



THE UNIVERSITY OF
CHICAGO

Subleading Jet Functions
in
Inclusive B Decays

Gil Paz

Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago

JHEP **0906** 083 (2009) [arXiv:0903.3377]

Outline

- Introduction
- Subleading Jet Functions in Inclusive B Decays
- Outlook and Conclusions

Introduction

Motivation

- Improve precision of Charmless Inclusive B decays
 - $\Gamma(\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$ is a probe of new physics
 - $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$ is used for precision measurement of $|V_{ub}|$
- Test of SCET
 - EFT should allow us to calculate power corrections
 - New features that arise only at subleading power
- Relevant for other processes
 - Subleading jet functions
 - = Discontinuity of time ordered products of collinear fields
 - Relevant for hard QCD processes e.g. $x \rightarrow 1$ DIS

Factorization at Leading Power

- Experimental cuts force charmless inclusive B decay spectra

to the end point region:

- $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} : P_X^2 < M_D^2$
- $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma : E_\gamma > E_{\text{cut}}$

where $P_X^2 \sim m_b \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$

- At the end point region, spectra of
 - $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$
 - $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

obey a **leading power** factorization formula

(Korchensky, Sterman '94; Bauer, Pirjol, Stewart '01)

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma : \quad d\Gamma_s^{77} &\sim H_s \cdot J \otimes S + \dots \\ \bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} : \quad d\Gamma_u &\sim H_u \cdot J \otimes S + \dots\end{aligned}$$

- S (leading) shape function, non-perturbative
- H_i and J calculable using PT in α_s

Factorization at Leading Power

- Progress in the perturbative calculation:

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma : \quad d\Gamma_s^{77} \sim H_s \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} : \quad d\Gamma_u \sim H_u \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

- 2005: H_s calculated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

(Melnikov, Mitov '05, ...)

- 2006: J calculated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

(Becher, Neubert '06)

- 2008: H_u calculated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

(Bonciani, Ferroglia '08; Asatrian, Greub, Pecjak '08;
Beneke, Huber, Li '08; Bell '08)

- Current status: leading power $H \cdot J \otimes S$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

Subleading Shape Functions

- 2004: Using SCET, study of **one** type of power corrections
subleading shape functions (subleading “twist”)

for $X_u l \bar{\nu}$ and $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \dots$$

(K.S.M. Lee, Stewart '04; Bosch, Neubert, GP '04; Beneke, Campanario, Mannel, Pecjak '04)

Supersedes earlier studies

- The subleading shape function s_i are non perturbative
known at tree level: $\sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$

Kinematical Power Corrections

- In absence of proper factorization for α_s/m_b terms
“kinematical corrections” are incorporated in phenomenological analysis
- Kinematical = (Partonic - LO corrections) \otimes LO SF
- Example: $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_\gamma} = -\frac{G_F^2 \alpha}{4\pi^4} E_\gamma^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \bar{m}_b^2 |C_{7\gamma}^{\text{eff}}|^2 W(P_+)$$

$$W^{\text{Kin.}} = \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \int d\omega \theta(\omega + n \cdot p) \left[32 \ln \frac{\omega + n \cdot p}{m_b} + 30 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

Status at the end of 2008

- Status at the end of 2008

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma : \quad d\Gamma_s^{77} \sim H_s \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \dots$$

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} : \quad d\Gamma_u \sim H_u \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \dots$$

Leading power $H \cdot J \otimes S$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

Subleading power $\sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$

Status at the end of 2008

- Status at the end of 2008

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma : \quad d\Gamma_s^{77} \sim H_s \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \dots$$

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} : \quad d\Gamma_u \sim H_u \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \dots$$

Leading power $H \cdot J \otimes S$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

Subleading power $\sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$

- Time to think about α_s/m_b corrections!

