SUSY parameter determination at the LHC using cross section and kinematic edges

Jonas Lindert, in collaboration with Herbi Dreiner, Michael Krämer and Ben O'Leary

RWTH Aachen University and Bonn University

München, May 03, 2010







Outline

Motivation

Motivation for supersymmetric parameter determination Cascade decays Motivation for utilizing cross-sections Obstacles

Implementation Overview Some details

Some Results

Summary and Outlook

Motivation for supersymmetric parameter determination Cascade decays Motivation for utilizing cross-sections Obstacles

Motivation for supersymmetric parameter determination

- ► Well, assuming a significant deviation from SM predictions is found at the LHC...the quest just begins.
 - ► What is the nature of the new theory? SUSY, Extra Dimensions, Little Higgs, or something else?
 - What are the Lagrangian parameters of the new theory?

Without any guidance these are very tough questions!

- Assuming Nature is supersymmetric at LHC-accessible scales...still many questions remain.
 - Mechanism of SUSY-Breaking?
 - Hints of physics to GUT or Planck scale?
 - underlying Lagrangian parameters need to be determined accurately to start answering these questions.



[Arkani-Hamed et. al., 2005, hep-ph/0512190]

J. Lindert

München, 03/05/2010



Cascade decays



- Most effort for determining SUSY parameters so far has gone into cascade decay kinematics
- Expected that colored sparticles produced copiously, then decay in stages emitting observable SM particle each time (assuming *R*-parity conservation)
- \blacktriangleright Unknown center of momentum $+~\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ escaping detector \rightarrow event reconstruction difficult
- Various kinematic quantities have distributions with well-defined endpoints (however inverting these relations might be ambigous).

Motivation for supersymmetric parameter determination Cascade decays Motivation for utilizing cross-sections Obstacles

Motivation for utilizing cross-sections

- ► More observables → better determination of parameters! (in general)
- ► LHC cross-sections very sensitive to colored sparticle masses.
- ► Lot of effort has gone into supersymmetric QCD LO/NLO/NLL processes [*e.g.* Beenakker, Höpker, Spira, Zerwas, hep-ph/9610490]. (NLO needed since cross-sections can vary by 100%).



- Cascade decay endpoint mimic points exist cross-sections can provide discrimination
- Cascade decay endpoints can be underconstraining for certain parameter regions and for certain hierarchies (Three-Body decays, Split-SUSY etc.)
- Non-supersymmetric models can have very similar spectra but with differing spins and thus potentially very different cross sections.

J. Lindert

Motivation for supersymmetric parameter determination Cascade decays Motivation for utilizing cross-sections Obstacles

Obstacles and Idea

- ► NLO SUSY-QCD calculations are not fast.
- Monte Carlo computation of rate signatures including experimental cuts is too time consuming to be efficiently used in fit algorithms (or not feasible due to statistical fluctuations in MC calculation).
- \Rightarrow Efficient parameterization needed!

Using the approach we developed a fast and reliable estimate of

$$rac{N}{L} = \sigma_{theo} imes BR imes ext{Acceptance}$$

with

$$\Delta N = \sqrt{(\Delta \sigma_{theo.})^2 + (\Delta \sigma_{acc.})^2 + (\Delta \sigma_{exp.})^2} \lesssim 20\%$$

Overview of our implementation

We provide a self-contained code which

- ► takes LHC-scale SUSY spectrum (*e.g.* from SLHA-format file)
- looks up table of cross-sections for colored sparticle production (LO + NLO K-Factors stored in grids; calculated using Prospino 2.1, http://www.thphys.uni-heidelberg.de/ plehn/prospino/)
- works out relevant cascade decays and multiplies with relevant branching ratios (BRs taken from SLHA file, such as produced by SPheno or SUSY-HIT)
- ► applies approximations for cut acceptances depending on sparticle masses
- returns event rates for particular signals

This is incorporated into Fittino: a program by Philip Bechtle, Klaus Desch and Peter Wienemann (http://www.-flc.desy.de/fittino/) which

- ▶ explores SUSY parameter space (simulated annealing or Markov chain)
- ► determines LHC-scale or GUT-scale (uses SPheno to run from one scale to the other) Lagrangian parameters with errors



Overview Some details

Some details

Currently two signals are implemented:

- ► R_{jjE_T} : more than two jets with $p_j^T > 50 \text{GeV}, |\eta_j| < 2.5$, missing $E_T > 100 \text{GeV}$
- ► $R_{\ell\ell jj \not E_T}$: additionally exactly two OS-SF leptons with $p_l^T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta_l| < 2.5$

Cross-sections and acceptancies are parameterized by $m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}$, for each point we provide as grids:

- ▶ NLO cross-sections for $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}\tilde{g}$ chirality combinations and $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$
- ► numbers parameterizing cut acceptances for massless particles (e, µ, j assumed so) for given energies in q̃ rest frame

Overview Some details

Lepton acceptance example







Fitting universal mSUGRA SPS1a @ 14 TeV, 10 fb^{-1} and @ 7 TeV, 1 fb^{-1}



J. Lindert

München, 03/05/2010

SPS1a inputs

group I:

- $m_{\ell\ell}^{\rm max}$, the dilepton invariant mass edge,
- $m_{q\ell\ell}^{\max}$, the jet-dilepton invariant mass edge,
- $m_{q\ell}^{
 m low}$, the jet-lepton low invariant mass edge, and
- $m_{\alpha\ell}^{\rm high}$, the jet-lepton high invariant mass edge.

group II:

