Search for doubly-charged Higgs bossons in like-sing dilepton final states at $\sqrt{s} = 7 TeV$ with the ATLAS detector

Using a data sample with an integrated luminosity of $4.7 \pm 0.2 \ fb^{-1}$ of pp collisions

Doubly charged Higgs model

2

Left-Right symmetric models

Doubly-charged Higgs bosons can couple to left-handed or right-handed fermion

- Denoted as $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ and $H_R^{\pm\pm}$ (Y=2)
- $\ \ \, \bullet \sigma(H_L^{++} H_L^{--}) > 2.5 \ \, \sigma(H_R^{++} H_R^{--})$

Cood way to explain the origin of neutrino masses: "Type II Seesaw" mechanism

Two mainly production mechanism





Drell-Yan Pair production



$$\Gamma(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}) = k \frac{h_{ll'}^2}{16\pi} m(H^{\pm\pm})$$

Prompt decays:
$$c\tau < 10 \mu m$$

 $h_{ll'} > 3 \times 10^{-6}$
 $m(H^{\pm\pm}) > 50 GeV$

Lepton identification and mass of $H^{\pm\pm}$

Final state	Mass limit of $H^{\pm\pm}$
$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	>382 GeV
$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	>391 GeV
$\mu^\pm e^\pm$	>395 GeV

Direct measurements calculated in LEP, HERA, Tevatron, and LHC colliders with BR 100% and CL 95%.



Event selection

✤ For ee production, the range 70GeV < $m(e^{\pm}e^{\pm})$ < 110GeV is excluded due to large Background from Z events</p>

Tight criteria for electrons

Electrons must have $|\eta| < 2.47$ (except 1.37 < $|\eta| < 1.52$)

• Muons must have $|\eta| < 2.5$

More than one lepton pair may be reconstructed per event



Leptons	
Primary interaction vertex	
Isolation	
Separation of jets	
	11

Primary interaction vertex

The ID tracks of electron and muon candidates are required to be consistent with originating from the primary interaction vertex

- $|d_0| < 1mm$ and $|d_0/\sigma(d_0)| < 3$
- Hit in the innermost pixel detector layer is required
- Also reduces background

Isolation

Electrons and muons must be well isolated:

•
$$\frac{p_T^{cone0.4}}{p_T(\mu)} < 0.06 \text{ and } E_T^{cone0.4} < 4GeV + 0.02p_T(\mu)$$

$$p_T^{cone0.3}$$

•
$$\frac{p_T^{control}}{p_T(e)} < 0.1 \text{ and } E_T^{control} < 3GeV + 0.037(p_T(e) - 20GeV)$$

•
$$\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$$

Separation of jets

Well separated from jets to suppress leptons from hadronic decays

- Jet candidates reconstructed from topological clusters in the calorimeter
- Jets: $p_T > 25 \text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.8$
- Leptons separeted by separated by $\Delta R > 0.4$ from any jet





Non-Prompt sources

Determined directly from Data

✤
$$f = \frac{N_S}{N_A}$$
, where N_A depends on the flavour of the lepton

$$f = f(p_T, \eta)$$

✤ We study separately Muons and Electron production

Muons

Semileptonic b and c-hadrons decays

$$\underbrace{ p_T^{cone0.4}}_{p_T(\mu)} < 1, |d_0/\sigma(d_0)| > 5 \text{ and } |d_0| < 10mm$$

★ Muons with $|d_0/\sigma(d_0)| < 3$ are more isolated

 $f \approx 0.10 \ (p_T = 20 \ GeV) \text{ and } f \approx 0.25 \ (p_T = 100 \ GeV)$

Systematic uncertainty in f about $\pm 37\%$ at low p_T and $\pm 100\%$ for $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$

18

Electrons

*Background from charged pions that shower earlier in the calorimeter and neutral pions decaying in two photons (one of them decays on e^+e^-)

 $|d_0/\sigma(d_0)| > 3$ (or fail the *medium* electron identification criteria).

