

Soft and Next-to-soft Resummation for processes at the LHC

Aparna Sankar

Workshop on Tools for High Precision LHC Simulations

Castle Ringberg | November 3, 2022



Incusive cross-section – QCD Improved Parton Model



Drell-Yan (DY) / Higgs boson production in Hadron collisions

$$\sigma(q^2, \tau) = \sigma_0(\mu_R^2) \int \frac{dz}{z} \Phi_{ab} \left(\frac{\tau}{z}, \mu_F^2\right) \Delta_{ab}(q^2, \mu_F^2, z) \xrightarrow{\text{Partonic Coeff. function}}$$

iable perturbative

- au Hadronic scaling variable
- q^2 Invariant mass sq
 - *z* Partonic scaling variable

 μ_R^2 Renormalisation scale

 μ_F^2 Factorisation scale

Hadronic cm energy squared

> Partonic cm energy squared

Partonic flux

$$\Phi_{ab}(\mu_F^2, z) = \int \frac{dy}{y} f_a(y, \mu_F^2) f_b\left(\frac{z}{y}, \mu_F^2\right)$$

Parton distribution functions (PDFs - non-perturbative)

Threshold Expansion (z->1) of the partonic CF

Soft-virtual (SV) $\Delta_{ab}(q^2, \mu_F^2, z) = \delta_{ab} \Delta_{a\overline{a}}^{SV}(q^2, \mu_F^2, z) + \Delta_{ab}^{reg}(q^2, \mu_F^2, z).$ Power series expansion

$$\Delta_{ab}^{J}(q^{2}, \mu_{F}^{2}, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{s}^{i}(\mu_{R}^{2}) \Delta_{ab}^{J,(i)}(q^{2}, \mu_{R}^{2}, \mu_{F}^{2}, z) \qquad \text{Plus-distribution} \\ \mathcal{D}_{k}(z) = \left(\frac{\ln^{k}(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_{+} \\ \Delta_{ab}^{SV,(i)}(z) = \delta_{ab} \left(\Delta_{a\overline{a},\delta} \ \delta(1-z) + \sum_{i=0}^{2i-1} \Delta_{a\overline{a},\mathscr{D}_{k}}^{(i)} \mathcal{D}_{k}(z)\right)$$

comprises pure virtual contributions and leading threshold contributions from diagonal partonic channels with at least one emission of onshell parton.

Plus distribution + is defined by its action on test function f(z)

k=0

$$\int_0^1 dz \mathcal{D}_j(z) f(z) \equiv \int_0^1 dz \frac{\ln^j (1-z)}{1-z} \left[f(z) - f(1) \right]$$

Threshold logarithms

$$\mathcal{D}_i(z) = \left(\frac{\ln^i(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_+$$

Linked to soft & collinear divergences

Universal process-independent form through certain IR anomalous dimensions

Dominate in the threshold region, namely z->1
 These large logarithms spoil the reliability of the fixed-order pertutrbative series

Resolution: Thresold resummation [known since 1989, sterman, catani, trentedue]



$$+\frac{3}{2}\frac{C_{\rm F}}{\pi}\int_0^1 {\rm d}x\,\frac{x^{N-1}-1}{1-x}\,\alpha_{\rm s}\big((1-x)Q^2\big)\bigg)$$

+ $O(\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln N)^n)$.

Let us take a look at the regular part

$$\Delta_{ab}^{reg,(i)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{ab,l,k}^{reg,(i)} (1-z)^l \ln^k (1-z)$$

Setting I=0 in the above eqn, we obtain the Next-to-soft-virtaul (NSV) terms



$$\Delta_{c}^{\text{SV+NSV,i}}(z,q^{2}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} c_{ik}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{k} + c_{i}^{\delta} \delta(1-z) + \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} c_{ik}^{\mathcal{L}} \log^{k}(1-z)$$

$$\mathscr{P}_{k}(z) = \left(\frac{\ln^{k}(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_{+}$$
Plus-distribution
Soft-virtual [SV] corrections
Leading power (LP) logarithms
Most Singular when $z \rightarrow 1$
Corrections from diagonal
Channels
Resummation to N3LL accuracy
Well-understood
Next-to LP (NLP) logarithms
diagonal & off-diagonal
Resummation to LL accuracy
Not much studied

Why Next-to-SV (NSV)?

Higgs production in the gluon fusion | N3LO

Charalampos Anastasiou^{*a*}, Claude Duhr^{*b*}, Falko Dulat^{*a*}, Elisabetta Furlan^{*c*}, Thomas Gehrmann^{*d*}, Franz Herzog^{*e*}, Bernhard Mistlberger^{*a*}

$$\begin{split} \eta_{gg}^{(3)}(z)_{|(1-z)^0} &= -256 \log^5(1-z) & (\to 115.33\%) \\ &+ 959 \log^4(1-z) & (\to 101.07\%) \\ &+ 1254.029198 \dots \log^3(1-z) & (\to -32.15\%) \\ &- 11089.328274 \dots \log^2(1-z) & (\to -89.41\%) \\ &+ 15738.441212 \dots \log(1-z) & (\to -55.50\%) \\ &- 5872.588877 \dots & (\to -14.31\%) \end{split}$$

The total SV contribution in z-space -> -2.25 % of the Born

The total NSV contribution in z-space -> 25 % of the Born !