- $-~m_{q\ell\ell}^{\rm thr.}$, the jet-dilepton threshold invariant mass edge,
- $-m_{T2}^{\tilde{q}}$, the squark stransverse mass,
- $m_{ au au}^{
 m max}$, the di-tau invariant mass edge,
- m_{tb}^w , the weighted top-bottom invariant mass edge, and
- $-~r_{\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\tau}\,{\rm BR}},$ the ratio of selectron- to stau-mediated $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ decays. group III:
 - $\Delta m_{ ilde{g}\, ilde{\chi}_1^0}$, the mass difference between the gluino and the LSP,
 - $m^{\max}_{(\tilde{\chi}^0_4)\ell\ell'}$ the dilepton invariant mass edge from the decay of a $\tilde{\chi}^0_4,$
 - $-~m_{b\ell\ell}^{\rm thr}$, the b-tagged jet-dilepton threshold invariant mass edge, and
 - m_h , the mass of the lightest neutral scalar Higgs boson.

new observables:

- $R_{jj \not \in T}$, the inclusive event rate for at least two hard jets with missing transverse energy, and
- $R_{\ell,\ell j \not \in T}$, the exclusive event rate for at least two hard jets with missing transverse energy plus a pair of opposite-sign same-flavour light leptons.



Results



Summary and Outlook

Summary:

- ► Cross-sections can be calculated within 20% quickly
- ▶ Rates can make a big difference to reducing errors on $M_{1/2}$ and $\tan \beta$ in parameter fits
- Especially important with early data

Outlook:

- ► Verification of cut acceptances with full detector simulation needed.
- ► Further signals to be added (*e.g.* multilepton signals without OSSF-OSDF subtraction)
- ► Further flexibility to be added (different mass hierarchies)

Numerical Results

M_0 [GeV]	$M_{1/2}$ [GeV]	aneta	A_0 [GeV]
100	250	10	-100
$99.0 \ _{-9.1}^{+9.9}$	$250.0 \ ^{+8.7}_{-6.5}$	$10.7 \ ^{+4.0}_{-8.8}$	$55.2 \ ^{+1048}_{-254}$
99.7 ^{+4.3} -5.7	$251.1 \ ^{+7.5}_{-5.8}$	$11.2 \ ^{+3.5}_{-5.1}$	$-50.9 \ ^{+1233}_{-350}$
99.8 ^{+3.3} -4.4	$249.7 \ ^{+6.6}_{-5.2}$	$10.1 \ ^{+3.8}_{-3.2}$	$-94.1 \ ^{+1610}_{-216}$
99.8 ^{+3.9} -4.2	$251.3 \ ^{+5.0}_{-5.0}$	$10.7 \ ^{+3.1}_{-3.1}$	$-55.7 \ ^{+263}_{-233}$
100.0 $^{+2.9}_{-3.2}$	250.7 ^{+2.9} -3.0	$11.0 \ ^{+2.5}_{-3.1}$	$-63.3 \ ^{+165}_{-192}$
$100.1 \ ^{+1.7}_{-1.9}$	250.4 $^{+1.2}_{-1.7}$	$10.1 \ ^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	$-89.8 \ ^{+70.4}_{-80.3}$
100.3 $^{+1.6}_{-1.9}$	$250.4 \ ^{+1.4}_{-1.6}$	$10.2 \ ^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$	$-96.5 \ ^{+86.3}_{-68.5}$
100.2 $^{+1.4}_{-1.6}$	250.3 $^{+1.1}_{-1.4}$	$10.1 \ ^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	$-94.6 \ ^{+48.2}_{-55.0}$
$100.1 \ ^{+1.6}_{-1.5}$	$250.3 \ ^{+1.1}_{-1.4}$	$10.3 \stackrel{+0.7}{_{-1.0}}$	$-90.3^{+52.1}_{-57.7}$
	$ \begin{array}{c} M_0 \ [GeV] \\ 100 \\ \hline 99.0 \ ^{+9.9}_{-9.1} \\ \hline 99.7 \ ^{+4.3}_{-5.7} \\ 99.8 \ ^{+3.9}_{-4.2} \\ \hline 99.8 \ ^{+3.9}_{-4.2} \\ \hline 100.0 \ ^{+2.9}_{-3.2} \\ 100.1 \ ^{+1.7}_{-1.9} \\ 100.3 \ ^{+1.6}_{-1.6} \\ 100.1 \ ^{+1.6}_{-1.5} \\ \hline \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c cccc} M_0 \ [\text{GeV}] & M_{1/2} \ [\text{GeV}] \\ 100 & 250 \\ \hline \\ 99.0 \ ^{+9.9}_{-9.1} & 250.0 \ ^{+8.7}_{-6.5} \\ \hline \\ 99.7 \ ^{+4.3}_{-5.7} & 251.1 \ ^{+7.5}_{-5.8} \\ 99.8 \ ^{+3.9}_{-4.4} & 249.7 \ ^{+6.6}_{-5.2} \\ 99.8 \ ^{+3.9}_{-4.2} & 251.3 \ ^{+5.0}_{-5.0} \\ \hline \\ 100.0 \ ^{+2.9}_{-3.2} & 250.7 \ ^{+2.9}_{-3.0} \\ 100.1 \ ^{+1.7}_{-1.9} & 250.4 \ ^{+1.2}_{-1.7} \\ 100.3 \ ^{+1.6}_{-1.6} & 250.3 \ ^{+1.4}_{-1.4} \\ 100.1 \ ^{+1.6}_{-1.5} & 250.3 \ ^{+1.1}_{-1.4} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $