



L. Andricek, C. Koffmane, J. Ninkovic, R. H. Richter

- HV currents are going up with irradiation at Belle2 and in the Lab.
- Reason for the current increase is Avalanche multiplication (electron-hole pair generation by impact ionization) by high electric fields deduced from the simultaneous increase of bulk current
- Where does it happen?
- Why are more Icemos wafers more affected than Shinetsu ones?
- How can we explain this ?

# Module cross section





Simulation domain

### Along the Si-SiO2 Interface at backside

![](_page_2_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $Emax = f(gap, Nox(\Phi), Vhandle, 1/tox)$ 

Box oxide thickness: tox Icemos: 560nm Shinetsu: 350nm

But Icemos modules are much more affected than Shinetsu ones !?? Is the Shinetsu wafer bond oxide so much more rad hard than the Icemos oxide that it even over compensates this dependancy?? But both to wafer oxides where grown at HLL !!?

#### Dopant depth profile measurement by SIMS\*

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

18

ОX

-1.1019 -1019 -1018 -1017

10 12 14 16

-1016

18 20

\*SIMS – secondary ion mass spectroscopy

24th DEPFET Workshop, Rainer Richter HLL

22 24 26 28 30 32 34

#### Potential distribution between diode and first guard ring

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

Investigation of an irradiated module with a therma emmision camera PG Phemos (Hamamatsu) H5.0.29

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

Lens: macro Camera: Si

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

Lens: macro Camera: InGaAs, integration time: between 60 – 120 s

Bias using the Samtec connector and a breakout board to connect to the SMUs. SMU1: HV = -105 V;  $I_hv = 440 \mu A$ SMU2: Bulk = 0V; H5.0.29

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

PXD9 layout: poxp & al2n

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

Break through at **outer most** guard ring! (against all expectations)

• H5.0.29

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Lens: x20 Camera: InGaAs

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

Lens: x100 Camera: InGaAs

# What could be the reason ?

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

Something shorts our guard rings ?

- much deeper implants than expected
  - but simulations base on measured doping profiles (SIMS)
  - Do the SIMS samples reflect the reality ?
    - different annealing temperatures during wafer bonding?
    - wrong SIMS meas?
  - -> repeat SIMS measurements !

Formation of oxide charges Nox(E) – completely different than expected much higher charge density at the 3rd guard ring than at the diode ??!

### New SIMS measurements – completely different than before

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

No n-doping, no space charge, no pot. barrier

some n-doping, some charge, weak pot. barrier

## The last Unknown Nox -> MOS Structures on BOX

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

- ▷ 6 Wafers
  - → 3 Icemos and 3 Shin-etsu bonded SOI
- $\triangleright$  Backside p+-implants as source and drain, BOX as gate isolator, Alu as gate  $\rightarrow$  PMOS transistors
- $\triangleright$  MOS caps on BOX in addition

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

 Use a few chips for destructive SIMS implant profiling

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

# pMOS I<sub>Drain</sub>(V<sub>Gate</sub>): Before and after H2 anneal

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

Reason for our HV current problems is understood

Underestimation of (lateral) diffusion due to very high temperature treatment at SOI suppliers

wrong backside doping profile measurement (SIMS)

Multi guard ring structure at BS to closely spaced -> shortage

It does not work at all (Icemos) - works badly (Shinetsu)

What are the plans for the MOS – structures on BOX? (Meeting)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Backup

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

Not sure what is correct?

at break down position

Vhandle\_wafer – Vif = 35V + 40V= 75V

 $1V \triangleq 0.5e11cm^{-2}$ 

Qox = 3.75e12cm-2

Oxide charge saturation density (X-ray radiation

Moscatelli et al. (2016): 1.8e12cm-2 Zhang et al. (2011): 2.8e12

Highest values: Zhang et al. (2012): but for 100MGy

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_11.jpeg)

Vhandle\_wafer – Vif = 35V + 22V= 57V

 $1V \triangleq 0.5e11cm^{-2}$ 

Oox = 2.85e12cm-2

at electron acc. layer

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)