# Fast oscillations in supernovae neutrinos: Beyond two flavors

# Sovan Chakraborty

#### Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati.



Current Topics in Astroparticle Physics, 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2022



#### Linearization:

#### Linearized flavor-stability analysis of dense neutrino streams

Arka Banerjee,<sup>1</sup> Amol Dighe,<sup>1</sup> and Georg Raffelt<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India <sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany (Dated: July 13, 2011)

Neutrino-neutrino interactions in dense neutrino streams, like those emitted by a core-collapse supernova, can lead to self-induced neutrino flavor conversions. While this is a nonlinear phenomenon, the onset of these conversions can be examined through a standard stability analysis of the linearized equations of motion. The problem is reduced to a linear eigenvalue equation that involves the neutrino density, energy spectrum, angular distribution, and matter density. In the single-angle case, we reproduce previous results and use them to identify two generic instabilities: The system is stable above a cutoff density ("cutoff mode"), or can approach an asymptotic instability for increasing density ("saturation mode"). We analyze multi-angle effects on these generic types of instabilities and find that even the saturation mode is suppressed at large densities. For both types of modes, a given multi-angle spectrum typically is unstable when the neutrino and electron densities are comparable, but stable when the neutrino density is much smaller or much larger than the electron density. The role of an instability in the SN context depends on the available growth time and on the range of affected modes. At large matter density, most modes are off-resonance even when the system is unstable.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 97.60.Bw

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Neutrino flavor oscillations in a supernova (SN) are strongly suppressed by matter effects [1] until the neutrinos pass through the usual MSW region [2–5] far out in the envelope of the collapsing star. However, neutrinoneutrino interactions [6, 7], through a flavor off-diagonal refractive index, can trigger self-induced flavor conversions [8–13]. This collective effect tends to occur between the neutrino sphere and the MSW region and can lead to strongly modified neutrino spectra, showing features such as spectral swaps and splits [14–19]; for a review see Ref. [20]. The overall scenario, supported by heuristic ardynamics. Recent studies dedicated to the SN accretion phase, under simplifying assumptions, once more confirm this picture [24, 25].

However, what is missing is a systematic approach to decide, without solving the equations of motion, if selfinduced flavor conversions occur for given neutrino spectra (flavor-dependent energy and angular distribution), overall neutrino density, and matter density. Formal stability criteria exist only in the "single-angle approximation" where it is assumed that all neutrinos feel the same neutrino-neutrino refractive effect. In this case the analytic pendulum solution has been found and its existence and parameters can be calculated from the neutrino spec-



Liouville like equations

$$v^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\rho = -i[H_p, \rho_p] \qquad v^{\beta} = (1, \mathbf{v}) \\ \beta = 0, \dots, 3$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{E},\mathbf{v}} = g \begin{pmatrix} s & S_1 \\ S_1^* & -s \end{pmatrix} \underset{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{E},\mathbf{v}}{\leftarrow} |S| \ll 1$$

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \underline{S_{1E,\mathbf{v}}} = (\omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{1\beta}) \underline{S_{1E,\mathbf{v}}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{1E',\mathbf{v}'} \underline{S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}}$$
  
Spectrum: 
$$g_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} f_{\nu_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} & \text{for } E > 0, \\ f_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\bar{\nu}_{e},\mathbf{p}} & \text{for } E < 0. \end{cases} \qquad \int d\Gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{4\pi} d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{v}$$

,

#### LINEARIZED STABILITY ANALYSIS



#### Onset of the conversion: Peak of the growth rate curve

<u>S.C</u> & Mirizzi, PRD, 2014

#### NEUTRINO TRANSPORT & FLAVOR OSCILLATIONS: 7D PROBLEM

#### (I+3+3)D



Coherent forward scattering outside neutrino sphere

 $\rho(t; r, \Theta, \Phi; E, \vartheta, \varphi)$ 

#### (0+3+3)D



(0+2+3)D



(0+|+|)D

Single-Angle Model

Trajectory independent

 $\rho(r; E)$ 

time.

