



QED Background Event Generators

• SuperB QED simulations (Frascati workshop): 10MHz/cm²

yields 0.5 % occupancy for PXD (inner layer)

• Set of MCs studied:

KoralW gives result consitent with SuperB simulations

difference with BDK is significant (~ order of magnitude!)

• Conclusion of Prague Meeting:

contact authors and find out why the differences







Cross sections for s-channel processes fall like 1/s



Rate ~ 600 ev/s

@10³/ nb s

Cross sections for t-channel processes are largely independent of s







- 2-photon processes dominate by far
- Several generators:

Diag36 (Berends-Daverfeldt-Kleiss, 1985)

Grace (J.Fujimoto, et.al. Comp., Phys. Comm. 100 (1997) 128)

Racoon (A.Denner, S.Dittmaier, M.Roth, D.Wackeroth, Comp. Phys. Comm. 153 (2003) 462)

KoralW (S. Jadach, W. Placzek, M. Skrzypek, B.F.L. Ward, CERN-TH/95-205, Jul 1995, CPC 94 (1996) 216 ...)

○ all done for symmetric e+e- machines (PETRA, LEP), all tested there!



- calculate boost from lab to CMS (method of Burkard)
- boost CMS to lab
- \circ make acceptance cuts (p_T, θ) in the lab









KoralW (KW)











GeV







GeV





- Direct contact with authors for almost 2 days
- Many tests of the program at large (LEP) and small (KEKB) energies studying the various cutoffs (using WEIGHTED events, fast, recommended by the authors)
- Conclusions:

to the surprise of the authors, the program seems to behave well even at very small cutoffs.

When turning to the UNWEIGHTED events, however, a problem' was dicovered with the maximum weight

this needed to be adjusted for the new energies!





Old Cut (OK for LEP)

OEPFE,

















BDK:
$$\sigma = 7.3 \times 10^6 [\text{nb}]$$

KWc: $\sigma = 4.5 \times 10^5 [\text{nb}]$ (3 MeV cut)
 $N_{\text{tr}}(\text{bg}) = N_{\text{tr}}^{\text{acc}}(\text{MC}) \cdot \underbrace{\sigma_{\text{QED}} \cdot L}_{N_{\text{ev}}(\text{MC})} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon}_{\text{readout time}}$
 $L = \int \mathcal{L} \, dt = \mathcal{L} \cdot \Delta t = 10^3 \left[\frac{1}{\text{nb} \, s}\right] \times 2 \times 10^{-5} [s]$

 $N_{
m ev}({
m MC}) = 10^6$ BDK: $\varepsilon = 0.146$ KWc: $\varepsilon = 0.52$





BDK:
$$N_{\rm tr}^{\rm acc}({\rm MC}) = 5413$$

KWc: $N_{\rm tr}^{\rm acc}({
m MC}) = 1675$

Expected number of background tracks per event:

Occupancy (inner layer):







- A word of caution:
 - We now get consistent results from 2 different MCs,

BUT:

- → the SuperB number has not been revised (still 0.5 %)
- Masako also gets 0.5 % occupancy from another program
- Need to measure now:

Take random triggers with Belle (this year last chance) with colliding and separated beams in May/June

Positive replies from Belle authorities ...



()

Conclusions



- SuperKEKB: Current will rise by factor 2, lumi by factor 40
- Our calculations now indicate that background is NOT likely to be dominated by QED (2 photon reactions) (in contrast to the conclusion of the SuperB colleagues)
- Generators BDK, Grace (used by Belle?) and KoralW, now give similar results
- Present estimate of bg rate in physics event seems manageable (occupancy ~0.1% from QED at full lumi)
- Further study needed and ongoing (contact with SUperB authors as well as KEKB (Masako-san, Uehara-san)
- Study of random trigger ongoing (Elena)
 Put to a test with special runs with Belle this year