#### PROGRESS ON MULTILOOP CALCULATIONS (MAINLY TWO EXAMPLES OF SOME DEVELOPMENTS I FIND INTERESTING)

#### Tools for High Precision LHC Simulations Ringberg Castle – 1/11/2022

#### Lorenzo Tancredi – Technical University Munich





Technische Universität München

#### DISCLAIMER

Not trying to be a review, and therefore \*not\* complete in any way

Just giving an account of some problems I find interesting and that I have personally been working on in the past months

## FROM LAGRANGIANS TO CROSS-SECTIONS

From Lagrangian to Cross-Section it's a long way



#### FROM LAGRANGIANS TO CROSS-SECTIONS

From Lagrangian to Cross-Section it's a long way

# FROM LAGRANGIANS TO CROSS-SECTIONS

From Lagrangian to Cross-Section it's a long way



# FROM AMPLITUDES TO INTEGRALS

#### **Scattering Amplitudes**



$$\mathscr{A} = \epsilon_1^{\mu_1} \cdots \epsilon_n^{\mu_n} \, \bar{v}(q) \, \Gamma_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} \, u(p)$$

# FROM AMPLITUDES TO INTEGRALS

#### **Scattering Amplitudes**



(Scalar) Feynman Integrals

$$\mathscr{F} = \int \prod_{l=1}^{L} \frac{d^{D}k_{l}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{S_{1}^{b_{1}} \dots S_{m}^{b_{m}}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \dots D_{n}^{a_{n}}}$$

with 
$$S_i \in \{k_i \cdot k_j, \dots, k_i \cdot p_j\}$$

# FROM AMPLITUDES TO INTEGRALS

#### **Scattering Amplitudes**



(Scalar) Feynman Integrals

$$\mathscr{F} = \int \prod_{l=1}^{L} \frac{d^{D}k_{l}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{S_{1}^{b_{1}} \dots S_{m}^{b_{m}}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \dots D_{n}^{a_{n}}}$$

with  $S_i \in \{k_i \cdot k_j, \dots, k_i \cdot p_j\}$ 

IBPs, Finite fields etc differential equations Feynman parameters Numerical methods ... Some analytic or numerical result for the amplitudes

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . .

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \log \sim 10^7$  integrals!) Standard Approach: **divide et impera** 

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \log \sim 10^7$  integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera



Integration by parts identities  $\rightarrow$  master integrals

[Chetyrkin, Tkachov '81]

& many others: most recently finite fields, intersection theory etc

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . .

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \text{ loops} \sim 10^7 \text{ integrals!}$ ) Standard Approach: **divide et impera** 

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i(x_1,\ldots,x_r) \mathcal{I}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \log \sim 10^7$  integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera



processes:

**Algebraic Complexity** 

From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \text{ loops} \sim 10^7 \text{ integrals!}$ ) Standard Approach: divide et impera



From tensor reduction, huge number of scalar integrals ( $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \log \sim 10^7$  integrals!) Standard Approach: divide et impera



**Extremely successful strategy**: in the past 2 decades it has allowed us to overcome the **two-loop frontier** for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  processes, with increasing number of scales (and masses), and recently opened the way to  $2 \rightarrow 2$  **three loop calculations** 

I will not review all these developments, there are way too many :-)

#### **DECOMPOSITION INTO SCALAR INTEGRALS**



 $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mathcal{I}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ 

#### **DECOMPOSITION INTO SCALAR INTEGRALS**



$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i(x_1,\ldots,x_r) \mathscr{F}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$



**First step:** Strip it of Lorentz and Dirac structures

$$\sim \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2 (k - p_2)^2 (k - p_2 - p_3)^2 (k - p_1 - p_2 - p_3)^2} =$$

**Scalar Feynman Integrals** are what we know how to compute

#### **TENSOR DECOMPOSITION**

Projector-Form Factors method in a nutshell

- 1. Pick your favourite process, for example  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Zg$
- 2. Use Lorentz + gauge + any symmetry (parity, Bose etc...) to find minimal set of tensor structures in d space-time dimensions:

$$\mathscr{A} = \sum_{j} F_{j} T_{j}$$

3. Derive **projectors operators** to single out corresponding form factors:  $\mathscr{P}_j \mathscr{A} = F_j$ 

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{pol} T_i^{\dagger} T_j \qquad \mathscr{P}_j = \sum_k \left( M^{-1} \right)_{jk} T_k^{\dagger}$$