The total SV contribution in Mellin N-space (conjugate space) -> 18 % of the Born

The total NSV contribution in N-space -> 11 % of the Born !

$M\left[\eta_{gg}^{(3)}\right](N) \simeq 36 \log^6 N$	(ightarrow 0.0013%)
$+ \ 170.679 \ldots \log^5 N$	$(\rightarrow 0.0226\%)$
$+744.849\ldots \log^4 N$	$(\rightarrow 0.2570\%)$
$+$ 1405.185 $\log^3 N$	$(\rightarrow 1.0707\%)$
$+ 2676.129 \dots \log^2 N$	$(\rightarrow 4.0200\%)$
$+$ 1897.141 $\log N$	$(\rightarrow 5.1293\%)$
+ 1783.692	(ightarrow 8.0336%)
$+ 108 \frac{\log^5 N}{N}$	(ightarrow 0.0105%)
$+ 615.696 \dots \frac{\log^4 N}{N}$	$(\rightarrow 0.1418\%)$
$+\ 2036.407\dots \frac{\log^3 N}{N}$	$(\rightarrow 0.9718\%)$
$+ 3305.246 \dots \frac{\log^2 N}{N}$	$(\rightarrow 2.9487\%)$
$+ 3459.105 \dots \frac{\log N}{N}$	$(\rightarrow 5.2933\%)$
$+ 703.037 \dots \frac{1}{N}$	$(\rightarrow 1.7137\%).$

Approximate four-loop QCD corrections to the Higgs-boson production cross section

Phys.Lett.B 807 (2020) 135546

G. Das^{**a*}, S. Moch[†] and A. Vogt[‡]



sizeable contribution from terms beyond SV (the NSV terms) due to the large coefficients

Understanding the NSV sector is important because:

- Numerically sizeable
- Provide check of higher-order corrections
- Help to reduce the scale uncertainites
- Stabilize automated fixed-order calculations

These NSV logarithms give rise to large logarithmic contributions in the threshold limit : spolis the perturbativity of the FO series

Resolution : Find a way to resum these NSV logarithms beyond LL accuracy

Previous Works

The earliest evidence that IR effects can be studied at NLP [Low, Burnett, Kroll]

Early attempts : [Kraemer, Laenen, Spira (98)] [Akhoury, Sotiropoulos & Sterman (98)]

Important Results & Predictions using Physical Kernel Approach & explicit computation: [Moch , Vogt et al. (09-20)] [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat et al.(14)]

Universality of NLP effects and LL Resummation: [Laenen, Magnea, et al. (08-19)] [Grunberg & Ravindran (09)] [Ball, Bonvini, Forte, Marzani, Ridolfi (13)] [Del Duca et al. (17)]

Subleading Factorisation and LL Resummation at NLP using SCET: [Larkoski, Nelli , Stewart et al. (14)] [Kolodrubetz, Moult, Neill ,Stewart et al. (17)] [Beneke et al. (19-20)] A

And many other works...

Some of the recent works

Factorisation and RG invariance approach to study NSV resummation effects [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, Phys. Rev. D 105, 094035, Phys. Rev. D 105, L091503, (2020)]

On next to soft threshold corrections to DIS and SIA processes [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, JHEP 04 (2021) 131]

Next-to SV resummed Drell-Yan cross section beyond Leading-logarithm [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 234, (2021)]

Resummed Higgs boson cross section at next-to SV to NNLO + NNLL [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 774, (2021)]

Next-to-soft corrections for Drell-Yan and Higgs boson rapidity distributions beyond N3LO [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) L11502, (2021)]

Next-to SV resummed rapidity distribution for Drell-Yan to NNLO + NNLL [Ajjath, Pooja, Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, Phys. Rev. D 106, 034005, (2022)]

Resummed next-to-soft corrections to rapidity distribution of Higgs Boson to NNLO + NNLL [Ravindran, A.S, S.Tiwari, arXiv:2205.11560 [hep-ph], (2022)]

The Approach

Works for 2-> 'n' colourless Final states

Considered only diagonal channels :





- * Collinear Factorisation
- ☆ Renormalisation Group (RG) Invariance
- Logarithmic structure of higher order perturbative results

The Formalism





Finite mass-factorised SV+NSV partonic coefficient function for the diagonal channels:



The Building blocks



Altarelli-Parisi kernels

Required to remove the initial state collinear singularities AP kernels which satisfy renormalisation group equations

[Moch,Vogt,Vermaseren]



We consider only diagonal parts of splitting functions

Building blocks- Summary

$$\Delta_{c\bar{c}}(z,\epsilon,q^2\mu_R^2,\mu_F^2) = \left(\Gamma^T\right)^{-1} \otimes \left\{ \left(Z_{c,UV}\right)^2 |\hat{F}_c(Q^2,\epsilon)|^2 S_c(q^2,z,\epsilon) \right\} \otimes \left(\Gamma\right)^{-1}$$

Building blocks

- $Z_{c,UV}$ Renormalisation const
 - \hat{F}_{c} Form Factor (FF)
 - Γ_c AP Kernels
 - \mathcal{S}_c Soft-collinear function



How to obtain the soft-collinear function S_c ?

