# Universal Extra Dimensions at a Muon Collider

D Greenwald



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

## November 19, 2010 IMPRS Colloquium, LMU, Munich

### Outline



- Why build a muon collider?
- $\bullet$  Physics goals of a  $\mu C$
- What is a muon collider?
- Universal Extra Dimensions
- Kaluza-Klein Excitations
- UED at a Muon Collider

Muon Collider

Universal Extra Dimensions UED at a Muon Collider Why Build A Muon Collider? Physics Goals Collider Scheme R&D Challenges



## Why build a muon collider?

In comparison to current and planned ee, pp colliders:



#### D Greenwald

Monday, November 22, 2010

#### Muon Collider

Universal Extra Dimensions UED at a Muon Collider Why Build A Muon Collider? Physics Potential Collider Scheme R&D Challenges



#### **Physics Potential**

100 - 500 GeV CoM

Higgs Factory: resonant mass scan (MeV res) MSSM vs SM higgs (A/H) Higgs doublet - CPV

Z Factory

threshold scans for pair production with well-known beam energy: W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>, ttbar, Zh light SUSY particles

3 - 4 TeV CoM

 s-channel resonant-production of new particles:
 pair production of new particles

 Z', Extra Dimensions
 t-channel resonant production

 heavy SUSY particles
 t-channel resonant production

 virtual effects on SM processes
 strong scattering of weak bosons

 and...
 and...

 front end muon physics:
 μp collider:

 μ → eY, μ → e, g-2
 higher Q² reach than ep

Muon Collider

Universal Extra Dimensions UED at a Muon Collider Why Build A Muon Collider? Physics Potential Collider Scheme R&D Challenges



## Collider Scheme

Multi-MW multi-GeV proton driver bombards target to produce pions that are captured by strong magnetic field and decay to muons.

Example parameter set for 3 TeV CoM energy:muons/bunch $2 \times 10^{12}$ Luminosity $7 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  $\epsilon_{6D,N}$  $2 \times 10^{-10} (\pi \text{m})^3$ 





Muon Collider

Universal Extra Dimensions UED at a Muon Collider Why Build A Muon Collider? Physics Potential Collider Scheme R&D Challenges



## Muon Collider Detector Design

<u>High power proton driver</u>: FermiLab Project X

<u>Pion production target</u>: MERIT experiment, CERN, 2007

<u>Muon cooling</u>: ionization cooling (MICE, RAL), frictional cooling (FCD, MPP)

#### Detector design:

Backgrounds near interaction point from muon decay, beam halo, and other sources need to be shielded against

→ Tungsten cone extending out from IP along beam pipe

Cone angle is not yet fixed in stone

frictional cooling can provide high luminosity with fewer µ/bunch, reducing background → reducing cone angle



Concept New Particles Decay Spectrum



#### Universal Extra Dimensions

Extra dimensions (Kaluza Klein theories) can address hierarchy problem (gauge coupling unification, lowering of Planck scale)

KK theories come in different flavors:

which fields propagate in the extra dimensions what the extra dimensions look like

#### examples:

Large Extra Dimensions: only gravity accesses the extra (flat) dimensions (ADD, hep-ph/9803315) Warped extra dimensions: nonflat metric (RS, hep-ph/9905221) Universal Extra Dimensions: flat dimensions accessible by all SM fields (Appelquist, et al., hep-ph/0012100)

One UED: add to the 4D  $\mathbf{x}$  a compact dimension y (S<sub>1</sub>/Z<sub>2</sub> orbifold):



Concept New Particles Decay Spectrum



### Kaluza-Klein Excitations

Energy in 5D:  $E^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2 + p_5^2$ fields excited in integral modes in y:  $p_5^2 \rightarrow m_n^2 = n^2/R^2$ towers of Kaluza Klein states for each SM particle at  $m_n = R^{-1}$ 

At tree level this is highly degenerate:  $m \approx n/R$  for all KK<sub>n</sub> particles, since  $m_n \gg m_0$ but with radiative corrections (Cheng et al., hep-ph/0204342) the degeneracy is broken:



Compactification breaks KK-excitation number conservation to KK-Parity =  $(-1)^n$  $\rightarrow$  Limits on R loose:  $R^{-1} \ge 250$  GeV

Concept New Particles Decay Spectrum



### KK Decay + stable LKP

KK<sub>1</sub> decay modes (Cheng et al., hep-ph/0205314)



 $\Upsilon_1$  (B<sub>1</sub>) is the Lightest KK<sub>1</sub> Particle (LKP) and therefore (by KK parity conservation) stable  $\rightarrow$  DM candidate.

Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY

UED signal SM Background



## Lepton Collider Phenomenology of UED

KK-Parity conservation means KK1 particles created in pairs

 $KK_1$  pairs decay down to SM particles and  $\Upsilon_1{}^\prime s$  e.g.:

$$\mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \mu_1^+ \mu_1^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \Upsilon_1 \Upsilon_1$$

The  $\Upsilon_1$ 's escape the detector undetected, so that one sees a dimuonic final state with large missing energy



Investigate dependence of discovery potential and R-measurement resolution on angle of detector shielding.