Factorization beyond leading power:
Subleading Jet Functions
in Inclusive B Decays

Preliminary Remarks: Beyond Leading Power

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

- Beyond leading power naively expect:

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $h_i \cdot J \otimes S$ | $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ | $H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ |
| Subleading | Subleading | Subleading |
| hard functions | jet functions | shape functions |

Preliminary Remarks: Beyond Leading Power

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

- Beyond leading power naively expect:

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $h_i \cdot J \otimes S$ | $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ | $H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ |
| Subleading | Subleading | Subleading |
| hard functions | jet functions | shape functions |

- Hard function **always** $\mathcal{O}(1)$ quantities (depend on m_b)
- \Rightarrow Only subleading jet and shape functions
- Confirmed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ by analysis of momentum regions

Preliminary Remarks: Beyond Leading Power

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

- Beyond leading power have:

$$H \cdot j_i \otimes S$$

Subleading

jet functions

$$H \cdot J \otimes s_i$$

Subleading

shape functions

- Hard function **always** $\mathcal{O}(1)$ quantities (depend on m_b)

\Rightarrow Only subleading jet and shape functions

- Confirmed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ by analysis of momentum regions

Preliminary Remarks: Beyond Leading Power

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \dots$$

- Beyond leading power have:

$$H \cdot j_i \otimes S$$

Subleading

jet functions

$$H \cdot J \otimes s_i$$

Subleading

shape functions

- Hard function **always** $\mathcal{O}(1)$ quantities (depend on m_b)

⇒ Only subleading jet and shape functions

- Confirmed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ by analysis of momentum regions

- Subleading shape functions

$$H \cdot J \otimes s_i \text{ with } H, J \text{ at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$$

known since 2004

- What about subleading jet functions?

Preliminary Remarks: Subleading Jet Functions

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot j_i \otimes S + \dots$$

- Subleading jet functions are perturbative, what do they look like?
- For end point region $p^2 \sim m_b \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$

Leading jet function $J(p^2) = \delta(p^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) \Rightarrow J \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$

- Subleading jet functions $j_i \sim 1$

subleading jet function $j_i(p^2) = \alpha_s \left[\text{const.} + \ln \left(\frac{p^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

- Note $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ is both α_s and Λ_{QCD}/m_b suppressed

Preliminary Remarks: α_s/m_b Corrections

$$d\Gamma \sim H \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot J \otimes s_i + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H \cdot j_i \otimes S + \dots$$

- Two types of α_s/m_b corrections
 - $H \cdot J \otimes s_i$ with H or J calculated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$
 - $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ with H at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^0)$ and j_i at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

- Experimental improvement \Rightarrow increase p^2

Example: Lower cut on $E_\gamma \Rightarrow$ increase $p^2 = m_b(m_b - 2E_\gamma)$

- $j_i(p^2)$ become less power suppressed

$$j_i(p^2) = \alpha_s \left[\text{const.} + \ln \left(\frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

- s_i remain power suppressed
- In other words:
 - s_i are hadronically suppressed
 - j_i are kinematically suppressed

Power Suppressed Currents I

- Enough of generalities! Let's calculate.

- $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ is already α_s/m_b suppressed, can take H at tree level

Recall H is a product of Wilson coefficients in matching QCD \rightarrow SCET

Enough to match at tree level, but to second order in $\sqrt{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b}$

- Can use known results e.g.

Beneke, Chapovsky, Diehl, Feldmann '02

Power Suppressed Currents I

- Enough of generalities! Let's calculate.

- $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ is already α_s/m_b suppressed, can take H at tree level

Recall H is a product of Wilson coefficients in matching **QCD** \rightarrow **SCET**

Enough to match at tree level, but to second order in $\sqrt{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b}$

- Can use known results e.g.

Beneke, Chapovsky, Diehl, Feldmann '02

- Recall matching **QCD** \rightarrow **SCET**: $\bar{s} \Gamma b \rightarrow C \bar{\chi} \Gamma \mathcal{H}$, $\chi = W^\dagger \xi^{(0)}$

- To get power suppression can add power suppressed

- Collinear fields \mathcal{A}_\perp , $i\mathcal{D}_\perp$, $n \cdot \mathcal{A}$
- Soft fields and their covariant derivatives $S^\dagger D_\mu h$
- or both

- The power suppressed currents are...