Guiding factors to obtain the soft-collinear function S_c

- Finiteness of the partonic coefficient function Δ_c
 - Sudakov differential eqn of FFs (K+G eqn)
- RGE and AP evolution eqn of the splitting kernels

Soft-collinear function also satisfies a K+G type differential eqn

$$q^{2} \frac{d}{dq^{2}} S_{c} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\overline{K}_{c} \Big(\hat{a}_{s}, \frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \epsilon, z \Big) + \overline{G}_{c} \Big(\hat{a}_{s}, \frac{q^{2}}{\mu_{R}^{2}}, \frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \epsilon, z \Big) \Big] \otimes S_{c}$$
IR singular
IR finite

Soft-collinear contributions exhibits exponential behaviour:

$$\mathcal{S}_c = \mathcal{C} \exp\left(2\Phi_c\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \exp\left(2\Phi_c(z)\right) &= \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{c\overline{c}}(z)}{Z_{c,UV}^2 |\hat{F}_c|^2}, \qquad c = q, b, g \\ \text{No pure virtual , Only Real-Virtual} \\ \text{(RV), Real-Real (RR) etc} \end{aligned}$$

$$Ce^{f(z)} = \delta(1-z) + \frac{1}{1!}f(z) + \frac{1}{2!}(f \otimes f)(z) + \cdots$$

Solution for the exponent Φ_c

Alreday known : [Ravindran (`05,`06), j.nuclphysb.2006.06.025]

$$\Phi_{c}(\hat{a}_{s},q^{2},\mu^{2},\epsilon,z) = \sum_{i} \hat{a}_{s}^{i} \left(\frac{q^{2}(1-z)^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} S_{\epsilon}^{i} \left(\frac{i\epsilon}{1-z}\right) \left[\hat{\phi}_{SV}^{c,(i)}(\epsilon) + (1-z) \hat{\phi}_{NSV}^{c,(i)}(z,\epsilon)\right]$$
Phase-space factor From matrix elements
Inspired from explicit results
Solution verified up to 3rd order
Expanding the ansatz:
$$A^{c}, f^{c}, \overline{\mathscr{G}}^{c}$$
Singularities get canceled against
those in FF entirely and AP kernels
$$\frac{1}{(1-z)} \left[(1-z)^{2}\right]^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} = \frac{\delta(1-z)}{i\epsilon} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[i\epsilon\right]^{k} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k}}{k!}$$
Contributes to SV
$$\left[(1-z)^{2}\right]^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[i\epsilon \log(1-z)\right]^{n}}{n!}$$
Contributes to NSV

SV+NSV in Nutshell



The All-order Formula | The Master Formula

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{c}(q^{2},\mu_{R}^{2},\mu_{F}^{2},z) &= C \exp \bigg(\Psi^{c} \big(q^{2},\mu_{R}^{2},\mu_{F}^{2},z,\varepsilon \big) \bigg) \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ \Psi_{c} &= \left(\ln \bigg(Z_{c,UV} \big(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2},\mu_{R}^{2},\epsilon \big) \bigg)^{2} + \ln \big| \hat{F}_{c} \big(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2},q^{2},\epsilon \big) \big|^{2} \bigg) \delta \big(1-z\big) \\ &+ 2 \Phi_{c} \big(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2},q^{2},z,\epsilon \big) - 2 \mathcal{C} \ln \Gamma_{cc} \big(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2},\mu_{F}^{2},z,\epsilon \big) \end{split}$$

The Predictions to all orders (z-space)

GIVEN				PREDICTIONS		
$\Psi_c^{(1)}$	$\Psi_c^{(2)}$	$\Psi_c^{(3)}$	$\Psi_c^{(n)}$	$\Delta_c^{(2)}$	$\Delta_c^{(3)}$	$\Delta_c^{(i)}$
$\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \delta$				$\mathcal{D}_3,\mathcal{D}_2$	$\mathcal{D}_5, \mathcal{D}_4$	$\mathcal{D}_{(2i-1)}, \mathcal{D}_{(2i-2)}$
L^1_z, L^0_z				L_z^3	L_z^5	$L_z^{(2i-1)}$
	$\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \delta$				$\mathcal{D}_3,\mathcal{D}_2$	$\mathcal{D}_{(2i-3)},\mathcal{D}_{(2i-4)}$
	L^2_z, L^1_z, L^0_z				L_z^4	$L_z^{(2i-2)}$
		$\mathcal{D}_0,\mathcal{D}_1,\delta$				$\mathcal{D}_{(2i-5)}, \mathcal{D}_{(2i-6)}$
		L_z^3, \cdots, L_z^0				$L_z^{(2i-3)}$
			$\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \delta$			$\mathcal{D}_{(2i-(2n-1))}, \mathcal{D}_{(2i-2n)}$
			L_z^n, \cdots, L_z^0			$L_z^{(2i-n)}$

$$\mathcal{D}_j \equiv \left(\frac{\ln^j(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_+$$
$$L_z^i = \ln^i(1-z)$$
$$\delta = \delta(1-z)$$

NSV predictions Checked up to 4th order For DIS, DY, ggH, bBH [Moch, Vogt et.al], [De Florian et al.], [Das et al.] But there are certain logarithms which we cannot predict completely from previous order information.

For instance : $log^{3}(1 - z)$ coefficient at the 3rd order.

Even though 2-loop cannot predict completely, but we find predictions for many color factors agree with known exact results using 2-loop.

	<i>gg</i> –	$\rightarrow H$		Drell-Ya	an (DY)	
C_A^3 $C_A^2 n_f$ $C_A n_f^2$	$ \begin{array}{r} \frac{-111008}{27} + \\ 3584\zeta_2 \\ \\ \frac{6560}{9} \\ \frac{-256}{27} \end{array} $	$\frac{\frac{-110656}{27} +}{3584\zeta_2 +} \\ \chi_1 \\ \frac{19616}{27} + \chi_2 \\ \frac{-256}{27}$	C_F^3 $C_F^2 n_f$ $C_A C_F^2$	$2272 + 3072\zeta_2$ $\frac{19456}{27}$ $\frac{-111904}{27} + $	$2272 + 3072\zeta_2$ $\frac{6464}{9} + \chi_3$ $\frac{-37184}{9} + $	In general, using $as^{(n-1)}$ info: $\log^k(1-z), n+1 \le k \le 2n-1$ at order as^n
			$C_F n_f^2$ $C_A C_F n_f$ $C_A^2 C_F$	$512\zeta_{2}$ $\frac{-256}{27}$ $\frac{2816}{27}$ $\frac{-7744}{27}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 512\zeta_2 + \chi_4 \\ $	[Anastasiou et al.] [Duhr et al.]

The left column stands for the exact result and the right for the predictions using two loop.

Integral representation of CF in z-space

Knowing the functional form of each building blocks one can derive the integral form as:

 $\Delta_c(q^2, z) = C_0^c(q^2) \quad \mathcal{C} \exp\left(2\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}^c(q^2, z)\right),$

Exponent:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}^{c}(q^{2},z) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mu_{F}^{2}}^{q^{2}(1-z)^{2}} \frac{d\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} P_{cc}^{\prime}(a_{s}(\lambda^{2}),z) + \mathcal{Q}^{c}(a_{s}(q^{2}(1-z)^{2}),z) \\ \\ \mathbf{Finite \ contributions \ from \\ cancellation \ between \ \Gamma_{cc} \ \& \ \mathbf{S}_{c} \\ P_{cc}^{\prime} &= 2 \Big[A^{c} \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) + C^{c} \ln(1-z) + D^{c} \Big] \\ \mathcal{Q}^{c}(a_{s}(q^{2}(1-z)^{2}),z) &= \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \overline{G}_{SV}^{c}(a_{s}(q^{2}(1-z)^{2})) \right)_{+} + \varphi_{f,c}(a_{s}(q^{2}(1-z)^{2}),z). \end{split}$$

Finite contribution coming from S

In the Mellin N space

Mellin moment of CFs

$$\Delta_N^c = \int_0^1 dz \ z^{N-1} \Delta_c(z)$$

- Threshold limit $z \to 1$ in z-Space translates to $N \to \infty$ in N-Space

 $\blacksquare \quad N \to \infty \qquad {\rm Taking\ into\ account\ SV\ and\ NSV\ terms}$

$$\left(\frac{\log(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_{+} = \frac{\log^2 N}{2} - \frac{\log N}{2N} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$
$$\log^k(1-z) = \frac{\log^k N}{N} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

Tower of NSV logarithms

Structure of Next to SV terms

$$\Delta_N^c = 1 + a_s \left[c_1^2 \log^2 N + c_1^1 \log N + c_1^0 + d_1^1 \frac{\log N}{N} + \mathcal{O}(1/N) \right] + a_s^2 \left[c_2^4 \log^4 N + \dots + c_2^0 + d_2^3 \frac{\log^3 N}{N} + \dots + \mathcal{O}(1/N) \right] + \dots + a_s^n \left[c_n^{2n} \log^{2n} N + \dots + d_n^{2n-1} \frac{\log^{2n-1} N}{N} + \dots + \mathcal{O}(1/N) \right]$$

SV+NSV Resummation Formula

Inclusion of the NSV logarithms modifies the existing resummed expression as : $\omega = 2\beta_0 a_s(\mu_R^2)\log N$

$$\Delta_{c,N}(q^{2},\mu_{R}^{2},\mu_{F}^{2}) = C_{0}^{c}(q^{2},\mu_{R}^{2},\mu_{F}^{2}) \exp\left(\Psi_{SV,N}^{c}(q^{2},\mu_{F}^{2}) + \Psi_{NSV,N}^{c}(q^{2},\mu_{F}^{2})\right)$$
N-independent coefficient
$$\Psi_{SV,N}^{c} = \log(g_{0}^{c}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2}))) + g_{1}^{c}(\omega)\log N + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{s}^{i}(\mu_{R}^{2})g_{i+2}^{c}(\omega)$$
New Result
Nown since
1989 [Sterman et.al]
[Catani et.al]
$$\Psi_{NSV,N}^{c} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{s}^{i}(\mu_{R}^{2})\left(\bar{g}_{i+1}^{c}(\omega) + h_{i}^{c}(\omega,N)\right)$$

$$h_{i}^{c}(\omega,N) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} h_{ik}^{c}(\omega) \log^{k} N.$$
NSV Resummation
exponents

Resummed exponents & Logarithmic accuracy



The Matched Result - F.O + Res

Now we perform Mellin Inversion of the resummed result and add it to the F.O results to study the numerical impact.

$$\sigma_N^{\mathrm{N^nLO}+\overline{\mathrm{N^nLL}}} = \sigma_N^{\mathrm{N^nLO}} + \sigma^{(0)} \sum_{ab \in \{q,\bar{q}\}} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{dN}{2\pi i} (\tau)^{-N} \delta_{a\bar{b}} f_{a,N}(\mu_F^2) f_{b,N}(\mu_F^2) \times \left(\left. \Delta_{q,N} \right|_{\overline{\mathrm{N^nLL}}} - \Delta_{q,N} \right|_{tr \mathrm{N^nLO}} \right).$$

The resummed results are matched to the fixed order result in order to avoid any double counting of threshold logarithms

The contour c in the Mellin inversion is chosen according to Minimal prescription

Used for phenomenological studies

Drell-Yan Inclusive cross-section

K-Factor Analysis



Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 234, (2021)

resummed curves lie above their corresponding fixed order ones - enhancement due to the resummed corrections [For Q= 500 GeV, LO->LO+LL : 6.2 % NLO->NLO+NLL : 3.7 % , NNLO->NNLO+NNLL : 0.94 %]

resummed curves are closer resummed correction decreases as we go for higher order resummed contributions

Perturbative convergence in the RES predictions reliability of RES predictions

$\mu_R = \mu_F = Q(\text{GeV})$	$LO + \overline{LL}$	NLO	$NLO + \overline{NLL}$	NNLO	$NNLO + \overline{NNLL}$
500	1.0624	1.3425	1.3925	1.3950	1.4082
1000	1.0728	1.3464	1.3995	1.4004	1.4138
2000	1.1062	1.3064	1.3739	1.3652	1.3818

$$\mathbf{K}(Q) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{dQ}(\mu_R = \mu_F = Q)}{\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}}{dQ}(\mu_R = \mu_F = Q)}$$

7-point scale uncertainities of the resummed results



resummed result shows a systematic reduction of the uncertainties with the inclusion of each logarithmic corrections

improvement at the NLO+NLL than at the NNLO+NNLL in comparison to their respective F.O predictions

Q	LO	$LO + \overline{LL}$	NLO	$\rm NLO + \overline{\rm NLL}$	NNLO	$NNLO + \overline{NNLL}$
1000	$2.3476^{+4.10\%}_{-3.92\%}$	$2.5184^{+4.49\%}_{-4.25\%}$	$3.1609^{+1.79\%}_{-1.69\%}$	$3.2857^{+2.08\%}_{-1.18\%}$	$3.2876^{+0.20\%}_{-0.31\%}$	$3.3191^{+1.13\%}_{-0.86\%}$
2000	$0.0501^{+8.50\%}_{-7.46\%}$	$0.0554^{+9.10\%}_{-7.91\%}$	$0.0654^{+2.83\%}_{-2.98\%}$	$0.0688^{+1.43\%}_{-1.23\%}$	$0.0684^{+0.37\%}_{-0.62\%}$	$0.0692^{+0.89\%}_{-0.78\%}$

cross section in 10⁻⁵ pb/GeV

7-point var: $\mu = {\mu F, \mu R}$ is varied in the range [1/2Q, 2Q] keeping the ratio $\mu R / \mu F$ not larger than 2 and smaller than 1/2.

Uncertainities w.r.t μ_{F} scale variation



resummed bands look similar to that of 7-point bands --width of the 7-point bands mainly comes from the µF uncertainties

NLO band gets improved with the inclusion of NLL, but NNLO band increses with the inclusion of NNLL

Missing qg-channel resummed contribution leads to more uncertainty at NNLO+NNLL



Uncertainities w.r.t μ_{R} scale variation



Inclusion of resummed result reduces the $\mu_{\rm R}$ uncertainty remarkably as compared to the fixed order ones



Perturbative convergence when the NSV effects are included

Uncertainities w.r.t μ_{p} scale variation in the $q\overline{q}$ channel



cross section in 10^–5 pb/GeV for $q\bar{q}\text{-}$ channel

Interestingly, the behaviour of NNLO $q\overline{q} + \overline{NNLL}$ is significantly improved from the corresponding SV results, NNLOq \overline{q} + NNLL, for a wide range of Q.

The Higgs production in gluon fusion



Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 774, (2021)

The inclusion of NNLL to NNLO decreases the cross section by 3.15%

N3LO | 48.05 pb N3LO + N3LL_SV + N2LL_NSV | 47.45 pb 1.25% reduction

qg contribution is very minuscule ! NLO -- gg : 48.35 % , qg : - 0.79 % NNLO -- gg : 19.7 % , qg : -2 %

 $\mu_{\rm R}$ uncertainty decrease for the RES results

N3LO -> (-3.87%, 0.54%)

```
N3LO + N3LL_SV +
N2LL_NSV
-> (-3.46% , 0.38%)
```

neligible $\mu_{\rm F}$ dependency for F.O results significant $\mu_{\rm F}$ uncertainty for RES results N3LO -> (-0.1%, 0.2%)

> N3LO + N3LL_SV + N2LL_NSV -> (-2.86% , 2.59%)



NLO+NLL (SV+NSV) > NLO+NLL (SV) >>NLO

NNLO+NNLL (SV+NSV) > NNLO + NNLL (SV)>NNLO

Adding the NSV terms in the threshold expansion increases the $\mu_{\rm F}$ uncertainity

-> beyond NSV is needed to regulate this

In the SV+NSV resummed results, Spurious beyond NSV terms due to "inexact" Mellin inversion give rise to huge $\mu_{\rm F}$ unceratinity

Rapidity distribution Drell-Yan



Phys. Rev. D 106, 034005, (2022)





the uncertainty at NNLO + NNLL is reduced from (-2.18%; +3.3%) to (-0.31%; +0.53%) As we go from Mz to 2 Tev around the central rapidity

Resummed contribution at NNLL brings in 0.86% correction to NNLO



Rapidity distribution Higgs production in gluon fusion

arXiv:2205.11560 [hep-ph], (2022)

the inclusion of NNLL result decreases the rapidity distribution at NNLO level by 3 % at the central rapidity region

higher order uncertainty bands are completely included within the lower order uncertainty bands for the resummed predictions.