4. Apply these projectors on Feynman diagrams repr of the scattering amplitude

### **TENSOR DECOMPOSITION:** pros and cons

Problems in d-dimensions

Powerful and very general method

Often used in CDR, can become intractable for complicated problems due to evanescent structures in d=4

#### **TENSOR DECOMPOSITION:** PROS AND CONS

#### Problems in d-dimensions

Powerful and very general method

Often used in **CDR**, can become intractable for complicated problems due to **evanescent structures in d=4** 

Typical case 4 quark scattering  $q(p_2) + \bar{q}(p_1) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4)$ 

 $D_i \sim \bar{u}(p_1) \Gamma^{\mu_1,...,\mu_n} u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3) \Gamma_{\mu_1,...,\mu_n} u(p_4)$ 

Infinite number of tensor structures in *d* dimensions

## **TENSOR DECOMPOSITION:** pros and cons

#### Problems in d-dimensions

Powerful and very general method

Often used in **CDR**, can become intractable for complicated problems due to **evanescent structures in d=4** 

Typical case 4 quark scattering  $q(p_2) + \bar{q}(p_1) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4)$ 

$$D_i \sim \bar{u}(p_1)\Gamma^{\mu_1,...,\mu_n} u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3)\Gamma_{\mu_1,...,\mu_n} u(p_4)$$
  
Infinite number of tensor structures in *d* dimensions

up to 2 loops!

- $\mathcal{D}_1 = \bar{u}(p_1)\gamma_{\mu_1}u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3)\gamma_{\mu_1}u(p_4),$
- $\mathcal{D}_2 = \bar{u}(p_1) \not p_3 u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3) \not p_1 u(p_4),$
- $\mathcal{D}_3 = \bar{u}(p_1)\gamma_{\mu_1}\gamma_{\mu_2}\gamma_{\mu_3}u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3)\gamma_{\mu_1}\gamma_{\mu_2}\gamma_{\mu_3}u(p_4),$
- $\mathcal{D}_5 = \bar{u}(p_1)\gamma_{\mu_1}\gamma_{\mu_2}\gamma_{\mu_3}\gamma_{\mu_4}\gamma_{\mu_5}u(p_2) \ \bar{u}(p_3)\gamma_{\mu_1}\gamma_{\mu_2}\gamma_{\mu_3}\gamma_{\mu_4}\gamma_{\mu_5}u(p_4),$

#### **TENSOR DECOMPOSITION:** UPGRADE IN THV

Improvements in d=4 [Peraro, Tancredi '19,'20]

Only two of these structures are linearly independent if external states are in d = 4

 $q(p_2) + \bar{q}(p_1) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4)$ 

 $\mathcal{D}_{1} = \bar{u}(p_{1})\gamma_{\mu_{1}}u(p_{2}) \ \bar{u}(p_{3})\gamma_{\mu_{1}}u(p_{4}),$  $\mathcal{D}_{2} = \bar{u}(p_{1})\not p_{3}u(p_{2}) \ \bar{u}(p_{3})\not p_{1}u(p_{4}),$ 

They are enough to obtain full result in 't Hooft-Veltman scheme

They are also enough for the finite remainder in CDR!

Use to complete  $pp \rightarrow pp$  @ 3 loops [Caola, Chakraborty, Gambuti, Manteuffel, Tancredi '21,'22]

Let's see how this works for chiral theories (new & unpublished)

Consider the production of a Z-boson and a jet in quark-antiquark annihilation

 $q(p_1)+\bar{q}(p_2)\rightarrow g(p_3)+Z(p_4)$ 

Let's see how this works for chiral theories (new & unpublished)

Consider the production of a Z-boson and a jet in quark-antiquark annihilation

$$q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \to g(p_3) + Z(p_4)$$

Status:

Pheno @ NNLO including only vector-like couplings of singlet type

Amplitudes [Garland, Gerhmann et al '02] Pheno [Gehrmann-De Ridder et al '17, '18] etc etc