 $f \approx 0.18$ ($E_T = 20 \ GeV$) and $f \approx 0.10$ ($E_T = 100 \ GeV$)

Systematic uncertainty in f about $\pm 10\%$ at low E_T and $\pm 100\%$ for $E_T > 300 \ GeV$

Non-Prompt Background prediction

***** Derived from f using dilepton pairs where one or both leptons are anti-selected but pass all other event selection criteria

 $\bigstar N_{NP} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A+S}} f(p_{T1}, \eta_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S+A}} f(p_{T2}, \eta_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A+A}} f(p_{T1}, \eta_1) f(p_{T2}, \eta_2)$

 N_{A+S} , N_{S+A} and N_{A+A} substracted based con MC predictions

Conversion sources $(e^{\pm}e^{\pm})$

W γ and Z γ production

- ***** Electron charge flip $Z, \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
- ✤ 70 GeV < $m(e^{\pm}e^{\pm}) < 110 GeV$

excluded due to Z boson coupling

 \bigstar MC simulation



Charge misidentification

For muons this is negligible in the range of p_T found in the sample

One electron reconstructed with wrong charge after radiating a photon

Charge-flip overlap fraction $(23 \pm 3)\%$

***** To avoid double counting, overlap 70 $GeV < m(e^{\pm}e^{\pm}) < 110 GeV$ removed

Control regions

Prompt leptons background tested in a sample requiring two leptons of opposite charge, dominated by Z/γ* in all final states

Non-Prompt background tested inverting the isolation criteria

Agree with the background prediction

Systematic uncertainties

 $\bigstar 50 \; GeV < m(H^{\pm\pm}) < 1000 \; GeV$

***** Detector resolution \rightarrow 1.2-1.8% for *e*, 2-10% for μ

♦ Lepton identification $\rightarrow \pm (3-4)\%$

♦ Trigger efficiencies \rightarrow < 3.5%

 $\bigstar \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pm 3.9\%$

 $A \times \epsilon \rightarrow 27-50\%$ depending on $m(H^{\pm\pm})$

Systematic uncertainties

25

♦ WZ and ZZ cross section $\rightarrow \pm 12\%$

♦ $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$ and $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ → ±50%

 $\bigstar Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \pm 7\%$

 $\bigstar W^{\mp}W^{\pm} \not \rightarrow \pm 12\%$

♦ Non-prompt leptons → >28% depending on the flavor and $m(l^{\pm}l^{\pm})$

♦ Non-prompt and conversion sources at low masses $\rightarrow \pm 40\%$

Data

Sample		Number of e	lectron pairs	with $m(e^{\pm}e^{\pm})$)
	$> 15 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 100 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 200 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 300 { m GeV}$	$> 400 { m GeV}$
Prompt	101 ± 13	56.3 ± 7.2	14.8 ± 2.0	4.3 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.3
Non-prompt	75 ± 21	28.8 ± 8.6	5.8 ± 2.5	$0.5\substack{+0.8\\-0.5}$	$0.0\substack{+0.2\\-0.0}$
Charge flips and conversions	170 ± 33	91 ± 16	22.1 ± 4.4	8.0 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 0.8
Sum of backgrounds	346 ± 44	176 ± 21	42.8 ± 5.7	12.8 ± 2.1	4.8 ± 0.9
Data	329	171	38	10	3
		Number of	muon pairs w	ith $m(\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm})$	
	$> 15 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 100 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 200 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 300 {\rm GeV}$	$> 400 {\rm GeV}$
Prompt	205 ± 26	90 ± 11	21.8 ± 2.8	5.8 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.4
Non-prompt	42 ± 14	12.1 ± 4.6	1.0 ± 0.6	$0.0^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$	$0.0^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$
Charge flips	$0.0^{+4.9}_{-0.0}$	$0.0\substack{+2.5\\-0.0}$	$0.0\substack{+1.8\\-0.0}$	$0.0\substack{+1.7\\-0.0}$	$0.0\substack{+1.7\\-0.0}$
Sum of backgrounds	247^{+30}_{-29}	102 ± 12	$22.8^{+3.4}_{-2.9}$	$5.8^{+1.9}_{-0.9}$	$2.2\substack{+1.7 \\ -0.4}$
Data	264	110	29	6	2
		Number of	lepton pairs v	with $m(e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm})$	
	$> 15 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 100 {\rm GeV}$	$> 200 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 300 \mathrm{GeV}$	$> 400 {\rm GeV}$
Prompt	346 ± 43	157 ± 20	36.6 ± 4.7	10.8 ± 1.5	3.9 ± 0.6
Non-prompt	151 ± 47	45 ± 13	9.2 ± 4.1	2.6 ± 1.1	1.0 ± 0.6
Charge flips and conversions	142 ± 28	33 ± 7	10.5 ± 2.8	2.9 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.1
Sum of backgrounds	639 ± 71	235 ± 25	56.4 ± 7.0	16.3 ± 2.3	7.0 ± 1.4
Data	658	259	61	17	7