Conclusions and Outlook

- We set up a formalism to resum the next-to-soft-virtual terms using factorisation & RG Invariance.
- The resummation, taking into account the NSV terms, appreciably increases the cross section while decreasing the sensitivity to renormalisation scale.
- The inclusion of resummed NSV terms improves perturbative convergence.
- The absence of quark gluon initiated contributions to NSV part in the resummed terms leaves large factorisation scale dependence indicating their importance at NSV level for DY.
- The sensitivity to factorisation scale increases in the presence of resummed NSV terms implying the importance of beyond NSV terms for ggH

What more to do?

- All-order structure and resummation for off-diagonal NSV
- Extending the current formalism to the case of mixed gauge theroy Eg: QCD-QED

THANK YOU



Factorisation – off-diagonal channel

Off-diagonal Channel:

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{qg}}{z\sigma_0} = \Gamma_{qq}^T \otimes \Delta_{qq} \otimes \Gamma_{qg} + \Gamma_{qq}^T \otimes \Delta_{qg} \otimes \Gamma_{gg} + \cdots$$

In the threshold limit z -> 1 , keeping only $\log^k(1-z_i), \quad k=0,\cdots\infty$ next to SV

Getting complicated due to Mixing of channels

Form Factor - The Sudakov differential Eqn

IR singularities factorise

$$\hat{F}^{c}(Q^{2},\mu^{2},\epsilon) = Z_{IR}(Q^{2},\mu^{2},\mu^{2}_{R},\epsilon)\hat{F}^{fin}_{c}(Q^{2},\mu^{2},\mu^{2}_{R},\epsilon)$$
universal IR counter term
contains poles
Finite part

[Sen,sterman,Magnea] [Moch,Vogt,Vermasern]

Differentiating both sides with respect to Q^2 , we obtain K+G equation for the FFs

$$Q^{2} \frac{d}{dQ^{2}} \log \hat{F}^{c} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[K^{c} \Big(\hat{a}_{s}, \frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \varepsilon \Big) + G^{c} \Big(\hat{a}_{s}, \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu_{R}^{2}}, \frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \varepsilon \Big) \Big]$$
Poles No Poles

RG Invariance

$$\mu_R^2 \frac{d}{d\mu_R^2} K^c(a_s(\mu_R^2) = -\mu_R^2 \frac{d}{d\mu_R^2} G^c(a_s(\mu_R^2)) = -\overline{A}^c(a_s(\mu_R^2))$$

$$A_q = \frac{C_F}{C_A} A_g \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximally non-abelian,} \\ \text{verified up to 4 loops} \end{array}$$

Form Factor - Perturbative structure

Solution in d =4+ ϵ

$$\log \hat{F}^{c}(\hat{a}_{s}, Q^{2}, \mu^{2}, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_{s}^{i} \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} S_{\varepsilon}^{i} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{F}^{c,(i)}(\varepsilon)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{F}^{l,(i)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(-2A_{1}^{i}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(G_{1}^{i}(\varepsilon)\right)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{F}^{l,(2)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(\beta_{0}A_{1}^{i}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}A_{2}^{i} - \beta_{0}G_{1}^{i}(\varepsilon)\right) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}G_{2}^{i}(\varepsilon)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{F}^{l,(3)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{8}{9}\beta_{0}^{2}A_{1}^{i}\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{2}{9}\beta_{1}A_{1}^{i} + \frac{8}{9}\beta_{0}A_{2}^{i} + \frac{4}{3}\beta_{0}^{2}G_{1}^{i}(\varepsilon)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{2}{9}A_{3}^{i} - \frac{1}{3}\beta_{1}G_{1}^{i}(\varepsilon) - \frac{4}{3}\beta_{0}G_{2}^{i}(\varepsilon)\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{3}G_{3}^{i}(\varepsilon)\right)$$

$$G_{1}^{i}(\varepsilon) = 2(B_{1}^{i} - \delta_{l,g}\beta_{0}) + f_{1}^{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k}g_{1}^{i,k}$$

$$G_{2}^{i}(\varepsilon) = 2(B_{2}^{i} - 2\delta_{l,g}\beta_{1}) + f_{2}^{i} - 2\beta_{0}g_{1}^{i,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k}g_{2}^{i,k}$$

$$Ravindran, j.nuclphysb.2006.04.008]$$

All the Anomalous Dimensions have power series expansion in terms of a

For Drell-Yan : SV and NSV contributions

Table 3 % contribution of SV distributions and NSV logarithms to the Born cross section at NNLO for Q = 200 GeV

$Q = \mu_R = \mu_F \text{ (GeV)}$	SV		NSV	
200	$\ln^4 N$	0.0144%	$\frac{\ln^4 N}{N}$	0%
	$\ln^3 N$	0.125%	$\frac{\ln^3 N}{N}$	0.05%
	$\ln^2 N$	2.70%	$\frac{\ln^2 N}{N}$	0.392%
	$\ln N$	6.07%	$\frac{\ln N}{N}$	4.08%
	$\ln^0 N$	17.7%	$\frac{1}{N}$	3.35%
Total		34.5%		7.87%