One issue for **axial couplings** is **evanescent structures in chiral tensor** 



One issue for **axial couplings** is **evanescent structures in chiral tensor** 

Our method: only **independent tensors in** d = 4 are relevant, we can span it with a basis of vectors in d = 4:  $p_1^{\mu}$ ,  $p_2^{\mu}$ ,  $p_3^{\mu}$ , plus the fourth **parity-odd one** 

$$\epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu}p_{1}^{\nu}p_{2}^{\rho}p_{3}^{\sigma} = \epsilon^{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}\mu} = v_{A}^{\mu}$$

With these, a possible basis can be written as: (could be **further optimised** for singlet contributions)  $\begin{aligned} A_{AV} &= \epsilon_{4,\mu} \epsilon_{3,\nu} A_{AV}^{\mu,\nu} \\ &= \epsilon_{4,\mu} \epsilon_{3,\nu} \left[ \bar{u}(p_2) \not{p}_3 u(p_1) \left( K_1 p_1^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} + K_2 p_2^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} + K_3 g^{\mu\nu} + R_1 p_1^{\mu} v_A^{\nu} + R_2 p_2^{\mu} v_A^{\nu} + R_3 v_A^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} \right) \\ &+ \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^{\nu} u(p_1) \left( K_4 p_1^{\mu} + K_5 p_2^{\mu} \right) + \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^{\mu} u(p_1) K_6 p_1^{\nu} \\ &+ \bar{u}(p_2) \not{p}_A u(p_1) \left( R_4 p_1^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} + R_5 p_2^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} \right) + R_6 \left( \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^{\mu} u(p_1) v_A^{\nu} + \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^{\nu} u(p_1) v_A^{\mu} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$ 

[Gehrmann, Peraro, Tancredi to appear soon]

The counting is straightforward:

- ▶ 2 helicities for the  $q\bar{q}$  line (massless)
- ► 2 helicities for the (physical) gluon
- ► 3 helicities for the (physical) Z boson

Gives a total of = 12 helicity amplitudes

matched by the number of tensors and form factors

Note that manipulations are done in tHV / Larin scheme

$$p_i \cdot v_A = 0$$
,  $v_A \cdot v_A = \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 \mu} \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 \mu} = \frac{d-3}{4} s_{12} s_{13} s_{23}$ 

[Gehrmann, Peraro, Tancredi to appear soon]

# NATURAL SOLUTION FOR GAMMA5 IN LARIN'S SCHEME

tensors and projectors contain **\*at most\* one occurrence of**  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  $\gamma_5$  **never appears** in the tensor decomposition! very natural to be applied in **Larin-Scheme** 

#### NATURAL SOLUTION FOR GAMMA5 IN LARIN'S SCHEME

. . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Example: One-loop singlet form factors

At one loop *there is only 1 form factor* effectively



$$R_{1} = -\frac{16i}{(d-2)st(t+u)^{2}} \left[ \operatorname{Bub}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u) + s \right) - \operatorname{Bub}(m^{2})(s+t+u) \right]$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{16i}{(d-2)su(t+u)^{2}} \left[ \operatorname{Bub}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u) + s \right) - \operatorname{Bub}(m^{2})(s+t+u) \right]$$

$$R_{3} = \frac{16i}{(d-2)st(t+u)^{2}} \left[ \operatorname{Bub}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u) + s \right) - \operatorname{Bub}(m^{2})(s+t+u) \right]$$

$$R_{4} = \frac{16i}{(d-2)st(t+u)^{2}} \left[ \operatorname{Bub}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u) + s \right) - \operatorname{Bub}(m^{2})(s+t+u) \right]$$

$$R_{5} = \frac{16i}{(d-2)st(t+u)^{2}} \left[ \operatorname{Bub}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(t+u) + s \right) - \operatorname{Bub}(m^{2})(s+t+u) \right]$$

$$R_{6} = 0$$

#### MASTER INTEGRALS: ANALYTIC COMPLEXITY



 $=\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i(x_1,\ldots,x_r) \mathscr{I}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ 

#### MASTER INTEGRALS: ANALYTIC COMPLEXITY



Scattering amplitude has (poles and) branch cuts — encoded in master integrals!

