas}} = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \tan^2 \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
measured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i) = \mathbf{T}_i^2 \left[\Gamma \frac{2-a}{1-a} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2} + \gamma_i \right] \delta(\tau_a^i) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i} \right] + \mathbf{T}_i^2 \mathbf{T}_i^$
measured soft	$\gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left\{ -\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_i^2}\right) \delta(\tau_a^i) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i}\right]_+ \right\}$
	$\bar{\omega}_H = \prod_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\mathbf{T}_i^2/\mathbf{T}^2}, \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, \gamma_q = \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi}, \gamma_g = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{6}$

Requirement for consistency:

$0 = \left(\gamma_H(\mu) + \gamma_S^{\text{unm}}\right)$	$\sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) + \sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) \delta(\tau_a^i) + \sum_{i \in \text{meas}} \left(\gamma_{J_i}(\tau_a^i;\mu)) + \gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) \right)$
hard	$\gamma_H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\omega}_H^2} \mathbf{T}_i^2 + \gamma_i \right) - \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
unmeasured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{unmeas}} = \boxed{\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}} + \gamma_i$
universal soft ("swiss cheese")	$\gamma_S^{\text{unmeas}} = \underbrace{\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}_{i \neq j} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
measured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i) = \mathbf{T}_i^2 \left[\Gamma \frac{2-a}{1-a} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2} + \gamma_i \right] \delta(\tau_a^i) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i} \right]_+$
measured soft	$\gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left\{ -\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_i^2}\right) \delta(\tau_a^i) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i}\right]_+ \right\}$
	$\bar{\omega}_H = \prod_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\mathbf{T}_i^2/\mathbf{T}^2}, \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, \gamma_q = \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi}, \gamma_g = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{6}$
Andrew Hornig	SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8

Requirement for consistency:

$0 = \left(\gamma_H(\mu) + \gamma_S^{\text{unm}}\right)$	$\sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) + \sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_i}(\mu) \delta(\tau_a^i) + \sum_{i \in \text{meas}} \left(\gamma_{J_i}(\tau_a^i;\mu)) + \gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) \right)$
hard	$\gamma_H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\bar{\omega}_H^2} \mathbf{T}_i^2 + \gamma_i \right) - \left(\Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2} \right)$
unmeasured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{unmeas}} = \boxed{\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}} + \gamma_i$
universal soft ("swiss cheese")	$\gamma_{S}^{\text{unmeas}} = \frac{\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}}{1} + \frac{\Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}}{1}$
measured jet	$\gamma_{J_i}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i) = \mathbf{T}_i^2 \left[\Gamma \frac{2-a}{1-a} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2} + \gamma_i \right] \delta(\tau_a^i) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i} \right]_+$
measured soft	$\gamma_S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i;\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left\{ -\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_i^2}\right) \delta(\tau_a^i) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \frac{1}{1-a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_a^i)}{\tau_a^i}\right]_+ \right\}$
	$\bar{\omega}_H = \prod_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\mathbf{T}_i^2/\mathbf{T}^2} \qquad \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} , \gamma_q = \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi} , \gamma_g = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{6}$
Andrew Hornig	i=1 n 2n n 0 SCET 2010 Workshop (April)

Requirement for consistency:

$$0 = \left(\gamma_{H}(\mu) + \gamma_{S}^{\text{unmeas}}(\mu) + \sum_{i \notin \text{meas}} \gamma_{J_{i}}(\mu)\right) \delta(\tau_{a}^{i}) + \sum_{i \in \text{meas}} \left(\gamma_{J_{i}}(\tau_{a}^{i};\mu)\right) + \gamma_{S}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_{a}^{i};\mu)\right)$$
hard
$$\gamma_{H} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Gamma \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{H}^{2}} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} + \gamma_{i}\right) - \left[\Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}\right]$$
unmeasured jet
$$\gamma_{J_{i}}^{\text{unmeas}} = \left[\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}} \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}\right] + \frac{\gamma_{i}}{\gamma_{i}}$$
universal soft
("swiss cheese")
$$\gamma_{S}^{\text{unmeas}} = \left[\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}\right] + \left[\Gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}\right]$$
measured jet
$$\gamma_{J_{i}}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_{a}^{i}) = \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \left[\Gamma \frac{2 - a}{1 - a} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}} + \gamma_{i}\right] \delta(\tau_{a}^{i}) - 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{1 - a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}}\right]$$
measured soft
$$\gamma_{S}^{\text{meas}}(\tau_{a}^{i};\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left\{-\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{1 - a} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2} \tan^{2(1 - a)} \frac{R}{\omega_{i}^{2}}\right) \delta(\tau_{a}^{i}) + 2\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{1 - a} \left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}}\right]\right\}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{H} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}^{\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}/\mathbf{T}^{2}} \qquad \Gamma = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}, \quad \gamma_{q} = \frac{3\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}, \quad \gamma_{g} = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \frac{11C_{A} - 2N_{F}}{6}$$
SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

Non-Global Logs

- incomplete cancellation due to radiation in restricted region:
- classic example R/L jet mass:



another classic example: out-of-jet radiation w/ cutoff ("η-gaps")...

- however, can write $\Sigma_{2ng}(Q, V, E_{out}) = \Sigma(Q, V) \cdot \Sigma_{out}(VQ, E_{out})$ Yu, Dokshitzer, Marchesini
 - ightarrow no non-global logs for $\omega_i au_i \sim \Lambda$

Andrew Hornig

SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

 $E_{\text{out}} \leftrightarrow \Lambda$ $V \leftrightarrow \tau_{\text{jet}}$

Dasgupta, Salam



rrrr

Refactorization

- the limit $\omega_1 \tau_1 \sim \omega_2 \tau_2 \sim \cdots \sim \Lambda$ is very restrictive
- consider other extreme $\omega_1 \tau_1 \ll \omega_2 \tau_2 \ll \cdots \ll \Lambda \ll \cdots \ll \omega_M \tau_M$
- 1st write $S(\tau_a^1, \tau_a^2, \dots, \tau_a^M; \mu) = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_S^{\dagger} \Theta(\Lambda \hat{\Lambda}) \prod_{i=1}^{M} \delta(\tau_a^i \hat{\tau}_a^i) \mathcal{O}_S | 0 \rangle$ where $\mathcal{O}_S = Y_1 \dots Y_M Y_{M+1} \dots Y_N$
- below μ_M , set $\mu_M \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$ matching coeff. is $S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^M, \mu)$
- likewise, below Λ write $\theta(\Lambda \hat{\Lambda}) = \theta(\Lambda) + \cdots$

• this gives $S(\tau_a^1, \dots, \tau_a^M; \mu) = S^{\text{unmeas}}(\mu) \prod_{i=1}^m S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i; \mu) \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_S^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_S | 0 \rangle$ $S^{\text{unmeas}}(\mu) = U_S^{\text{unmeas}}(\mu, \mu_{\Lambda}) S^{\text{unmeas}}(\mu_{\Lambda})$ $S^{\text{meas}}(\tau_a^i, \mu) = \int d\tau' U_S^i(\tau_a^i - \tau'; \mu, \mu_S^i) S^{\text{meas}}(\tau', \mu_S^i)$

use this result to interpolate between extremes



Andrew Hornig

SCET 2010 Workshop (April 8)

 μ_S^1 -

 μ_S^2

 μ_S^{Λ}

 μ^M_{ς}

Plots of Results





More Jets

- our calculations are valid when there are more than 3 jets (e.g., did not assume jets were in a plane)
- written in terms of color-correlation operators $\mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j$
- lead to mixing for n > 3 jets (n > 1 @ LHC)
- however, mixing matrices computed for all n=5 (e.g., $2 \rightarrow 3$)
- e.g., # of indep. operators for gg \rightarrow ggg is 44 (giving a 44x44 matrix) Sjodahl, ...



Conclusions

 consistency and no large logs for M measured, N-M unmeasured (with power corrections as 1/t²) as long as:

I) in region $\omega_1 \tau_1 \sim \omega_2 \tau_2 \sim \cdots \sim \Lambda$

II) in region $\omega_1 \tau_1 \ll \omega_2 \tau_2 \ll \cdots \ll \Lambda \ll \cdots \ll \omega_M \tau_M$

interpolate between

• universal "swiss cheese" soft function (fill w/ anything)



raises many interesting questions & still much to do....



Andrew Hornig



Outlook

- application to likelihood fnc. of q vs. g
 - hadronization uncertainty (hurts pure q)
 - large angle emission uncertainty (hurts pure g)
- calculation extensions:
 - doubly-differential $\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau_a d\tau_b}$
 - 2-loop (algorithms different?, anom. dim. dependence on R?)
 - pp (use boost-inv measure; can lift some results: cf. Nick's talk)
- open questions: non-global logs in SCET, refactorization, ...



Andrew Hornig

Backup

Anomalous Dimensions

gluon inside jet: $\Theta\left(\tan^2\frac{R}{2} - \frac{q^+}{q^-}\right)$ gluon outside $\Theta\left(\frac{q^+}{q^-} - \tan^2\frac{R}{2}\right)\Theta(\Lambda - q^0)$ jets:		
	with no assigned scalings	$R \sim \lambda^0$
measured jet (naive)	$\left(\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_{i}\right) \delta(\tau_{a})$	$\left(\frac{\Gamma(2-a)}{1-a}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}\ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}}+\gamma_{i}\right)\delta(\tau_{a})-\frac{2\Gamma\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}}{1-a}\left[\frac{\theta(\tau_{a})}{\tau_{a}}\right]_{+}$
measured jet (0-bin)	$-\frac{\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}}{1-a} \left\{ \ln \frac{\mu^{2} \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}} \delta(\tau_{a}) + 2 \left[\frac{\theta(\tau_{a})}{\tau_{a}} \right]_{+} \right\}$	0 (scaleless)
unmeasured jet	$\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$	0 (scaleless)
soft in measured jet	$-\frac{\Gamma}{1-a}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}\tan^{2(1-a)}\frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}}\right)\delta(\tau_{a}^{i})-2\left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}}\right]_{+}\right\}$	$-\frac{\Gamma}{1-a}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}\tan^{2(1-a)}\frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}}\right)\delta(\tau_{a}^{i})-2\left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}}\right]_{+}\right\}$
soft "swiss cheese"	$\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}$	$\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}$
can take $\Lambda \sim \omega \lambda^2$ or assign no scaling unmeasured jet R-dependence left uncancelled		

Anomalous Dimensions

gluon inside jet: $\Theta\left(\tan^2\frac{R}{2} - \frac{q^+}{q^-}\right)$ gluon outside $\Theta\left(\frac{q^+}{q^-} - \tan^2\frac{R}{2}\right)\Theta(\Lambda - q^0)$ jets:		
	with no assigned scalings	$R\sim\lambda^1$
measured jet (naive)	$\left(\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_{i}\right) \delta(\tau_{a})$	$\left(\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_{i}\right) \delta(\tau_{a})$
measured jet (0-bin)	$-\frac{\Gamma \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}}{1-a} \left\{ \ln \frac{\mu^{2} \tan^{2(1-a)} \frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}} \delta(\tau_{a}) + 2 \left[\frac{\theta(\tau_{a})}{\tau_{a}} \right]_{+} \right\}$	0 (zero cone size)
unmeasured jet	$\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$	$\Gamma \mathbf{T}_i^2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\omega_i^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}} + \gamma_i$
soft in measured jet	$-\frac{\Gamma}{1-a}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}\tan^{2(1-a)}\frac{R}{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}}\right)\delta(\tau_{a}^{i})-2\left[\frac{\Theta(\tau_{a}^{i})}{\tau_{a}^{i}}\right]_{+}\right\}$	0 (zero cone size)
soft "swiss cheese"	$\Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{T}_{i}^{2} \ln \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2} + \Gamma \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \ln \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{2}$	$\Gamma \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j \ln \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{2}$
can take $\Lambda\sim\omega\lambda^2$ or assign no scaling $$ no soft or 0-bin contributions; R-dep. uncancelled		