Table 4 % contribution of SV distributions and NSV logarithms to the Born cross section at N³LO for Q = 200 GeV

$Q = \mu_R = \mu_F \text{ (GeV)}$	SV		NSV	
200	$\ln^6 N$	- 0.0025%	$\frac{\ln^6 N}{N}$	0%
	$\ln^5 N$	- 0.001%	$\frac{\ln^5 N}{N}$	0.0004%
	$\ln^4 N$	0.0244%	$\frac{\ln^4 N}{N}$	0.006%
	$\ln^3 N$	0.171%	$\frac{\ln^3 N}{N}$	0.1%
	$\ln^2 N$	2.85%	$\frac{\ln^2 N}{N}$	0.56%
	$\ln N$	6.23%	$\frac{\ln N}{N}$	4.31%
	$\ln^0 N$	18.3%	$\frac{1}{N}$	3.30%
Total		27.6%		8.28%

Phenomenology – Drell-Yan Process

qq & qg contributions under $\mu_{_{\rm F}}$ variation keeping $\mu_{_{\rm R}}$ fixed



Phenomenology – Higgs production in gg fusion

gg & qg contributions under $\mu_{_{\rm F}}$ variation keeping $\mu_{_{\rm R}}$ fixed



$$\Phi_B^c(\hat{a}_s,\mu^2,q^2,z,\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_s^i \left(\frac{q^2(1-z)^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} S_{\epsilon}^i \varphi_c^{(i)}(z,\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_s^i \left(\frac{q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} S_{\epsilon}^i \; \hat{\Phi}_{NSV,c}^{(i)}(z,\epsilon) \,,$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Phi}_{NSV,c}^{(1)}(z,\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(1,-1)} \Big] + \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(1,0)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(1,0)} \log(1-z) \Big] + \epsilon \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(1,1)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(1,1)} \log(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(1,1)} \log^2(1-z) \Big] + \epsilon^2 \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(1,2)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(1,2)} \log(1-z) + \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(1,1)} \log^2(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_3^{c,(1,3)} \log^3(1-z) \Big] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Phi}_{NSV,c}^{(2)}(z,\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(2,-2)} \Big] + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(2,-1)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(2,-1)} \log(1-z) \Big] + \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(2,0)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(2,0)} \log(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(2,0)} \log^2(1-z) \Big] + \epsilon \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(2,1)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(2,1)} \log(1-z) + \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(2,1)} \log^2(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_3^{c,(2,1)} \log^3(1-z) \Big] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Phi}_{NSV,c}^{(3)}(z,\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(3,-3)} \Big] + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(3,-2)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(3,-2)} \log(1-z) \Big] + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(3,-1)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(3,-1)} \log(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(3,-1)} \log^2(1-z) \Big] + \Big[\hat{\phi}_0^{c,(3,0)} + \hat{\phi}_1^{c,(3,0)} \log(1-z) + \hat{\phi}_2^{c,(3,0)} \log^2(1-z) \\ &+ \hat{\phi}_3^{c,(3,0)} \log^3(1-z) \Big] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{split}$$

$$\Phi_B^c = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_s^i \left(\frac{q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} S_{\epsilon}^i \sum_{j=-i}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{i+j} \hat{\Phi}_k^{c,(i,j)} \epsilon^j \log^k (1-z).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^c}{dy} &= \sigma_{\rm B}^c(\tau, q^2) \sum_{a, b=q, \bar{q}, g} \int_{x_1^0}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \int_{x_2^0}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} f_a\left(\frac{x_1^0}{z_1}, \mu_F^2\right) \\ &\times f_b\left(\frac{x_2^0}{z_2}, \mu_F^2\right) \Delta_{d, ab}^c(z_1, z_2, q^2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_{d,c}^{\mathrm{SV+NSV}} = \mathcal{C} \exp(\Psi_d^c(q^2, \mu_R^2, \mu_F^2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \epsilon))|_{\epsilon=0},$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_d^c &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \hat{a}_s^i \left(\frac{q^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2}{\mu^2} \right)^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} S_\epsilon^i \left[\frac{(i\epsilon)^2}{4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2} \hat{\phi}_d^{c,(i)}(\epsilon) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{i\epsilon}{4 \bar{z}_1} \varphi_{d,c}^{(i)}(\bar{z}_2,\epsilon) + \frac{i\epsilon}{4 \bar{z}_2} \varphi_{d,c}^{(i)}(\bar{z}_1,\epsilon) \right], \end{split}$$

$$\Psi_{d}^{c} = \frac{\delta(\bar{z}_{1})}{2} \left(\int_{\mu_{F}^{2}}^{q^{2}\bar{z}_{2}} \frac{d\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \mathcal{P}^{c}(a_{s}(\lambda^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) + \mathcal{Q}_{d}^{c}(a_{s}(q_{2}^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) \right)_{+} \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_{1}} \left\{ \mathcal{P}^{c}(a_{s}(q_{12}^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) + 2L^{c}(a_{s}(q_{12}^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) \right. \\ \left. + q^{2} \frac{d}{dq^{2}} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{d}^{c}(a_{s}(q_{12}^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) + 2\varphi_{d,c}^{f}(a_{s}(q_{2}^{2}), \bar{z}_{2}) \right) \right\} \right)_{+} \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \delta(\bar{z}_{1}) \delta(\bar{z}_{2}) \ln \left(g_{d,0}^{c}(a_{s}(\mu_{F}^{2})) \right) + \bar{z}_{1} \leftrightarrow \bar{z}_{2}, \quad (6) \right)$$

