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## DISCLAIMER

Not trying to be a review, and therefore *not* complete in any way

Just giving an account of some problems I find interesting and that I have personally been working on in the past months
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## FROM AMPLITUDES TO INTEGRALS

Scattering Amplitudes

$\sim \mathscr{A}=\epsilon_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \epsilon_{n}^{\mu_{n}} \bar{v}(q) \Gamma_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u(p)$
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## FROM AMPLITUDES TO INTEGRALS

Scattering Amplitudes


$$
\sim \mathscr{A}=\epsilon_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \epsilon_{n}^{\mu_{n}} \bar{v}(q) \Gamma_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u(p)
$$

(Scalar) Feynman Integrals
$\mathscr{I}=\int \prod_{l=1}^{L} \frac{d^{D} k_{l}}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \frac{S_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots S_{m}^{b_{m}}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots D_{n}^{a_{n}}}$
with $S_{i} \in\left\{k_{i} \cdot k_{j}, \ldots, k_{i} \cdot p_{j}\right\}$

IBPs, Finite fields etc
differential equations
Feynman parameters
Numerical methods

Some analytic or numerical result for the amplitudes

## SCALAR FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $g g \rightarrow g g @ 3$ loops $\sim 10^{7}$ integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera
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Integration by parts identities $\rightarrow$ master integrals
[Chetyrkin, Tkachov '81] \& many others: most recently finite fields, intersection theory etc
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## SCALAR FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $g g \rightarrow g g @ 3$ loops $\sim 10^{7}$ integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera


Coefficients (processdependent)

Very complicated rational functions, hundreds of MBs for complicated processes:

Algebraic Complexity

Process-independent building
blocks: Master Integrals
Involved special
functions with
complicated mathematical
properties:
Analytic complexity

## SCALAR FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $g g \rightarrow g g @ 3$ loops $\sim 10^{7}$ integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera


$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \mathscr{J}_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Extremely successful strategy: in the past 2 decades it has allowed us to overcome the two-loop frontier for $2 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes, with increasing number of scales (and masses), and recently opened the way to $2 \rightarrow 2$ three loop calculations

I will not review all these developments, there are way too many :-)

## DECOMPOSITION INTO SCALAR INTEGRALS



$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \mathscr{F}_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

## DECOMPOSITION INTO SCALAR INTEGRALS



Scalar Feynman Integrals are what we know how to compute

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION

Projector-Form Factors method in a nutshell

1. Pick your favourite process, for example $q \bar{q} \rightarrow Z g$
2. Use Lorentz + gauge + any symmetry (parity, Bose etc...) to find minimal set of tensor structures in d space-time dimensions:

$$
\mathscr{A}=\sum_{j} F_{j} T_{j}
$$

3. Derive projectors operators to single out corresponding form factors: $\mathscr{P}_{j} \mathscr{A}=F_{j}$

$$
M_{i j}=\sum_{p o l} T_{i}^{\dagger} T_{j} \quad \mathscr{P}_{j}=\sum_{k}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{j k} T_{k}^{\dagger}
$$

4. Apply these projectors on Feynman diagrams repr of the scattering amplitude

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION: pros anv cons

## Problems in d-dimensions

Powerful and very general method
Often used in CDR, can become intractable for complicated problems due to evanescent structures in $\mathrm{d}=4$
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Typical case 4 quark scattering $q\left(p_{2}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q\left(p_{3}\right)+\bar{Q}\left(p_{4}\right)$
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D_{i} \sim \bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \Gamma^{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \Gamma_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u\left(p_{4}\right)
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## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION: pros and cons

## Problems in d-dimensions

Powerful and very general method
Often used in CDR, can become intractable for complicated problems due to evanescent structures in $\mathrm{d}=4$

Typical case 4 quark scattering $q\left(p_{2}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q\left(p_{3}\right)+\bar{Q}\left(p_{4}\right)$
$D_{i} \sim \bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \Gamma^{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \Gamma_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}} u\left(p_{4}\right)$
Infinite number of tensor structures in $d$ dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} u\left(p_{4}\right), \\
& \mathcal{D}_{2}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \not p_{3} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) p_{1} u\left(p_{4}\right), \\
& \mathcal{D}_{3}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} u\left(p_{4}\right), \\
& \mathcal{D}_{4}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \not p_{3} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \not p_{1} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} u\left(p_{4}\right), \\
& \mathcal{D}_{5}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} \gamma_{\mu_{4}} \gamma_{\mu_{5}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu_{3}} \gamma_{\mu_{4}} \gamma_{\mu_{5}} u\left(p_{4}\right), \\
& \mathcal{D}_{6}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \not p_{3} \gamma_{\mu_{4}} \gamma_{\mu_{5}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \not p_{1} \gamma_{\mu_{4}} \gamma_{\mu_{5}} u\left(p_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION: upgrade in thv

Improvements in $d=4 \quad$ [Peraro, Tancredi '19,'20]
Only two of these structures are linearly independent if external states are in $d=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q\left(p_{2}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q\left(p_{3}\right)+\bar{Q}\left(p_{4}\right) \\
& \mathcal{D}_{1}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{1}} u\left(p_{4}\right) \\
& \mathcal{D}_{2}=\bar{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \not p_{3} u\left(p_{2}\right) \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) p_{1} u\left(p_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

They are enough to obtain full result in 't Hooft-Veltman scheme
They are also enough for the finite remainder in CDR!
Use to complete $p p \rightarrow p p @ 3$ loops [Caola, Chakraborty, Gambuti, Manteuffel, Tancredi '21,'22]

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION FOR CHIRAL THEORIES

Let's see how this works for chiral theories (new \& unpublished)
Consider the production of a Z-boson and a jet in quark-antiquark annihilation

$$
q\left(p_{1}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow g\left(p_{3}\right)+Z\left(p_{4}\right)
$$

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION FOR CHIRAL THEORIES

Let's see how this works for chiral theories (new \& unpublished)
Consider the production of a Z-boson and a jet in quark-antiquark annihilation

$$
q\left(p_{1}\right)+\bar{q}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow g\left(p_{3}\right)+Z\left(p_{4}\right)
$$

Status:
Pheno @ NNLO including only vector-like couplings of singlet type
Amplitudes [Garland, Gerhmann et al '02]
Pheno [Gehrmann-De Ridder et al '17, '18] etc etc

$\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}$ - axial coupling neglected in singlet contributions -
Need to include top+bottom to get consistent result (anomaly!)
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One issue for axial couplings is evanescent structures in chiral tensor

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION FOR CHIRAL THEORIES



One issue for axial couplings is evanescent structures in chiral tensor

Our method: only independent tensors in $d=4$ are relevant, we can span it with a basis of vectors in $d=4: p_{1}^{\mu}, p_{2}^{\mu}, p_{3}^{\mu}$, plus the fourth parity-odd one

$$
\epsilon_{\nu \rho \sigma \mu} p_{1}^{\nu} p_{2}^{\rho} p_{3}^{\sigma}=\epsilon^{p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} \mu}=v_{A}^{\mu}
$$

With these, a possible basis can be written as: (could be further optimised for singlet contributions)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{A V}=\epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu} & A_{A V}^{\mu, \nu} \\
=\epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu} & {\left[\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \not p_{3} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{3} g^{\mu \nu}+R_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{3} v_{A}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)\right.} \\
& +\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{4} p_{1}^{\mu}+K_{5} p_{2}^{\mu}\right)+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) K_{6} p_{1}^{\nu} \\
& \left.+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \psi_{A} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(R_{4} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+R_{5} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)+R_{6}\left(\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\nu}+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\mu}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## TENSOR DECOMPOSITION FOR CHIRAL THEORIES

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{A V}= & \epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu} A_{A V}^{\mu, \nu} \\
= & \epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu}\left[\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \not p_{3} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{3} g^{\mu \nu}+R_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{3} v_{A}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)\right. \\
& +\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{4} p_{1}^{\mu}+K_{5} p_{2}^{\mu}\right)+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) K_{6} p_{1}^{\nu} \\
& \left.+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \psi_{A} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(R_{4} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+R_{5} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)+R_{6}\left(\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\nu}+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\mu}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The counting is straightforward:
> 2 helicities for the $q \bar{q}$ line (massless)
> 2 helicities for the (physical) gluon
> 3 helicities for the (physical) Z boson


Gives a total of $=12$ helicity amplitudes
matched by the number of tensors and form factors

Note that manipulations are done in tHV / Larin scheme

$$
p_{i} \cdot v_{A}=0, \quad v_{A} \cdot v_{A}=\epsilon^{p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} \mu} \epsilon^{p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} \mu}=\frac{d-3}{4} s_{12} s_{13} s_{23}
$$

[Gehrmann, Peraro, Tancredi to appear soon]

## NATURAL SOLUTION FOR GAMMA5 IN LARIN'S SCHEME

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{A V}= & \epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu} A_{A V}^{\mu, \nu} \\
=\epsilon_{4, \mu} \epsilon_{3, \nu} & {\left[\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \not p_{3} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+K_{3} g^{\mu \nu}+R_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{2} p_{2}^{\mu} v_{A}^{\nu}+R_{3} v_{A}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)\right.} \\
& +\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(K_{4} p_{1}^{\mu}+K_{5} p_{2}^{\mu}\right)+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) K_{6} p_{1}^{\nu} \\
& \left.+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \psi_{A} u\left(p_{1}\right)\left(R_{4} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+R_{5} p_{2}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}\right)+R_{6}\left(\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\nu}+\bar{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(p_{1}\right) v_{A}^{\mu}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$


[Gehrmann, Peraro, Tancredi to appear soon]
tensors and projectors contain *at most* one occurrence of $\epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}$
$\gamma_{5}$ never appears in the tensor decomposition!
very natural to be applied in Larin-Scheme

## NATURAL SOLUTION FOR GAMMA5 IN LARIN'S SCHEME

## Example: One-loop singlet form factors

At one loop there is only 1 form factor effectively


$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}= & -\frac{16 i}{(d-2) s t(t+u)^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Bub}(s)\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u)+s\right)-\operatorname{Bub}\left(m^{2}\right)(s+t+u)\right] \\
R_{2} & =\frac{16 i}{(d-2) s u(t+u)^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Bub}(s)\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u)+s\right)-\operatorname{Bub}\left(m^{2}\right)(s+t+u)\right] \\
R_{3} & =\frac{16 i}{(d-2) s t(t+u)^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Bub}(s)\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u)+s\right)-\operatorname{Bub}\left(m^{2}\right)(s+t+u)\right] \\
R_{4} & =\frac{16 i}{(d-2) s t(t+u)^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Bub}(s)\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u)+s\right)-\operatorname{Bub}\left(m^{2}\right)(s+t+u)\right] \\
R_{5} & =\frac{16 i}{(d-2) s t(t+u)^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Bub}(s)\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u)+s\right)-\operatorname{Bub}\left(m^{2}\right)(s+t+u)\right] \\
R_{6} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## MASTER INTEGRALS: analitic complexriy



$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \mathscr{F}_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
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## MASTER INTEGRALS: anautic complexrir



$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \mathscr{J}_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$



Scattering amplitude has (poles and) branch cuts - encoded in master integrals!

## GEOMETRY AND FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

Iterated integrals on the Riemann Sphere ~ multiple polylogarithms

$$
G\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} ; x\right)=\int_{0}^{x} d t r\left(c_{1}, t\right) G\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k} ; t\right)
$$
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$\longrightarrow$ the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops numerically
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=+1.181241456 \ldots
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Iterated integrals on the Riemann Sphere $\sim$ multiple polylogarithms

$$
G\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} ; x\right)=\int_{0}^{x} d t r\left(c_{1}, t\right) G\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k} ; t\right)
$$

$\longrightarrow$ the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops numerically


$C_{1}=$ Amin
$C_{2}=$


$$
=\frac{197}{144}+\frac{1}{12} \pi^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \ln 2+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \quad[\text { Petermann, Sommerfield ' } 57]
$$

$C_{3}=$


$$
=\frac{1}{2} \quad[\text { Schwinger '48 }]
$$

$$
=\frac{83}{72} \pi^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{215}{24} \zeta(5)+\frac{100}{3}\left[\left(\operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\ln ^{4} 2}{24}\right)-\frac{\pi^{2} \ln ^{2} 2}{24}\right]
$$

$$
-\frac{239}{2160} \pi^{4}+\frac{139}{18} \zeta(3)-\frac{298}{9} \pi^{2} \ln 2+\frac{17101}{810} \pi^{2}+\frac{28259}{5184}
$$

## GEOMETRY AND FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

Iterated integrals on the Riemann Sphere $\sim$ multiple polylogarithms

$$
G\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} ; x\right)=\int_{0}^{x} d t r\left(c_{1}, t\right) G\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k} ; t\right)
$$

$\longrightarrow$ the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops nymerically


## $C_{1}=$ <br> 

$C_{2}=$

$C_{3}=$

[Kinoshita et al]

$$
=\frac{1}{2} \quad[\text { Schwinger ' } 4 \delta]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{197}{144}+\frac{1}{12} \pi^{2}-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \ln 2+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \quad \text { [Petermann, Sommerfield '57] } \\
& =\frac{83}{72} \pi^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{215}{24} \zeta(5)+\frac{100}{3}\left[\left(\operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\ln ^{4} 2}{24}\right)-\frac{\pi^{2} \ln ^{2} 2}{24}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\frac{239}{2160} \pi^{4}+\frac{139}{18} \zeta(3)-\frac{298}{9} \pi^{2} \ln 2+\frac{17101}{810} \pi^{2}+\frac{28259}{5184}
$$

## BEYOND GENUS 0

Riemann sphere too simple, Feynman integrals involve more interesting geometries
First non-trivial case with famous sunrise graph received a lot of attention in past decade


The sunrise integral


$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(3 m-\sqrt{s})(\sqrt{s}+m)^{3}}} \mathrm{~K}\left(\frac{16 m^{3} \sqrt{s}}{(3 m-\sqrt{s})(\sqrt{s}+m)^{3}}\right)
$$

## BEYOND GENUS O

Riemann sphere too simple, Feynman integrals involve more interesting geometries
First non-trivial case with famous sunrise graph received a lot of attention in past decade


The sunrise integral


$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(3 m-\sqrt{s})(\sqrt{s}+m)^{3}}} \mathrm{~K}\left(\frac{16 m^{3} \sqrt{s}}{(3 m-\sqrt{s})(\sqrt{s}+m)^{3}}\right)
$$

One dimensional surfaces of genus $1 \longrightarrow$ elliptic curves


$$
\mathcal{E}_{4}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{1} & \ldots & n_{k} \\
c_{1} & \ldots & c_{k}
\end{array} ; x, \vec{a}\right)=\int_{0}^{x} d t \Psi_{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}, t, \vec{a}\right) \mathcal{E}_{4}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{2} & \ldots & n_{k} \\
c_{2} & \ldots & c_{k}
\end{array} ; t, \vec{a}\right)
$$

[Brown, Levin '11; Adams, Weinzierl '13,'15; Broedel, Duhr, Dulat, Penante, Tancredi '17,'18,'19; Broedel, Mafra, Matthes, Schlotterer '15,'16]

## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Even genus 1 is not enough, even at two loops...

Examples of Higher genera
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Genus 3
[Georgoudis, Zhang '15]

Examples known up to genus 13

[Huang, Zhang '13]
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Even genus 1 is not enough, even at two loops...
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Examples known up to genus 13

[Huang, Zhang '13]
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It is somewhat simpler to generate higher dimensional objects
A Calabi-Yau surface can be thought as an elliptic curve in more dimensions

## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

It is somewhat simpler to generate higher dimensional objects
A Calabi-Yau surface can be thought as an elliptic curve in more dimensions

1-loop "banana graphs" generate ( $1-1$ )-fold CYs

[Primo, Tancredi '17], [Brödel, Duhr, Dulat, Marzucca, Penante, Tancredi '19][Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21]
[Pögel, Wang, Weinzierl '22] .... MANY OTHERS...


## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

1-loop "banana graphs" generate (1-1)-fold CYs
[Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21]


$$
\mathrm{d} \underline{J}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)=\mathbf{B}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon) \underline{J}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)+\underline{N}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)
$$

Solution obtained integrating $N_{r}$ over the inverse Wronskian
The "Wronskian"

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{W}_{l}(z):=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varpi_{l, 0}(z) & \varpi_{l, 1}(z) & \ldots & \varpi_{l, l-1}(z) \\
\partial_{z} \varpi_{l, 0}(z) & \partial_{z} \varpi_{l, 1}(z) & \ldots & \partial_{z} \varpi_{l, l-1}(z) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l, 0}(z) & \partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l, 1}(z) & \ldots & \partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l, l-1}(z)
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{W}_{r}(\underline{z})=\mathbf{B}_{0, r}(\underline{z}) \mathbf{W}_{r}(\underline{z})
\end{gathered}
$$

## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

1-loop "banana graphs" generate (1-1)-fold CYs
[Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21]


$$
\mathrm{d} \underline{J}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)=\mathbf{B}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon) \underline{J}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)+\underline{N}_{r}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)
$$

Solution obtained integrating $N_{r}$ over the inverse Wronskian


> "Periods" of the CY

Independent "ways" how you can move along the surface

$$
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{W}_{r}(\underline{z})=\mathbf{B}_{0, r}(\underline{z}) \mathbf{W}_{r}(\underline{z})
$$
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We can prove that @ 1 loops its finite part contains two equivalent copies of the banana graph and nothing else

21-1 master integrals $=2(1-1)+1$
$\operatorname{Cut}\left[I_{1, \ldots, 1 ; 0,0}(s ; 2)\right] \propto \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d z_{3}}{\left(z_{3}+m^{2} x\right)\left(z_{3}+\frac{m^{2}}{x}\right)} \operatorname{Cut}\left[\operatorname{Ban}^{(l-1)}\left(z_{3}\right)\right]$
based on [Primo, Tancredi '16,'17]
Two residues in $\mathrm{d}=2 \rightarrow$ two copies of the Banana graph evaluates at different points $s=m^{2} \frac{(1+x)^{2}}{x}$

## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

The Ice (cream) cone graphs @ 3 loops = 5 MIs

Relevant, for example, for 3 loop $g g \rightarrow H$ with massive quarks


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \underline{I}^{(3)}= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} 
\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2}{(1-x)(1+x)} & 0 & \frac{1+x^{2}}{x(1-x)(1+x)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3(1-x)}{x^{2}(1+9 x)} & -\frac{1+3 x}{x^{2}(1+x)(1+9 x)} & \frac{(1-3 x)(1+3 x)}{x(1+x)(1+9 x)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{3(1-x)}{x^{2}(9+x)} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3+x}{x(1+x)(9+x)} & -\frac{9+20 x+3 x^{2}}{x(1+x)(9+x)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \underline{I}^{(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Last integral not independent in $d=2$, can be chosen to be zero, it decouples

## HIGHER GENERA AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

The Ice (cream) cone graphs @ 3 loops = 5 MIs

Relevant, for example, for 3 loop $g g \rightarrow H$ with massive quarks


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \underline{I}^{(3)}= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2}{(1-x)(1+x)} & 0 & \frac{1+x^{2}}{x(1-x)(1+x)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3(1-x)}{x^{2}(1+9 x)} & -\frac{1+3 x}{x^{2}(1+x)(1+9 x)} & \frac{(1-3 x)(1+3 x)}{x(1+x)(1+9 x)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{3(1-x)}{x^{2}(9+x)} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3+x}{x(1+x)(9+x)}-\frac{9+20 x+3 x^{2}}{x(1+x)(9+x)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Last integral not independent in $\mathrm{d}=2$, can be chosen to be zero, it decouples [Remiddi, Tancredi '13]
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## CONCLUSIONS

A lot has been happening in multi-loop calculations
A LOT of beautiful results are (almost continuously) being released: $2 \rightarrow 3$ massless and now massive, recent first studies for massless $2 \rightarrow 4$, etc etc

I am truly sorry I did not review any of it :-)

I tried to show two developments I am involved with, to give a glimpse of some of the structures that appear in high precision calculations for the LCH

With a message for (mainly) young people: LHC physics requires messy calculations, we cannot avoid that, but there is a lot of "beauty" in pQFT, and it is a lot of fun to be looking for it, while "crunching numbers for cross sections"

## THANK YOU VERY MUCH!