All event generation handled by CompHEP with UED implementation of Datta et al. (hep-ph/1002.4624)

Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY

UED signal SM Background



## $\mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \mu_1^+ \mu_1^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + Missing Energy$

The muons have the usual flat distribution from a boosted two-body decay:



UED signal is dimuonic final state with  $E_{\mu}$  < 80 GeV,  $P_{T}$  < 80 GeV

Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY

UED signal
<u>SM</u> Background



## SM Background

Dimuonic final states with large missing energy:  $\mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + 2\nu$ ,  $\mu^+ \mu^- + 4\nu$ , etc... (thousands of Feynman diagrams)

Restricting to  $E_{\mu}$  < 80 GeV,  $E_{T}$  < 80 GeV nearly brings the number of diagrams down to a calculable amount.

In an attempt to perform a nearly-cutless analysis, the following two cuts were made:

#### $\theta_{\mu} > 4^{\circ}$

cuts out 4v diagrams involving W pair production no real impact since later assume  $\theta_{\mu} > 9^{\circ}$  due to detector construction

```
M<sub>µµ</sub> > 5 GeV
cuts out Y-mediated µ<sup>+</sup> µ<sup>-</sup> production from ISR
percent level reduction of UED cross section
```

The cuts reduce calculations to a smaller set of  $\mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + 2\nu$  only diagrams



Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY MC Analysis First Results



#### **Cross Section Comparison**

 $\sigma_{SM} \approx 11 \text{ fb} \text{ (after } E_{\mu}, P_{T}, M_{\mu\mu}, \theta \text{ cuts)}$ 

The cross section for the  $\mu\mu$  + missing energy final state is orders of magnitude larger for UED with with large R.

The discoverability of UED is therefore high without the need to do a binned analysis at large R.

At small R, UED will cause only small deviation from SM, necessitating a binned analysis.



Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY MC Analysis First Results



## MC Analysis

Probability  $R = R_J$ given data set  $D_K$ , generated at Luminosity L (and  $R=R_K$ )

P(R|D) is calculated by binning D in 4D-Histogram ( $E_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}$ ) and comparing to binned  $\sigma$  from MC for R, with Poisson stat. (n<sub>i</sub>,  $\sigma_i$  = number of events in D, xs for UED[R] in bin i)

for the computer to handle the very small numbers, calculations are done handling ln(P)

For R-resolution calc: only likelihood (numerator) is needed furthermore, D-dependent R-independent pedestal (In n<sub>i</sub>!) is discarded

$$P(R_J|D_K, L) = \frac{P(D_K|R_J, L)P_0(R_J)}{P(D_K|SM, L)P_0(SM) + \sum_I P(D_K|R_I, L)P_0(R_I)}$$

$$P(D_X|R_Y, L) = \prod_i \frac{\nu_i^{n_i} e^{-\nu_i}}{n_i!}$$
$$\nu_i = \sigma_i^{(Y)} L$$

$$\ln \left| P(D_X | R_Y, L) \right| = \sum_i \left( n_i \ln \nu_i - \ln n_i! - \nu_i \right)$$



Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY MC Analysis First Results



## First Results (250 GeV $\leq R^{-1} \leq 375$ GeV)

Presently computers are calculating away to generate billions of events at values of R<sup>-1</sup> between 250 GeV and 1475 GeV at 5 GeV intervals.

First results are available for  $R^{-1} \leq 375 \text{ GeV}$ 

At these R's, no interesting UED discoverability potential relationship on  $\theta$  exist.

However the resolution on R depends strongly on  $\theta$  $\Delta R^{-1} = 1\sigma (\Delta \ln(\text{likelihood})=0.5)$ 



Collider Phenomenology Discoverability + R Measurement UED vs SUSY



#### UED vs SUSY

UED and SUSY mass spectra can be tuned to look alike KK-Parity → R-Parity LKP → LSP

 $E_{\mu}$  distribution identical for SUSY (though  $\sigma$  smaller)

However:

KK excitations have same spin as SM particles

SUSY sparticles have spin differing by 1/2

This can be exploited to discern between UED and SUSY, if spin can be measured.

This sort of search is especially well suited to a lepton collider (CLIC: Battaglia et al., hep-ph/0507284, hep-ph/0502041, c.f. LHC: Smillie & Webber, hep-ph/0507170)





## **Outlook & Conclusions**

Next steps: Continuing calculations to smaller R SUSY-UED discernment potential at Muon Collider

- The motivation for building a muon collider / neutrino factory is strong
- Challenges still exist but are being actively investigated
- $\bullet\, The\ \mu C$  community is very motivated for the future
  - updating background calculations
  - updating detector designs
  - investigating new physics goals
    - reducing angle of detector shielding cone beneficial

Monday, November 22, 2010