Equivalent to an

neutrino flavor evolution

homogeneous and isotropic neutrino gas evolving with

#### (0+I+3)D



#### (0+1+2)D Multi-Angle/Bulb Model



slides from H. Duan

Duan & Shalgar, PLB 2015 Mirizzi, Mangano & Saviano, PRD 2015

#### LINEARIZED STABILITY ANALYSIS (0+3+3)

# Growth rate in 2D parameter space of effective matter and neutrino density ("Butterfly diagram")



S.C, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, JCAP 2015

#### LINEARIZED STABILITY ANALYSIS: INHOMOGENEITY IN TRANSVERSE PLANE (0+3+3)



S.C, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, JCAP 2015

# FAST INSTABILITY (0+1+3)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 72, 045003 (2005) Speed-up of neutrino transformations in a supernova environment Department of Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA When the neutral current neutrino-neutrino interaction is treated completely, rather than as an interaction among angle-averaged distributions, or as a set of flavor-diagonal effective potentials, the result can be flavor mixing at a speed orders of magnitude faster than that one would anticipate from the measured neutrino oscillation parameters. It is possible that the energy spectra of the three active species of neutrinos emerging from a supernova are nearly identical. PACS numbers: 95.30.Cq, 97.60.Bw oscillation length of the order of a kilometer for a 20 MeV neutrino, and uninteresting in any case by virtue of the near  $\nu_e$  of their spectra and angular distributions. The  $\nu_e$ vacuum is longer by a factor of 40 or the terms that PRL 116, 081101 (2016) Thus there is PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS - 20 Neutrino Cloud Instabilities Just above the Neutrino Sphere of a Supernova week ending 26 FEBRUARY 2016 Department of Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA (Received 7 September 2015; revised manuscript received 2 January 2016; published 25 February 2016) Most treatments of neutrino flavor evolution, above a surface of the last scattering, take identical angular distributions on this surface for the different initial (unmixed) flavors, and for particles and antiparticles. Differences in these distributions must be present, as a result of the species-dependent scattering cross sections lower in the star. These lead to a new set of nonlinear equations, unstable even at the initial surface with respect to perturbations that break all-over spherical symmetry. There could be important consequences for explosion dynamics as well as for the neutrino pulse in the outer regions. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.081101

#### SN v FLAVOR TRANSITIONS: COLLECTIVE OSCILLATION



$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{1\beta}) S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{1E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}$$

- Unstable modes grow with rates of order  $\mu$  instead of  $\omega$  ( $\mu >> \omega$ )
- This requires different angle distribution for different flavors.
- The difference spectrum  $g_{\omega,v}$  is flavor dependent

<u>S.C.</u>, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, JCAP 2016 <u>S.C.</u>, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, Nucl.Phys.B 2016

## FAST INSTABILITY (0+1+3)

# SN: *a* > *0*, *b* > *0*



#### S.C, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, JCAP 2016

#### Fast Oscillations: Three Flavor

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{1\beta}) S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{1E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}$$

$$S_{\mathbf{1}\Gamma, r} = Q_{\mathbf{1}\Gamma, K} e^{-i(K_1^0 t - \mathbf{K}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})}$$

Izaguirre, Raffelt and Tamborra, PRL 2016

In the fast oscillation limit,  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ ,

However, in the three flavor case: two more such tensors,

$$\det \Pi_{j,K}^{\alpha\beta}=0$$

Dispersion relations: Connecting  $K_j^0 \& K_j^i$ 

j = 1,2,3

Three decoupled dispersion relations!

<u>S.C</u> & **M. Chakrabotry**, JCAP, (2019)

#### Fast Oscillations: Three Flavor

$$\Pi_{j,K}^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} + \int \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{4\pi} G_{j,\mathbf{v}} \frac{v^{\alpha}v^{\beta}}{v_{\gamma}(K_{j}^{\gamma} - \lambda_{j}^{\gamma})} \qquad \qquad \underline{S.C} \& \mathbf{M}. \text{ Chakrabotry,} \\ \mathbf{JCAP, (2019)}$$

There are three effective 'lepton numbers' not only ELN

$$G_{1,\mathbf{v}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} g_{1,E,\mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{2} G_F \int_0^\infty \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \left( f_{\nu_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\bar{\nu}_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} + f_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} \right),$$
  

$$G_{2,\mathbf{v}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} g_{2,E,\mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{2} G_F \int_0^\infty \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \left( f_{\nu_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\bar{\nu}_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\tau},\mathbf{p}} + f_{\bar{\nu}_{\tau},\mathbf{p}} \right),$$
  

$$G_{3,\mathbf{v}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} g_{3,E,\mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{2} G_F \int_0^\infty \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \left( f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\tau},\mathbf{p}} + f_{\bar{\nu}_{\tau},\mathbf{p}} \right),$$

Neglecting the three flavor effect is non-trivial than simply assuming that fluxes of the mu and tau neutrinos are equal.