Iterated integrals on the **Riemann Sphere** ~ multiple polylogarithms

$$G(c_1, ..., c_k; x) = \int_0^x dt \ r(c_1, t) G(c_2, ..., c_k; t)$$



Iterated integrals on the **Riemann Sphere** ~ multiple polylogarithms

$$G(c_1, ..., c_k; x) = \int_0^x dt \ r(c_1, t) G(c_2, ..., c_k; t)$$

 $\longrightarrow$  the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops numerically





Iterated integrals on the **Riemann Sphere** ~ multiple polylogarithms

$$G(c_1, ..., c_k; x) = \int_0^x dt \ r(c_1, t) G(c_2, ..., c_k; t)$$



 $\rightarrow$  the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops numerically

[Kinoshita et al]

$$C_{1} = \bigwedge = \frac{1}{2} \quad [\text{Schwinger '48}]$$

$$C_{2} = \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge = \frac{197}{144} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}\ln 2 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta(3) \quad [\text{Petermann, Sommerfield '57}]$$

$$C_{3} = \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge = \frac{83}{72}\pi^{2}\zeta(3) - \frac{215}{24}\zeta(5) + \frac{100}{3}\left[\left(\text{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\ln^{4}2}{24}\right) - \frac{\pi^{2}\ln^{2}2}{24}\right] - \frac{239}{2160}\pi^{4} + \frac{139}{18}\zeta(3) - \frac{298}{9}\pi^{2}\ln 2 + \frac{17101}{810}\pi^{2} + \frac{28259}{5184}$$

[Laporta, Remiddi '97]

28259

5184

Iterated integrals on the **Riemann Sphere** ~ multiple polylogarithms

$$G(c_1, ..., c_k; x) = \int_0^x dt \ r(c_1, t) G(c_2, ..., c_k; t)$$

 $\rightarrow$  the famous g-2 calculation, by now known to 5 loops numerically

[Kinoshita et al]

$$= \frac{197}{144} + \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \ln 2 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta(3) \quad \text{[Petermann, Sommerfield '57]}$$
$$= \frac{83}{72}\pi^2\zeta(3) - \frac{215}{24}\zeta(5) + \frac{100}{3}\left[\left(\text{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\ln^4 2}{24}\right) - \frac{\pi^2\ln^2 2}{24}\right]$$

[Laporta, Remiddi '97]

#### **BEYOND GENUS 0**

Riemann sphere too simple, Feynman integrals involve more interesting geometries

First non-trivial case with famous sunrise graph received a lot of attention in past decade



#### **BEYOND GENUS 0**

Riemann sphere too simple, Feynman integrals involve more interesting geometries

First non-trivial case with famous sunrise graph received a lot of attention in past decade



One dimensional surfaces of genus  $1 \longrightarrow \text{elliptic curves}$ 



$$\mathcal{E}_4(\begin{smallmatrix} n_1 & \dots & n_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{smallmatrix}; x, \vec{a}) = \int_0^x dt \, \Psi_{n_1}(c_1, t, \vec{a}) \, \mathcal{E}_4(\begin{smallmatrix} n_2 & \dots & n_k \\ c_2 & \dots & c_k \end{smallmatrix}; t, \vec{a})$$

[Brown, Levin '11; Adams, Weinzierl '13,'15; Broedel, Duhr, Dulat, Penante, Tancredi '17,'18,'19; Broedel, Mafra, Matthes, Schlotterer '15,'16]

Even genus 1 is not enough, even at two loops...



Even genus 1 is not enough, even at two loops...



Examples known up to genus 13



[Huang, Zhang '13]

Even genus 1 is not enough, even at two loops...