MATCHING WITH THE INCLUSIVE

$$\int_0^1 dx_1^0 \int_0^1 dx_2^0 (x_1^0 x_2^0)^{N-1} \frac{d\sigma^c}{dy} = \int_0^1 d\tau \tau^{N-1} \sigma^c,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_s^i \left(\frac{q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\frac{i\epsilon}{2}} S_{\epsilon}^i \left[t_1^i(\epsilon) \hat{\phi}_d^{c,(i)}(\epsilon) - t_2^i(\epsilon) \hat{\phi}^{c,(i)}(\epsilon) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(t_3^{(i,k)}(\epsilon) \varphi_{d,c}^{(i,k)}(\epsilon) - t_4^{(i,k)}(\epsilon) \varphi_c^{(i,k)}(\epsilon) \right) \right] = 0.$$

$$t_{1}^{i} = \frac{i\epsilon(2-i\epsilon)}{4N^{i\epsilon}}\Gamma^{2}\left(1+i\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right), \quad t_{2}^{i} = \frac{i\epsilon(1-i\epsilon)}{2N^{i\epsilon}}\Gamma(1+i\epsilon),$$

$$t_{3}^{(i,k)} = \Gamma\left(1+i\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial\alpha^{k}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{N^{\alpha+i\epsilon/2}}\right)_{\alpha=i\frac{\epsilon}{2}},$$

$$t_{4}^{(i,k)} = \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial\hat{\alpha}^{k}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\hat{\alpha})}{N^{\hat{\alpha}}}\right)_{\hat{\alpha}=i\epsilon}.$$
(11)

$$\begin{split} \Psi^c_{d,\vec{N}} &= \left(g^c_{d,1}(\omega) + \frac{1}{N_1}\bar{g}^c_{d,1}(\omega)\right)\ln N_1 \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i_s \left(\frac{1}{2}g^c_{d,i+2}(\omega) + \frac{1}{N_1}\bar{g}^c_{d,i+2}(\omega)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{N_1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i_s h^c_{d,i}(\omega,N_1) + (N_1 \nleftrightarrow N_2), \end{split}$$

where

~ , . .

$$\begin{split} h^c_{d,0}(\omega,N_l) &= h^c_{d,00}(\omega) + h^c_{d,01}(\omega) \ln N_l, \\ h^c_{d,i}(\omega,N_l) &= \sum_{k=0}^i h^c_{d,ik}(\omega) \ln^k N_l, \end{split}$$

<u> </u>	~	<u>ـ</u>	• — · ·	4		
GIVEN		PREDIC	TIONS: SV logari	thms		
Resummed exponents	$\Delta^{q,(2)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	$\Delta^{q,(3)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	$\Delta^{q,(4)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$		$\Delta^{q,(n)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	Logarithmic accuracy
$ ilde{g}^q_{d,0,0}, g^q_{d,1}$	$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=4}$	$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=6}$	$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=8}$		$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=2n}$	LL
$\tilde{g}^q_{d,0,1},g^q_{d,2}$		$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=5,4}$	$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=7,6}$		$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=2n-1,2n-2}$	NLL
$\tilde{g}^{q}_{d,0,2}, g^{q}_{d,3}$			$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=5,4}$		$\{L_1^i L_2^j\} _{i+j=2n-3,2n-4}$	NNLL

GIVEN		PREDICTIONS: NSV logarithms						
Resummed exponents	$\Delta^{q,(2)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	$\Delta^{q,(3)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	$\Delta^{q.(4)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$		$\Delta^{q,(n)}_{d,N_1,N_2}$	Logarithmic accuracy		
$\tilde{g}^{q}_{d,0,0}, g^{q}_{d,1}, \bar{g}^{q}_{d,1}, h^{q}_{d,0}$	$\{L_{N_1,2}^{i,j}, L_{N_2,1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=3}$	$\{L_{N_{1},2}^{i,j}, L_{N_{2},1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=5}$	$\{L_{N_{1},2}^{i,j}, L_{N_{2},1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=7}$		$\{L_{N_{1},2}^{i,j}, L_{N_{2},1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=2n-1}$	LL		
$\tilde{g}^q_{d,0,1}, g^q_{d,2}, \ \bar{g}^q_{d,2}, h^q_{d,1}$	27	$\{L_{N_1,2}^{i,j}, L_{N_2,1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=4}$	$\{L_{N_{1},2}^{i,j}, L_{N_{2},1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=6}$		$\{L_{N_1,2}^{i,j}, L_{N_2,1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=2n-2}$	NLL		
$\tilde{g}_{d,0,2}^q, g_{d,3}^q, \bar{g}_{d,3}^q, h_{d,2}^q$		1 2 Y	$\{L_{N_1,2}^{i,j}, L_{N_2,1}^{i,j}\} _{i+j=5}$		$\{L_{N_1,2}^{i,j}, L_{N_2,1}^{i,\tilde{j}}\} _{i+j=2n-3}$	NNLL		

 \simeq