## Full flavor analysis: the rise of mu-tau neutrinos



Asymmetry between Muon neutrinos and Muon antineutrinos, mith Muon creation from pair production

R. Bollig, H.-T. Janka, A. Lohs, G. Martmez-Pinedo, C.J. Horowitz, and T. Melson, PRL 119, 242702 (2017)

#### **Fast oscillations: Three flavor effects**

## Nonlinear Results: SN like toy problems

## Full flavor Test: Set Up

- Both axial and zenith angle breaking instabilities are allowed
- The angular information of the 'muon' simulations were not sufficient

Probe by toy examples

F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRL 2020

F. Capozzi, S. Abbar, R. Bollig, H. -T. Janka PRD 2021

#### Full flavor Test: Set Up

#### Probe by toy examples

ELN 
$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{e} = \sqrt{2}G_{F} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dEE^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} [\rho_{ee}(E, \mathbf{v}) - \bar{\rho}_{ee}(E, \mathbf{v})].$$
MuLN 
$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mu} = \sqrt{2}G_{F} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dEE^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} [\rho_{\mu\mu}(E, \mathbf{v}) - \bar{\rho}_{\mu\mu}(E, \mathbf{v})],$$

TauLN 
$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\tau} = \sqrt{2}G_F \int_0^\infty \frac{dEE^2}{2\pi^2} [\rho_{\tau\tau}(E, \mathbf{v}) - \bar{\rho}_{\tau\tau}(E, \mathbf{v})].$$

$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{e\mu}=G_{\mathbf{v}}^{e}-G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mu},$$

Effective lepton numbers

$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{e\tau} = G_{\mathbf{v}}^e - G_{\mathbf{v}}^\tau,$$

 $G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mu\tau}=G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mu}-G_{\mathbf{v}}^{\tau}.$ 

F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRL 2020



F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRL 2020

Case II:

Fast Conversion In 2 flavor

Fast Conversion in all flavors

Though, μ-τ sector has no crossing It participates in the flavor conversion



F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRL 2020

Case II:

Fast Conversion In 2 flavor

Fast Conversion in all flavors

Though, μ-τ sector has no crossing It participates in the flavor conversion



F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRD 2022



$$\rho_{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{ee} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{es} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{es} \\ \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu e} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu \mu} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu s} \\ \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{se} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{s\mu} & \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{ss} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad 2 \text{ active } + 1 \text{ sterile, } 1 \text{ eV}^2 \text{ mass squared difference}$$

Linearized system: The off diagonals involving sterile evolve trivially in linear order

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} = [\omega_3 + v^{\beta} \lambda_{\mu}^{\beta}] S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F S_{2E,\mathbf{v}} \xi^{-1} v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}$$

Non linear results found no influence of sterile on evolution on Fast Oscillation.

### Active-Sterile MSW & Fast Oscillation

1) Fast Oscillation driven by,

S.C & M. Chakraborty, in preparation

$$G_{\mathbf{v}}^{e} = \sqrt{2}G_{F} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dEE^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} [\rho_{ee}(E, \mathbf{v}) - \bar{\rho}_{ee}(E, \mathbf{v})].$$

2) For a given mass ordering MSW either for neutrino or antineutrino



I. Tamborra, G. Raffelt, L. Huedepohl & H-T Janka, JCAP 2011

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{H}_{ee}^{\mathrm{m}+\nu\nu} &= \sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}} \left[ N_b \left( \frac{3}{2} Y_e - \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2(N_{\nu_e} - N_{\bar{\nu}_e}) + (N_{\nu_x} - N_{\bar{\nu}_x}) \right] \\ \mathsf{H}_{xx}^{\mathrm{m}+\nu\nu} &= \sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}} \left[ N_b \left( \frac{1}{2} Y_e - \frac{1}{2} \right) + (N_{\nu_e} - N_{\bar{\nu}_e}) + 2(N_{\nu_x} - N_{\bar{\nu}_x}) \right] \end{split}$$

Oscillation between e-s sector, only.

3) ELN (hence Fast oscillation) can be modified by Active-Sterile MSW

#### S.C & M. Chakraborty, in preparation



Inner resonance: few 10s km

Outer resonance: 50-100 km

Z. Xiong, Meng-Ru Wu & Y-Z. Qian, APJ 2019

S.C & M. Chakraborty, in preparation

#### Scenario I: Fast oscillation before active-sterile MSW

Relatively straight forward implication Possible effect on nucleosynthesis or neutrino spectra at earth

Scenario II: Fast oscillation after active-sterile MSW



<u>F. Capozzi</u>, <u>S. Abbar</u>, <u>R. Bollig</u>, <u>H. – T. Janka</u> PRD 2021





![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Possible influence on nucleosynthesis or neutrino spectra at earth

Active-sterile MSW mostly looked into late phase for nucleosynthesis.

Interesting to see what happens in LESA simulations!!

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank You, Georg!

Wish you a galactic SN!

