**14TH IMPRS Workshop** Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Munich - November 2, 2009

B<sub>s</sub> Physics in the Standard Model and in a Scenario with A Single Universal Extra Dimension

#### MARIA VALENTINA CARLUCCI

Dipartimento di Fisica - Università degli Studi di Bari INFN - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

# OUTLINE

- Introduction: motivations for *B<sub>s</sub>* phenomenology and extra dimensions
- The ACD Model with a single Universal Extra Dimension
- $B_s \overline{B}_s$  mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model
- Rare  $B_s$  decays to  $\eta^{(\prime)}$  final states in the SM and in the ACD Model
- Conclusions

1/15

• Completing CKM phenomenology: access to the *bs* Unitarity Triangle



#### INTRODUCTION B<sub>S</sub> PHENOMENOLOGY

• Completing CKM phenomenology: access to the *bs* Unitarity Triangle



# • FCNC $b \leftrightarrow s \longrightarrow$ possibility to test New Physics

#### INTRODUCTION B<sub>S</sub> PHENOMENOLOGY

• Completing CKM phenomenology: access to the *bs* Unitarity Triangle



• FCNC  $b \leftrightarrow s \longrightarrow$  possibility to test New Physics

• First evidence of New Physics?

#### UTfit, arXiv:0803.0659v1

FIRST EVIDENCE OF NEW PHYSICS IN  $b \leftrightarrow s$  TRANSITIONS (UTfit Collaboration)

#### CKMfitter, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 185 (2008)

Although there is a hint of a departure from the Standard Model related to the measurement of the phase  $\phi_s$ , consistently by the CDF and D0 experiments, we do not see evidence (larger than the  $3\sigma$  threshold) for New Physics, which has to be contrasted with Ref. [8].

#### INTRODUCTION WHY EXTRA DIMENSIONS?

- Hierarchy problem Large ED, Warped ED, ...
- Electroweak simmetry breaking without a Higgs boson Orbiforld breaking, Warped ED, Composite Higgs, ...
- Generation of mass and CKM hierarchy, new sources of CP violation Warped ED, ...
- Grand Unifications Superstrings, Supergravity, Warped ED, ...
- New Dark Matter candidates Universal ED, ...
- Black hole production at future colliders as a window on quantum gravity Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001), Phys. Rev. D65 (2002), ...

#### INTRODUCTION WHY EXTRA DIMENSIONS?

- Hierarchy problem Large ED, Warped ED, ...
- Electroweak simmetry breaking without a Higgs boson Orbiforld breaking, Warped ED, Composite Higgs, ...
- Generation of mass and CKM hierarchy, new sources of CP violation Warped ED, ...
- Grand Unifications Superstrings, Supergravity, Warped ED, ...
- New Dark Matter candidates Universal ED, ...
- Black hole production at future colliders as a window on quantum gravity Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001), Phys. Rev. D65 (2002), ...

Assumptions of the model by Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu, in its version with a single UED:

- there is a unique universal extra dimension
- it is compactified in the orbifold  $S_R^1/Z_2$



Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu,

Assumptions of the model by Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu, in its version with a single UED:

- there is a unique universal extra dimension
- it is compactified in the orbifold  $S_R^1/Z_2$

The form of fields is constrained:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi^+(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \phi^+_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^+_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) \\ \Phi^-(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^-_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \end{cases}$$

• spinor

scalar

•

$$\psi^{+}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n \ge 1}^{\infty} \left[ \psi_{R(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{L(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$
  
$$\psi^{-}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{L(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{n \ge 1} \left[ \psi_{L(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{R(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$

vector

$$\begin{cases} V^{\mu}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} V^{\mu}_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{\mu}_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) \\ V^5(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^5_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \end{cases}$$





Assumptions of the model by Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu, in its version with a single UED:

- there is a unique universal extra dimension
- it is compactified in the orbifold  $S_R^1/Z_2$