Power Suppressed Currents II

- Only collinear suppression

$$J^{(0)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma (S^\dagger h)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(1)} = -\bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(2)} = -\bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-}$$

$$- \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} \frac{(i\mathcal{D}_{\perp hc} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc})}{m_b} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} + \bar{\chi} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc}}{m_b} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-}$$

Power Suppressed Currents II

- Only collinear suppression

$$J^{(0)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(1)} = -\bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(2)} = -\bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} \\ - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} \frac{(i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc})}{m_b} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} + \bar{\chi} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc}}{m_b} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-}$$

- Soft suppression or both

$$J_{\text{not used}}^{(1)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma x_{\perp}^{\mu} \left(S^\dagger D_{\mu} h \right)_{x_-} + \bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-},$$

$$J_{\text{not used}}^{(2)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma \left[\frac{n \cdot x}{2} \left(S^\dagger \bar{n} \cdot D h \right)_{x_-} + \frac{x_{\perp}^{\mu} x_{\perp}^{\nu}}{2} \left(S^\dagger D_{\mu} D_{\nu} h \right)_{x_-} + \left(S^\dagger \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}}{2m_b} h \right)_{x_-} \right] \\ + \bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma x_{\perp}^{\mu} \left(S^\dagger D_{\mu} h \right)_{x_-} \\ - \bar{\chi} \left(\frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma + \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \right) x_{\perp}^{\mu} \left(S^\dagger D_{\mu} h \right)_{x_-}$$

What We Need To Do...

- Soft suppressed currents (and Lagrangian) were used to calculate $H \cdot J \otimes s_i$
- To calculate $H \cdot j_i \otimes S$ use the collinear suppressed currents
- “All” we need to do is to combine the various currents

$$J^{(0)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(1)} = -\bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(2)} = -\bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-}$$

$$- \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} \frac{(i\mathcal{D}_{\perp hc} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc})}{m_b} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-} + \bar{\chi} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc}}{m_b} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \left(S^\dagger h \right)_{x_-}$$

$$\text{in } W_{ij} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{2M_B} \text{Im} \langle \bar{B} | i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} T \left\{ J_i^\dagger(0) J_j(x) \right\} | \bar{B} \rangle$$

- Can keep Γ in $J = \bar{q} \Gamma b$ arbitrary to include both

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu} \text{ and } Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{\bar{7}\gamma} \text{ contribution to } \bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$$

Definition of SJF

- Recall

$$\frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} J(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

- For SJF have two candidates

$$I = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), [\bar{\mathcal{X}} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}]_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$II = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ [n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \mathcal{X}]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \} | \Omega \rangle,$$

Which is the SJF? I , II , $I + II$? (note $I \neq II^*$)

Definition of SJF

- Recall

$$\frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} J(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

- For SJF have two candidates

$$I = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), [\bar{\mathcal{X}} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}]_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$II = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ [n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \mathcal{X}]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \} | \Omega \rangle,$$

Which is the SJF? I , II , $I + II$? (note $I \neq II^*$)

- Direct calculation at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$: $I = II$, one loop accident?

Definition of SJF and PT Symmetry I

- Recall

$$\frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} J(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

- For SJF have two candidates

$$I = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), [\bar{\mathcal{X}} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}]_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$II = \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ [n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \mathcal{X}]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \} | \Omega \rangle,$$

Which is the SJF? I , II , $I + II$? (note $I \neq II^*$)

- Direct calculation at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$: $I = II$, one loop accident?
- Using the PT symmetry of the strong interaction

we can show $I = II$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} j_n(p^2) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), [\bar{\mathcal{X}} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}]_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle \\ &\stackrel{PT}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \{ [n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \mathcal{X}]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \} | \Omega \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Definition of SJF and PT Symmetry II

- Similarly using PT we can show that

$$\int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \left[\mathcal{A}_{\perp hc}^\mu \mathcal{X} \right]_k(0), \left[\bar{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc}^\nu \right]_l(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle =$$
$$\bar{n} \cdot p \frac{\not{n}}{2} \frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_{11}^S(p^2) + \bar{n} \cdot p \frac{\not{n}}{2} \gamma^5 \frac{i\epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_{11}^A(p^2)$$

and the SJFs are

$$j_{11}^S(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[i \mathcal{J}_{11}^S(p^2) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad j_{11}^A(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[i \mathcal{J}_{11}^A(p^2) \right]$$

How Many SJF?