It is somewhat simpler to generate higher dimensional objects

A Calabi-Yau surface can be thought as an elliptic curve in more dimensions

It is somewhat simpler to generate higher dimensional objects

A Calabi-Yau surface can be thought as an elliptic curve in more dimensions

l-loop "banana graphs" generate (l-1)-fold CYs



[Primo, Tancredi '17], [Brödel, Duhr, Dulat, Marzucca, Penante, Tancredi '19][Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21] [Pögel, Wang, Weinzierl '22] .... MANY OTHERS...



l-loop "banana graphs" generate (l-1)-fold CYs

[Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21]





$$d\underline{J}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) = \mathbf{B}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) \underline{J}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) + \underline{N}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon)$$

Solution obtained integrating  $N_r$  over the inverse Wronskian

The "Wronskian"

$$\mathbf{W}_{l}(z) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_{l,0}(z) & \varpi_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \varpi_{l,l-1}(z) \\ \partial_{z} \varpi_{l,0}(z) & \partial_{z} \varpi_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \partial_{z} \varpi_{l,l-1}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l,0}(z) & \partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \partial_{z}^{l-1} \varpi_{l,l-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{W}_r(\underline{z}) = \mathbf{B}_{0,r}(\underline{z}) \,\mathbf{W}_r(\underline{z})$ 

l-loop "banana graphs" generate (l-1)-fold CYs

[Bönisch, Duhr, Fischbach, Klemm, Nega '21]



$$d\underline{J}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) = \mathbf{B}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) \underline{J}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon) + \underline{N}_r(\underline{z};\epsilon)$$

Solution obtained integrating  $N_r$  over the inverse Wronskian

$$(2) \qquad \forall \forall 1 (2) \qquad \forall \forall 1 (2)$$

MIN.

$$\mathbf{W}_{l}(z) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,0}(z) & \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,l-1}(z) \\ \partial_{z}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,0}(z) & \partial_{z}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \partial_{z}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,l-1}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{z}^{l-1}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,0}(z) & \partial_{z}^{l-1}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,1}(z) & \dots & \partial_{z}^{l-1}\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{l,l-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

"Periods" of the CY

Independent "ways" how you can move along the surface

 $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{W}_r(\underline{z}) = \mathbf{B}_{0,r}(\underline{z}) \,\mathbf{W}_r(\underline{z})$ 

A curious generalisation The Ice (cream) cone graphs

[Duhr, Klemm, Nega, Tancredi, to appear soon]



A curious generalisation The Ice (cream) cone graphs

[Duhr, Klemm, Nega, Tancredi, to appear soon]



We can prove that @ l loops its finite part contains two equivalent copies of the banana graph and nothing else

**2l-1** master integrals = 2(l-1) + 1

Cut 
$$[I_{1,...,1;0,0}(s;2)] \propto \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz_3}{(z_3+m^2x)\left(z_3+\frac{m^2}{x}\right)}$$
Cut  $\left[\operatorname{Ban}^{(l-1)}(z_3)\right]$ 

based on [Primo, Tancredi '16,'17]

Two residues in d=2  $\rightarrow$  two copies of the Banana graph evaluates at different points  $s = m^2 \frac{(1+x)^2}{2}$ 



Last integral not independent in d=2, can be chosen to be zero, it decouples

[Remiddi, Tancredi '13]



Last integral not independent in d=2, can be chosen to be zero, it decouples [Remiddi, Tancredi '13]

#### CONCLUSIONS

A lot has been happening in multi-loop calculations

A LOT of beautiful results are (almost continuously) being released:  $2 \rightarrow 3$  massless and now massive, recent first studies for massless  $2 \rightarrow 4$ , etc etc

#### CONCLUSIONS

A lot has been happening in multi-loop calculations

```
A LOT of beautiful results are (almost continuously) being released: 2 \rightarrow 3 massless and now massive, recent first studies for massless 2 \rightarrow 4, etc etc
```

I am truly sorry I did not review any of it :-)

#### CONCLUSIONS

A lot has been happening in multi-loop calculations

A LOT of beautiful results are (almost continuously) being released:  $2 \rightarrow 3$  massless and now massive, recent first studies for massless  $2 \rightarrow 4$ , etc etc

I am truly sorry I did not review any of it :-)

I tried to show two developments I am involved with, to give a glimpse of some of **the structures** that appear in high precision calculations for the LCH

With a message for (mainly) young people: LHC physics requires messy calculations, *we cannot avoid that*, but there is **a lot of "beauty"** in pQFT, and it is a lot of fun to be looking for it, while *"crunching numbers for cross sections"* 

#### THANK YOU VERY MUCH!