The form of fields is constrained:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi^{+}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \phi^{+}_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{+}_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) \\ \Phi^{-}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-}_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \end{cases}$$

• spinor

scalar

•

$$^{+}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n \ge 1}^{\infty} \left[ \psi_{R(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{L(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$
  
$$^{-}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{L(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{n \ge 1} \left[ \psi_{L(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{R(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$

$$V^{\mu}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} V^{\mu}_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{\mu}_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y)$$
$$V^5(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^5_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y)$$





Assumptions of the model by Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu, in its version with a single UED:

- there is a unique universal extra dimension
- it is compactified in the orbifold  $S_R^1/Z_2$

The form of fields is constrained:

• scalar

$$\Phi^{+}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \phi^{+}_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{+}_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y)$$
$$\Phi^{-}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-}_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y)$$

• spinor

$${}^{+}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n \ge 1}^{\infty} \left[ \psi_{R(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{L(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$
  
$${}^{-}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \psi_{L(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{n \ge 1} \left[ \psi_{L(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y) + \psi_{R(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y) \right]$$

• vector

$$V^{\mu}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} V^{\mu}_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{\mu}_{(n)}(x) \cos(m_n y)$$
$$V^{5}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{5}_{(n)}(x) \sin(m_n y)$$

$$m_n = \frac{n}{R}$$



Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu,

4/15

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{G} &= \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\ell} \left( i\Gamma_{A} D^{A} \right) \mathcal{L}_{\ell} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell} \left( i\Gamma_{A} D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\ell} - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left( W_{MN} W^{MN} \right) - \frac{1}{4} B_{MN} B^{MN} \\ &+ \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{q} \left( i\Gamma_{A} D^{A} \right) \mathcal{L}_{q} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{u} \left( i\Gamma_{A} D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{u} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{d} \left( i\Gamma_{A} D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{d} \\ \\ \mathscr{L}_{H} &= \left( D_{A} H \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{A} H \right) - \left[ -\mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4!} \left( H^{\dagger} H \right)^{2} \right] \\ \\ \mathscr{L}_{Y} &= -\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\ell} \tilde{Y}_{\ell} H \mathcal{R}_{\ell} - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{a} \tilde{Y}_{u} (i\sigma^{2} H^{*}) \mathcal{R}_{u} - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{a} \tilde{Y}_{d} H \mathcal{R}_{d} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$ 

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

 $\mathscr{L}_{G} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\ell} \left( i\Gamma_{A}D^{A} \right) \mathcal{L}_{\ell} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\ell} \left( i\Gamma_{A}D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\ell} - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left( W_{MN}W^{MN} \right) - \frac{1}{4} B_{MN}B^{MN} + \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{q} \left( i\Gamma_{A}D^{A} \right) \mathcal{L}_{q} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{u} \left( i\Gamma_{A}D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{u} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{d} \left( i\Gamma_{A}D^{A} \right) \mathcal{R}_{d} - \frac{1}{4} B_{MN}B^{MN} - \left[ -\mu^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4!} \left( H^{\dagger}H \right)^{2} \right]$ 

 $\mathscr{L}_Y = -\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\ell} \tilde{Y}_{\ell} H \mathcal{R}_{\ell} - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_q \tilde{Y}_u (i\sigma^2 H^*) \mathcal{R}_u - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_q \tilde{Y}_d H \mathcal{R}_d + \text{h.c.}$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}^{4D}_{\mathrm{eff}}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}^{4D}_{\mathrm{eff}}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Results:

• The low energy limit is the SM

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

dimensional reduction 2

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$
- Feynman rules

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$
- Feynman rules
- A triplet of new stable particles: good Dark Matter candidates!

 $a^{0(n)} = \frac{im_Z Z_5^{(n)} + m_n \phi^{3(n)}}{\sqrt{m_Z^2 + m_n^2}} \qquad a^{\pm(n)} = \frac{im_W W_5^{\pm(n)} + m_n \phi^{\pm(n)}}{\sqrt{m_W^2 + m_n^2}}$ 

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$
- Feynman rules
- A triplet of new stable particles: good Dark Matter candidates!

 $a^{0(n)} = \frac{im_Z Z_5^{(n)} + m_n \phi^{3(n)}}{\sqrt{m_Z^2 + m_n^2}} \qquad a^{\pm(n)} = \frac{im_W W_5^{\pm(n)} + m_n \phi^{\pm(n)}}{\sqrt{m_W^2 + m_n^2}}$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Notice:

• Only one new parameter: *R* 

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$
- Feynman rules
- A triplet of new stable particles: good Dark Matter candidates!

 $a^{0(n)} = \frac{im_Z Z_5^{(n)} + m_n \phi^{3(n)}}{\sqrt{m_Z^2 + m_n^2}} \qquad a^{\pm(n)} = \frac{im_W W_5^{\pm(n)} + m_n \phi^{\pm(n)}}{\sqrt{m_W^2 + m_n^2}}$ 

Notice:

- Only one new parameter: *R*
- KK modes revealable only through loop processes

One can introduce a Standard Model-inspired Lagrangian:

 $\mathscr{L}^{5D} = \mathscr{L}_G + \mathscr{L}_H + \mathscr{L}_Y$ 

Results:

- The low energy limit is the SM
- Conservation of the "KK parity"  $(-1)^n$
- Feynman rules
- A triplet of new stable particles: good Dark Matter candidates!

 $a^{0(n)} = \frac{im_Z Z_5^{(n)} + m_n \phi^{3(n)}}{\sqrt{m_Z^2 + m_n^2}} \qquad a^{\pm(n)} = \frac{im_W W_5^{\pm(n)} + m_n \phi^{\pm(n)}}{\sqrt{m_W^2 + m_n^2}}$ 

dimensional reduction

$$\mathscr{C}_{\text{eff}}^{4D}(x^{\mu}) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy \, \mathscr{L}^{5D}(x^{\mu}, y)$$

4/15

Notice:

- Only one new parameter: *R*
- KK modes revealable only through loop processes

FCNC  $b \leftrightarrow s$ :  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  mixing,  $B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)}$ 

Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$



Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$



Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$





Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$





$$\hat{T}_{12} = \frac{G_F^2}{12\pi^2} \left( V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right)^2 m_{B_s} m_W^2 S_0(x_t) \hat{\eta}_b f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$$
Inami-Lim function
$$\int S_0(x_t) = \frac{4x_t - 11x_t^2 + x_t^3}{4(1 - x_t)^2} - \frac{3x_t^3 \ln x_t}{2(1 - x_t)^3}$$

Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$





Described by a Schrödinger-like equation (justified by the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix} = \left(\boldsymbol{M} - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\begin{pmatrix}B_s(t)\\\bar{B}_s(t)\end{pmatrix}$$



•  $M_{11} = M_{22}$  and  $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{12}$  are just the mass and the width of the  $B_s$ .



B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model PARAMETERS IN THE ACD MODEL

Only  $M_{12}$  is modified:



#### B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model PARAMETERS IN THE ACD MODEL

Only  $M_{12}$  is modified:



# For each *n* the modified Inami-Lim function is

$$S_{n}(x_{t}, x_{n}) = \frac{1}{4(x_{t} - 1)^{3} x_{t}} \left[ 6x_{n}x_{t} - 5x_{t}^{2} - 12x_{n}x_{t}^{2} + 15x_{t}^{3} + + 10x_{n}x_{t}^{3} - 11x_{t}^{4} - 4x_{n}x_{t}^{4} + x_{t}^{5} - - 2x_{n}(x_{t} - 1)^{3}(3x_{n} + 3x_{n}x_{t} - x_{t}) \ln \frac{x_{n}}{1 + x_{n}} + + \left( -6x_{n}^{2} + 2x_{n}x_{t} + 12x_{n}^{2}x_{t} - 6x_{n}x_{t}^{2} - 2x_{t}^{3} + + 14x_{n}x_{t}^{3} - 2x_{n}^{2}x_{t}^{3} + 6x_{t}^{4} - 2x_{n}x_{t}^{4} \right) \ln \frac{x_{t} + x_{n}}{1 + x_{n}} \right]$$

Buras *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B660**, 225 (2003)

#### B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model PARAMETERS IN THE ACD MODEL

Only  $M_{12}$  is modified:



# For each *n* the modified Inami-Lim function is

$$S_n(x_t, x_n) = \frac{1}{4(x_t - 1)^3 x_t} \left[ 6x_n x_t - 5x_t^2 - 12x_n x_t^2 + 15x_t^3 + + 10x_n x_t^3 - 11x_t^4 - 4x_n x_t^4 + x_t^5 - - 2x_n (x_t - 1)^3 (3x_n + 3x_n x_t - x_t) \ln \frac{x_n}{1 + x_n} + + \left( -6x_n^2 + 2x_n x_t + 12x_n^2 x_t - 6x_n x_t^2 - 2x_t^3 + + 14x_n x_t^3 - 2x_n^2 x_t^3 + 6x_t^4 - 2x_n x_t^4 \right) \ln \frac{x_t + x_n}{1 + x_n} \right]$$

Buras *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B660**, 225 (2003)

The series converges due to the GIM mechanism:

$$\begin{split} S\left(x_{t},R\right) &= S_{0}(x_{t}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}\left(x_{t},x_{n}\right) = \\ &= \frac{4x_{t} - 11x_{t}^{2} + x_{t}^{3}}{4(1 - x_{t})^{2}} - \frac{3x_{t}^{3}\ln x_{t}}{2(1 - x_{t})^{3}} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4(x_{t} - 1)^{3}x_{t}} \left[ x_{t}^{3}\left(3x_{t} - 1\right) J_{-1,m_{t}}(R) + \\ &+ \left(-1 + 3x_{t} - 7x_{t}^{2} + x_{t}^{3}\right) J_{0,m_{t}}(R) + \\ &+ \left(-3 + 6x_{t} - x_{t}^{3}\right) J_{1,m_{t}}(R) + x_{t}\left(1 - 3x_{t}\right) J_{-1,m_{W}}(R) + \\ &+ \left(4x_{t}\right) J_{0,m_{W}}(R) + x_{t}\left(-5 + 3x_{t}\right) J_{1,m_{W}}(R) \right] \end{split}$$

B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model DEVIATIONS FROM THE SM 7/15

Effects on the observable  $\Delta m = 2 M_{12}$ :

$$\Delta m(R) = 2 \frac{G_F^2}{12\pi^2} \left( V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right)^2 m_{B_s} m_W^2 S(x_t, R) \,\hat{\eta}_b f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$$



B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model DEVIATIONS FROM THE SM

Effects on the observable  $\Delta m = 2 M_{12}$ :

$$\Delta m(R) = 2 \frac{G_F^2}{12\pi^2} \left( V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right)^2 m_{B_s} m_W^2 S(x_t, R) \,\hat{\eta}_b f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$$





B<sub>s</sub> Mixing in the SM and in the ACD Model DEVIATIONS FROM THE SM

Effects on the observable  $\Delta m = 2 M_{12}$ :

$$\Delta m(R) = 2 \frac{G_F^2}{12\pi^2} \left( V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right)^2 m_{B_s} m_W^2 S(x_t, R) \,\hat{\eta}_b f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$$



The ACD Model is a Minimal Flavor Violation scenario  $\longrightarrow$  the CKM matrix is the same as the SM.

7/15

But the elements of the CKM matrix are extracted from experimental datas:

$$\Delta m^{\text{EXP}} = 2 \frac{G_F^2}{12\pi^2} (V_{tb} V_{ts}^*)^2 m_{B_s} m_W^2 S(x_t, R) \hat{\eta}_b f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$V_{ts} \equiv V_{ts}(R) = |V_{ts}(R)| \exp\left[\beta_s(R)\right]$$



 ${\rm B_s}\,{\rm Mixing}\,{\rm in}\,{\rm the}\,{\rm SM}\,{\rm and}\,{\rm in}\,{\rm the}\,{\rm ACD}\,{\rm Model}$  Signatures in  $B_s\to\eta^{(\prime)}\,J/\psi$ 



Naive factorization

 $\langle \eta J/\psi | \left( \bar{s}_L \gamma^{\mu} b_L \right) \left( \bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} c_L \right) | B_s \rangle \simeq \langle \eta | \left( \bar{s}_L \gamma^{\mu} b_L \right) | B_s \rangle \left\langle J/\psi | \left( \bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} c_L \right) | 0 \right\rangle$ 

Amplitude not modified in the ACD Model:

$$\Gamma\left(B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} J/\psi\right) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb} V_{cs}^*|^2}{8\pi m_{B_s}^3} \left(C_1 + \frac{C_2}{N_c}\right)^2 \left|f_{J/\psi}\right|^2 \left(F_1(m_{J/\psi}^2)\right)^2 \lambda^{3/2} \left(m_{B_s}^2, m_\eta^2, m_{J\psi}^2\right)$$

Integrated asymmetry  $a_{f} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left[\Gamma\left(\bar{B}_{s}(t) \to f\right) - \Gamma\left(B_{s}(t) \to f\right)\right]}{\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left[\Gamma\left(\bar{B}_{s}(t) \to f\right) + \Gamma\left(B_{s}(t) \to f\right)\right]} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + [\Delta\Gamma]^{2}}{1 + [\Delta m(R)]^{2}} \frac{\sin \phi}{1 - \cos \phi}$ 



RARE  $B_s$  decays to  $\eta$  final states EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

$$B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \longrightarrow \text{FCNC} \ b \leftrightarrow s$$

**O**perator **P**roduct **E**xpansion:

$$H_{OPE} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu)$$

#### Wilson coefficients Loca

Local operators

$$O_{1} = (\bar{c}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})(\bar{s}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}c_{L\alpha})$$

$$O_{2} = (\bar{c}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})(\bar{s}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}c_{L\beta})$$

$$O_{3} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})\left[(\bar{u}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{L\beta}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{L\beta})\right]$$

$$O_{4} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})\left[(\bar{u}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{L\alpha}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{L\alpha})\right]$$

$$O_{5} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})\left[(\bar{u}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R\beta}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{R\beta})\right]$$

$$O_{6} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})\left[(\bar{u}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R\alpha}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{R\alpha})\right]$$

$$O_{7} = \frac{e}{16\pi^{2}}m_{b}\left(\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}b_{R\alpha}\right)F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$O_{8} = \frac{g_{s}}{16\pi^{2}}m_{b}\left[\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\lambda^{a}/2)_{\alpha\beta}b_{R\beta}\right]G_{\mu\nu}^{a}$$

$$O_{9} = \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\left(\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha}\right)\ell\gamma_{\mu}\bar{\ell}$$



RARE  $B_s$  decays to  $\eta$  final states EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

$$B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \longrightarrow \text{FCNC} \ b \leftrightarrow s$$

**O**perator **P**roduct **E**xpansion:

$$H_{OPE} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu)$$

#### Wilson coefficients Loca

Local operators

$$O_{1} = (\bar{c}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})(\bar{s}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}c_{L\alpha})$$

$$O_{2} = (\bar{c}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})(\bar{s}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}c_{L\beta})$$

$$O_{3} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})[(\bar{u}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{L\beta}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{L\beta})]$$

$$O_{4} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})[(\bar{u}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{L\alpha}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{L\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{L\alpha})]$$

$$O_{5} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})[(\bar{u}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R\beta}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{R\beta})$$

$$O_{6} = (\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\beta})[(\bar{u}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R\alpha}) + \dots + (\bar{b}_{R\beta}\gamma_{\mu}b_{R\alpha})$$

$$O_{7} = \frac{e}{16\pi^{2}}m_{b}(\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}b_{R\alpha})F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$O_{8} = \frac{g_{s}}{16\pi^{2}}m_{b}\left[\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\lambda^{a}/2)_{\alpha\beta}b_{R\beta}\right]G_{\mu\nu}^{a}$$

$$O_{9} = \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}(\bar{s}_{L\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}b_{L\alpha})\ell\gamma_{\mu}\bar{\ell}$$



#### RARE B<sub>s</sub> DECAYS TO $\eta$ FINAL STATES WILSON COEFFICIENTS IN THE ACD MODEL

10/15

The considered Wilson coefficients are modified in the ACD Model.

#### RARE $B_s$ decays to $\eta$ final states WILSON COEFFICIENTS IN THE ACD MODEL

The considered Wilson coefficients are modified in the ACD Model.

Calculations are similar to the previous ones:

$$F(x_t) \to F'(x_t, R) = F(x_t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(x_t, x_n)$$



Buras et al., Nucl. Phys. B678, 455 (2004)

#### RARE $B_s$ decays to $\eta$ final states FORM FACTORS

11/15

Used to parametrize hadronic matrix elements of currents:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta(p_{\eta}) | \, \bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}b \, | B_{s}(p_{B_{s}}) \rangle &= \left[ (p_{B_{s}} + p_{\eta})_{\mu} - \frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{q^{2}} q_{\mu} \right] F_{1}^{\eta}(q^{2}) - \left[ \frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{q^{2}} q_{\mu} \right] F_{0}^{\eta}(q^{2}) \\ \langle \eta(p_{\eta}) | \, \bar{s}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}b \, | B_{s}(p_{B_{s}}) \rangle &= \left[ (p_{B_{s}} + p_{\eta})_{\mu}q^{2} - \left(m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}\right)q_{\mu} \right] \frac{F_{T}^{\eta}(q^{2})}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

#### RARE $B_s$ decays to $\eta$ final states FORM FACTORS

Used to parametrize hadronic matrix elements of currents:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(p_{\eta})|\,\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}b\,|B_{s}(p_{B_{s}})\rangle &= \left[ \left(p_{B_{s}} + p_{\eta}\right)_{\mu} - \frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu} \right] F_{1}^{\eta}(q^{2}) - \left[ \frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{q^{2}}q_{\mu} \right] F_{0}^{\eta}(q^{2}) \\ &\langle \eta(p_{\eta})|\,\bar{s}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}b\,|B_{s}(p_{B_{s}})\rangle = \left[ \left(p_{B_{s}} + p_{\eta}\right)_{\mu}q^{2} - \left(m_{B_{s}}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}\right)q_{\mu} \right] \frac{F_{T}^{\eta}(q^{2})}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

- Set I: Three-Point Function QCD Sum Rules
- Set II: Light-Cone Sum Rules
- Set III: Soft-Collinear Effective Theory QCD Sum Rules

Results for the considered transition are not available —> we use







RARE  $B_s$  DECAYS TO  $\eta$  FINAL STATES

12/15

$$B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} e^+ e^- \text{ AND } B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \mu^+ \mu^-$$



Neglecting the leptons masses:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} \left( B_s \to \eta \ell^+ \ell^- \right) = \frac{G_F^2 \left| V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right|^2 \alpha^2}{1536\pi^5 m_{B_s}^3} \left\{ \left| -\frac{2C_7 m_b}{m_{B_s} + m_\eta} F_T(q^2) + C_9 F_1(q^2) \right|^2 + \left| C_{10} F_1(q^2) \right|^2 \right\} \lambda^{3/2} \left( m_{B_s}^2, m_\eta^2, q^2 \right)$$



Rare B<sub>s</sub> decays to  $\eta$  final states  $B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \tau^+ \tau^-$ 