- “All” we need to do is to combine the various currents

$$J^{(0)} = \bar{\chi} \Gamma (S^\dagger h)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(1)} = -\bar{\chi} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \Gamma (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-},$$

$$J^{(2)} = -\bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2m_b} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} \\ - \bar{\chi} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} \frac{(i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc})}{m_b} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-} + \bar{\chi} \frac{i\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp hc}}{m_b} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \Gamma \frac{\not{n}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc} (S^\dagger h)_{x_-}$$

$$\text{in } W_{ij} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{2M_B} \text{Im} \langle \bar{B} | i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} T \{ J_i^\dagger(0) J_j(x) \} | \bar{B} \rangle$$

- A priori many possible combinations, but
 - If inverse derivative acts on **all** coll. fields no new SJF
 - 3-body T.O.P \Rightarrow 1 SJF
 - 4-body T.O.P \Rightarrow 2 SJF
- $T \{ J^{(1)} J^{(1)} \} \Rightarrow$ 2 SJF: j_{11}^S, j_{11}^A
- $T \{ J^{(0)} J^{(2)} \} \Rightarrow$ 6 SJF: $j_n, j_{n'}, j_K, j_G, j_S, j_A$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} j_{n'}(p^2) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \left[\bar{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \right]_l(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Disc } i \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \left[n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc} \frac{1}{-i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \mathcal{X} \right]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

j_G and j_K

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\not{n}}{2} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_K(p^2) &= \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \left[\bar{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} W^\dagger (iD_{\perp hc})^2 W \right]_l(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \left[W^\dagger (i\overleftarrow{D}_{\perp hc})^2 W \frac{1}{-i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \mathcal{X} \right]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{i\epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \frac{\not{n}}{2} \gamma_5 \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_G(p^2) &= \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \left[\bar{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} W^\dagger G^{\mu\nu} W \right]_l(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle \\
 &= \int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \left[W^\dagger G^{\mu\nu} W \frac{1}{-i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \mathcal{X} \right]_l(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_k(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$j_K(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} [i \mathcal{J}_K(p^2)] \quad \text{and} \quad j_G(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} [i \mathcal{J}_G(p^2)].$$

j_A and j_S

$$\int d^4x e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \chi_k(0), \left[\bar{\chi} i \overleftarrow{D}_{\perp hc}^{\mu} \frac{1}{i \bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc}^{\nu} \right]_l(x) \right\} | \Omega \rangle =$$
$$\frac{\not{n}}{2} \frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_S(p^2) + \frac{\not{n}}{2} \gamma_5 \frac{i \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{J}_A(p^2)$$

$$j_S(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} [i \mathcal{J}_S(p^2)] \quad \text{and} \quad j_A(p^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} [i \mathcal{J}_A(p^2)]$$

Final Results

- After renormalization we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{ij}^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega & \left[j_n(p_\omega^2, \mu) \frac{2\tilde{T}_2}{m_b} + j_{n'}(p_\omega^2, \mu) \frac{\tilde{T}_1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} + j_K(p_\omega^2, \mu) \frac{\tilde{T}_1}{m_b} + j_G(p_\omega^2, \mu) \frac{\tilde{T}_4}{m_b} \right. \\
 & + j_{11}^S(p_\omega^2, \mu) \left(\frac{\bar{n} \cdot p}{m_b^2} \tilde{T}_2 + \frac{\tilde{T}_3}{\bar{n} \cdot p} - \frac{\tilde{T}_5}{m_b} - \frac{\tilde{T}_6}{m_b} \right) \\
 & + j_{11}^A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \left(\frac{\bar{n} \cdot p}{m_b^2} \tilde{T}_7 + \frac{\tilde{T}_3}{\bar{n} \cdot p} - \frac{\tilde{T}_5}{m_b} - \frac{\tilde{T}_6}{m_b} \right) \\
 & \left. + j_S(p_\omega^2, \mu) \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_5}{m_b} - \frac{\tilde{T}_6}{m_b} \right) + j_A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \left(-\frac{\tilde{T}_5}{m_b} + \frac{\tilde{T}_6}{m_b} \right) \right] S(\omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{T}_i are traces

- The one loop expressions for the subleading jet functions

$j_{11}^S, j_{11}^A, j_n, j_{n'}, j_K, j_G, j_S, j_A$ are

One Loop Expressions

$$\begin{aligned}j_{11}^S(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} (-1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_{11}^A(p^2, \mu) &= 0 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_n(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(5 + 4 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_{n'}(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(-6 + 6 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_K(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{5}{2} - 2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_G(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} (-1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_S(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{3}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \\j_A(p^2, \mu) &= \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2).\end{aligned}$$

What is the SJF Contribution to the Rate?

- $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_\gamma} = -\frac{G_F^2 \alpha}{4\pi^4} E_\gamma^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \bar{m}_b^2 |C_{7\gamma}^{\text{eff}}|^2 W(P_+)$$

$$W^{\text{SJF}} = \int d\omega \left[\frac{1}{m_b} \left(4j_K(p_\omega^2, \mu) + 4j_n(p_\omega^2, \mu) + 2j_G(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) + \frac{4}{\bar{n} \cdot p} j_{n'}(p_\omega^2, \mu) + \frac{2\bar{n} \cdot p}{m_b^2} \left(j_{11}^S(p_\omega^2, \mu) - j_{11}^A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) \right] S(\omega)$$

- At the lowest order in α_s

$$W^{\text{SJF}} = \int d\omega \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \theta(p_\omega^2) \left[32 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} - 18 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

where

$$p_\omega^2 = \bar{n} \cdot p (n \cdot p + \omega)$$

What is the SJF Contribution to the Rate?

- $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$

$$\frac{d^3\Gamma}{dP_+ dP_- dP_l} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{16\pi^3} (M_B - P_+) \left[(P_- - P_l)(M_B - P_- + P_l - P_+) \tilde{W}_1 \right. \\ \left. + (M_B - P_-)(P_- - P_+) \frac{\tilde{W}_2}{2} + (P_- - P_l)(P_l - P_+) \tilde{W}_{\text{comb}} \right]$$

$$\tilde{W}_1^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \left[\frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left(2j_{n'}(p_\omega^2, \mu) + j_{11}^S(p_\omega^2, \mu) + j_{11}^A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{m_b} \left(2j_K(p_\omega^2, \mu) + j_G(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) \right] S(\omega)$$

$$\tilde{W}_2^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \frac{2}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left[j_{11}^S(p_\omega^2, \mu) + j_{11}^A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right] S(\omega)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{comb}}^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \left[\left(\frac{4}{m_b} - \frac{2}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \right) \left(j_{11}^S(p_\omega^2, \mu) + j_{11}^A(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left(j_n(p_\omega^2, \mu) - j_G(p_\omega^2, \mu) \right) \right] S(\omega)$$

What is the SJF Contribution to the Rate?

- At the lowest order in α_s

$$\tilde{W}_1^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \theta(p_\omega^2) \left[\frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left(12 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} - 11 \right) - \frac{1}{m_b} \left(4 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} + 6 \right) \right] S(\omega)$$

$$\tilde{W}_2^{\text{SJF}} = \int d\omega \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \theta(p_\omega^2) \frac{2}{\bar{n} \cdot p} S(\omega)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{comb}}^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \theta(p_\omega^2) \left[\frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left(8 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} + 14 \right) - \frac{4}{m_b} \right] S(\omega)$$

- If using “BLNP” approach where

$$y = \frac{P_- - P_+}{M_B - P_+}$$

Some of the subleading terms are absorbed into the leading order formula.