Sample		Number of	lepton pairs v	with $m(\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm})$		
	$> 15{ m GeV}$	$> 100 {\rm GeV}$	$> 200{\rm GeV}$	$> 300 { m GeV}$	$>400{ m GeV}$	
			e^+e^+ pairs			
Sum of backgrounds	208 ± 28	112 ± 14	28.6 ± 4.0	8.5 ± 1.4	3.3 ± 0.7	
Data	183	93	26	6	1	
			e^-e^- pairs			
Sum of backgrounds	138 ± 21	63.3 ± 8.5	14.2 ± 2.3	4.4 ± 0.8	$1.54_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$	
Data	146	78	12	4	2	
	$\mu^+\mu^+$ pairs					
Sum of backgrounds	147 ± 17	$63.7^{+7.7}_{-7.6}$	$14.5^{+2.1}_{-1.9}$	$4.1^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	$1.6^{+0.9}_{-0.3}$	
Data	144	60	16	4	2	
			$\mu^-\mu^-$ pairs			
Sum of backgrounds	100 ± 12	$38.4^{+5.0}_{-4.8}$	$8.3^{+1.5}_{-1.2}$	$1.7\substack{+0.9 \\ -0.3}$	$0.6^{+0.9}_{-0.1}$	
Data	120	50	13	2	0	
			$e^+\mu^+$ pairs			
Sum of backgrounds	381 ± 42	142 ± 15	33.8 ± 5.3	9.8 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 0.9	
Data	375	149	39	9	4	
			$e^-\mu^-$ pairs			
Sum of backgrounds	259 ± 31	93 ± 10	22.6 ± 3.0	6.5 ± 1.3	2.9 ± 1.0	
Data	283	110	22	8	3	







Lower mass limit at 95% CL

$\mathrm{BR}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell^\pm \ell'^\pm)$	959	95% CL lower limit on $m(H_L^{\pm\pm})$ [GeV]					
	$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$		$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$		$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$		
	exp.	obs.	exp.	obs.	exp.	obs	
100%	407	409	401	398	392	375	
33%	318	317	317	290	279	276	
22%	274	258	282	282	250	253	
11%	228	212	234	216	206	190	
$\mathrm{BR}(H_R^{\pm\pm} \to \ell^\pm \ell'^\pm)$	95% CL lower limit on $m(H_R^{\pm\pm})$ [GeV]						
	$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$			$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$			
	e [±]	e^{\pm}	μ [±]	μ^{\pm}	e^{\pm}	μ^{\pm}	
	e^{\pm} exp.	e^{\pm} obs.	μ^{\pm} exp.	μ [±] obs.	e^{\pm} exp.	µ± obs	
100%	<i>e</i> [±] exp. 329	e^{\pm} obs.	$\begin{array}{ c c } \mu^{\pm} \\ exp. \\ \hline 335 \end{array}$	μ± obs. 306	exp.	μ± obs 310	
100% 33%	exp. 329 241	e^{\pm} obs. 322 214	$ \begin{array}{c c} \mu^{\pm} \\ exp. \\ 335 \\ 247 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \mu^{\pm} \\ \text{obs.} \\ 306 \\ 222 \end{array} $	exp. 303 220	μ [±] obs 310 195	
100% 33% 22%	e^{\pm} exp. 329 241 203	e^{\pm} obs. 322 214 199	$ \begin{array}{c c} \mu^{\pm} \\ exp. \\ 335 \\ 247 \\ 223 \\ \end{array} $	$ \mu^{\pm} obs. 306 222 212 $	e^{\pm} exp. 303 220 194	μ [±] obs 310 195 187	

30



Mass limits as a function of the branching ratio

Conclusions

✤ No such peak was observed in a data sample in 2011

★ Masses below 409 GeV, 398 GeV, and 375 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$, $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$, and $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ final states, respectively

• The limits on $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ bosons also apply to the singlet in the Zee-Babu model

QUESTIONS & DOUBTS

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

33