13/15

 $\tau$  masses cannot be neglected:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} \left( B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \tau^+ \tau^- \right) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \alpha^2}{2^9 \pi^5} \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (m_{B_s}^2, m_{\eta}^2, q^2)}{m_{B_s}^3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\tau}^2}{q^2}} \frac{1}{3q^2} p(q^2)$$

$$p(q^2) = 6m_{\tau}^2 (m_{B_s}^2 - m_{\eta}^2)^2 |b(q^2)|^2 + \lambda (m_{B_s}^2, m_{\eta}^2, q^2) \left[ (2m_{\tau}^2 + sq^2) |c(q^2)|^2 - (4m_{\tau}^2 - q^2) |a(q^2)|^2 \right]$$

$$p(q^2) = C_{10} F_1(q^2) \qquad b(q^2) = C_{10} F_0(q^2) \qquad c(q^2) = C_9 F_1(q^2) - 2(m_b + m_q^2) C_7 \frac{F_T(q^2)}{m_{B_s} + m_{\eta}}$$



Rare  ${\rm B}_{\rm s}$  decays to  $\eta$  final states  $B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

14/15

It is convenient to consider the missing energy:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dx} \left( B_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} \bar{\nu} \nu \right) = \frac{\left( |c_L|^2 + |c_R|^2 \right) \left| F_1(q^2) \right|^2}{16\pi^3 m_{B_s}} \lambda^{3/2} \left( m_{B_s}^2, m_\eta^2, q^2 \right)$$

 $x = \frac{E_{\text{miss}}}{m_{B_s}}$ 



# CONCLUSIONS

15/15

• We have studied *B<sub>s</sub>* phenomenology comparing the predictions of the Standard Model with the ones of the ACD Model with a single Universal Extra Dimensions.

# CONCLUSIONS

- We have studied *B<sub>s</sub>* phenomenology comparing the predictions of the Standard Model with the ones of the ACD Model with a single Universal Extra Dimensions.
- In this model Kaluza-Klein excitations can contribute as virtual particles in loop processes, such as FCNCs.

- We have studied *B<sub>s</sub>* phenomenology comparing the predictions of the Standard Model with the ones of the ACD Model with a single Universal Extra Dimensions.
- In this model Kaluza-Klein excitations can contribute as virtual particles in loop processes, such as FCNCs.
- We have seen that the mass difference  $\Delta m_s$  is larger in the ACD Model, and it can be detected through the study of asymmetries in decay channels that are not affected by other effects of the model, such as  $B_s \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} J/\psi$ . Moreover, this can also modify the extraction of the *bs* Unitarity Triangle.

- We have studied *B<sub>s</sub>* phenomenology comparing the predictions of the Standard Model with the ones of the ACD Model with a single Universal Extra Dimensions.
- In this model Kaluza-Klein excitations can contribute as virtual particles in loop processes, such as FCNCs.
- We have seen that the mass difference  $\Delta m_s$  is larger in the ACD Model, and it can be detected through the study of asymmetries in decay channels that are not affected by other effects of the model, such as  $B_s \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} J/\psi$ . Moreover, this can also modify the extraction of the *bs* Unitarity Triangle.
- We have found that the larger the compactification radius is, the larger the branching ratios of some rare decays to η and η' final states are predicted to be, but the detection of deviations could be difficult due to the considerable error of non-perturbative form factors.

## References

M. V. Carlucci, P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio
 *Rare B<sub>s</sub> decays to η and η' final states* Phys Rev. D 80, 055023 (2009)

## References

M. V. Carlucci, P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio
 *Rare B<sub>s</sub> decays to η and η' final states* Phys Rev. D 80, 055023 (2009)

A work about another (very different) model with extra dimensions:

 M. V. Carlucci, F. Giannuzzi, G. Nardulli, M. Pellicoro and S. Stramaglia AdS/QCD quark-antiquark potential, meson spectrum and tetraquarks Eur. Phys. J. C 57, 569-578 (2008)

## THANKS