- Only \tilde{W}_1 changes

$$\tilde{W}_{1, \text{BLNP}}^{\text{SJF}} = - \int d\omega \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \theta(p_\omega^2) \left[\frac{1}{\bar{n} \cdot p} \left(12 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} - 15 \right) - \frac{1}{m_b} \left(4 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p_\omega^2} + 2 \right) \right] S(\omega)$$

How is the new analysis related to the old one?

- Demonstrate using $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

Similar results for $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$

- Two terms at subleading power:

– “Hadronic”

$$W^{\text{SSF}} = \frac{2}{m_b} \int d\omega \delta(n \cdot p + \omega) \left[\omega S(\omega) - s(\omega) + t(\omega) - u(\omega) + v(\omega) \right. \\ \left. + \pi\alpha_s f_u(\omega) + \pi\alpha_s f_v(\omega) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$$

– “Kinematic”

$$W^{\text{Kin.}} = \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \int d\omega \theta(\omega + n \cdot p) \left[32 \ln \frac{\omega + n \cdot p}{m_b} + 30 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

- Subleading shape function contribution

$$W^{\text{SJF}} = \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \int d\omega \theta(\omega + n \cdot p) \left[32 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_b(\omega + n \cdot p)} - 18 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

- SJF contribution looks similar to “kinematic”

but argument of log and constant are different...

How is the puzzle resolved?

- “Kinematic” correction is derived from incorrect matching

$$W^{\text{Kin.}} = \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \int d\omega \theta(\omega + n \cdot p) \left[32 \ln \frac{\omega + n \cdot p}{m_b} + 30 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

- The correct splitting of log and constant (Conjecture by Neubert '07)

$$32 \ln \frac{\omega + n \cdot p}{m_b} + 30 = 32 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_b(\omega + n \cdot p)} - 18 + 32 \ln \frac{(\omega + n \cdot p)^2}{\mu^2} + 48$$

↓

↓

Subleading Jet Functions

Subleading Shape Functions

- The second part is **already** included in the SSF contribution
- Confirmed twice
 - Analysis of regions
 - 1-loop parton expressions for SSF + SJF analysis
- Including both “Kinematic” and “Hadronic” leads to **double counting**

What is the Bottom Line?

- The correct subleading power term is the sum of

$$W^{\text{SSF}} = \frac{2}{m_b} \int d\omega \delta(n \cdot p + \omega) \left[\omega S(\omega, \mu) - s(\omega, \mu) + t(\omega, \mu) - u(\omega, \mu) + v(\omega, \mu) \right. \\ \left. + \pi\alpha_s f_u(\omega, \mu) + \pi\alpha_s f_v(\omega, \mu) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$$

and

$$W^{\text{SJF}} = \frac{1}{m_b} \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \int d\omega \theta(\omega + n \cdot p) \left[32 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_b(\omega + n \cdot p)} - 18 \right] S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

and **not** as is currently done

- Need to modify treatment and modeling of SSF
to account for their non zero one loop contribution
Only ωS and u need to be modified
- New analysis not implemented yet
- Although α_s and $1/m_b$ suppressed, effect can be non-negligible
e.g. constant change from **+30** to **-18**

Note on Renormalization

- Some SJF need renormalization at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

$$j_n^{\text{bare}}(p^2, \mu) = \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \cdot 4 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{5}{4} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

$$j_{n'}^{\text{bare}}(p^2, \mu) = \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \cdot 6 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

$$j_K^{\text{bare}}(p^2, \mu) = \theta(p^2) \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{4\pi} \cdot (-2) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{5}{4} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{p^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2).$$

- At one loop can define a new SJF to renormalize “against”

$$j_0(p^2) = \theta(p^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) \stackrel{?}{=} \int^{p^2} dp'^2 J(p'^2, \mu)$$

- More generally, expect basis to contain multi local SJF

Example: instead of bi-local

$$\langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), [\bar{\mathcal{X}}_n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}]_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

need multi local

$$\langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), n \cdot \mathcal{A}_{hc}^{mn}(y), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

finite in general but divergent for $y \rightarrow x$ or $y \rightarrow 0$

Note on Renormalization

- Ren. of leading order bi-local operators is well known

$$\phi(\xi) \quad \text{F.T. of} \quad \langle P | \bar{\psi}(0) \not{n} \psi(tn) | P \rangle$$

$$S(\omega) \quad \text{F.T. of} \quad \langle \bar{B} | h(0) h(tn) | \bar{B} \rangle$$

$$J(p^2) \quad \text{F.T. of} \quad \langle \Omega | T \{ \mathcal{X}_k(0), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_l(x) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

- Ren. of (2nd order in SCET) multi-local operators
 - Subleading jet functions (GP '09)
 - Subleading shape functions (Trott, Williamson '05)
 - Twist 4 operators

still an open problem

- But, Ren. of (1st order in SCET) multi-local operators is known
 - Twist 3 chiral odd (Belitsky, Müller '97)
 - Heavy-to-light form factors (Hill, Becher, Lee, Neubert '04)

Outlook and Conclusions

$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ beyond $Q_{7\gamma} - Q_{7\gamma}$

- So far considered only $Q_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

But Q_1 and Q_{8g} contribution also important

$$Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b$$

$$Q_{8g} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b$$

$$Q_1^q = (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} (\bar{s}q)_{V-A} \quad (q = u, c)$$

- Including Q_1 and Q_{8g} changes factorization **dramatically**
beyond LO in $1/m_b$: See Michael Benzke's talk
- In particular introduces more SJF
 - $Q_1 - Q_1$: known SJF

$$j_{11}^s \sim \text{Disc F.T. } \langle \Omega | T \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc}^\mu \mathcal{X}, \bar{\chi} \mathcal{A}_\mu^\perp{}^{hc} \right\} | \Omega \rangle$$

- $Q_8 - Q_8$: New SJF

$$j_{88} \sim \text{Disc F.T. } \langle \Omega | T \left\{ i\bar{n} \cdot \partial \mathcal{A}_{\perp hc}^\mu \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial} \mathcal{X}, \bar{\chi} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \overleftarrow{\partial}} i\bar{n} \cdot \partial \mathcal{A}_\mu^\perp{}^{hc} \right\} | \Omega \rangle$$

SJF Beyond Flavor Physics

- Subleading jet functions are TOP of *collinear* fields
- Subleading jet functions can arise outside of flavor physics
 1. For example, $x \rightarrow 1$ region of deep inelastic scattering
More specifically, j_{11}^S appear in factorization formula for longitudinal structure function F_L

SJF Beyond Flavor Physics

- Subleading jet functions are TOP of **collinear** fields
- Subleading jet functions can arise outside of flavor physics
 1. For example, $x \rightarrow 1$ region of deep inelastic scattering
More specifically, j_{11}^S appear in factorization formula for longitudinal structure function F_L
 2. For example, α_s extraction from thrust?
Vicent Mateu talk at SCET 2009
Kinematical = (Partonic - LO corrections) \otimes LO SF
but **Kinematical** = **SJF** + **SSF**
Need **SJF** \otimes LO SF, not **Kinematical**
Is this a problem?

Conclusions

- Factorization in the end-point region for

$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u l \bar{\nu}$ and $Q_{7\gamma} - \bar{Q}_{7\gamma}$ contribution to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

$$d\Gamma \sim \overbrace{H \cdot J \otimes S + \frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H_0 \cdot J_0 \otimes s_i}^{\text{known}} + \overbrace{\frac{1}{m_b} \sum_i H_0 \cdot j_i \otimes S}^{\text{new}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m_b^2}\right)$$

- Future directions:
 - Improve Precision on $|V_{ub}|$
 - Application to non-flavor physics