#### Liouville like equations

$$v^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\rho = -i[H_p, \rho_p] \qquad v^{\beta} = (1, \mathbf{v}) \\ \beta = 0, \dots, 3$$

#### Matrix of densities & Hamiltonian

Taking into account the off-diagonals of  $\rho$  up to linear order,

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{e\mu} = \left[ \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2E} + v_{\beta} (\lambda_e^{\beta} - \lambda_{\mu}^{\beta}) \right] \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{e\mu} - \sqrt{2} G_F \left( \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{ee} - \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu\mu} \right) v^{\beta} \int d\mathbf{p}' v_{\beta}' \left( \rho_{\mathbf{p}'}^{e\mu} - \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}'}^{e\mu} \right)$$

Similar equations for e- $\tau$  and  $\mu$ - $\tau$ 

S. Airen, F. Capozzi, S.C, B. Dasgupta, G. Raffelt & T. Stirner, JCAP 2018

Taking into account the off-diagonals of  $\rho$  up to linear order,

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{e\mu} = \left[ \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2E} + v_{\beta} (\lambda_e^{\beta} - \lambda_{\mu}^{\beta}) \right] \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{e\mu} - \sqrt{2} G_F \left( \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{ee} - \rho_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu\mu} \right) v^{\beta} \int d\mathbf{p}' v_{\beta}' \left( \rho_{\mathbf{p}'}^{e\mu} - \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}'}^{e\mu} \right)$$

Similar equations for e- $\tau$  and  $\mu$ - $\tau$ 

Evolution of the off-diagonal  $S_{p}$  holds all flavor coherence information,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} = & \frac{f_{\nu_{e},\mathbf{p}} + f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} + f_{\nu_{\tau},\mathbf{p}}}{3} 1 + \frac{f_{\nu_{e},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} s_{\mathbf{p}} & S_{1\mathbf{p}} & 0\\ S_{1\mathbf{p}}^{*} & -s_{\mathbf{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{Three S}_{\mathbf{p}}^{*}\mathbf{s} \\ & + \frac{f_{\nu_{e},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\tau},\mathbf{p}}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} s_{\mathbf{p}} & 0 & S_{2\mathbf{p}}\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ S_{2\mathbf{p}}^{*} & 0 & -s_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{f_{\nu_{\mu},\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_{\tau},\mathbf{p}}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & s_{\mathbf{p}} & S_{3\mathbf{p}}\\ 0 & S_{3\mathbf{p}}^{*} & -s_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

M. Chakraborty & S.C, JCAP 2019

In the flavor isospin picture,

i

$$\int d\Gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{4\pi} \,,$$

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{1\beta}) \underline{S_{1E,\mathbf{v}}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v'_{\beta} g_{1E',\mathbf{v}'} \underline{S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}}$$
$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{2E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{13} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{2\beta}) S_{2E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v'_{\beta} g_{2E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{2E',\mathbf{v}'}$$
$$v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{13} - \omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{3\beta}) S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v'_{\beta} g_{3E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{3E',\mathbf{v}'}$$

Spectra: 
$$g_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} f_{\nu_e,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\nu_\mu,\mathbf{p}} & \text{for } E > 0, \\ f_{\bar{\nu}_\mu,\mathbf{p}} - f_{\bar{\nu}_e,\mathbf{p}} & \text{for } E < 0. \end{cases}$$

Simillar spectra for e- $\tau$  and  $\mu$ - $\tau$ 

M. Chakraborty & S.C, JCAP 2019

In the flavor isospin picture,

$$\int d\Gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{E^2 dE}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{4\pi}$$

$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{1E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{1\beta}) \underline{S_{1E,\mathbf{v}}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{1E',\mathbf{v}'} \underline{S_{1E',\mathbf{v}'}}$$
$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{2E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{13} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{2\beta}) S_{2E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{2E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{2E',\mathbf{v}'}$$
$$i v^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} = (\omega_{13} - \omega_{12} + v^{\beta} \lambda_{3\beta}) S_{3E,\mathbf{v}} - \sqrt{2} G_F v^{\beta} \int d\Gamma' v_{\beta}' g_{3E',\mathbf{v}'} S_{3E',\mathbf{v}'}$$

#### In the lineraized regime,

- Three off diagonal modes evolve independently!
- Three flavor evolution is equivalent to three two flavor evolution.

# FAST INSTABILITY

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>S.C.</u>, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, JCAP 2016 <u>S.C.</u>, Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, PLB 2016

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\boldsymbol{\nu_e}}(u) &= \int_0^\infty d\omega \, g(\omega, u) \\ h(u) &= \frac{1 \pm a}{1 \pm b} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \le u \le 1 \pm b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Uniform but different distribution For neutrinos and antineutrinos, width parameter (b) -1 < b < +1

The distribution also connected to the lepton asymmetry of the system, asymmetry parameter (a)

$$-1 < a < +1$$

Case III: No Fast Conversion In 2 flavor

No Fast Conversion in  $e-\tau$  and  $e-\mu$ .

 $\mu$ - $\tau$  shows instability

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRL 2020

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

F. Capozzi, M. Chakraborty, <u>S.C</u> and M. Sen, PRD 2022

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **BREAKING OF STATIONARITY** (1+3+3)

$$i(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_r) \varrho = [\mathsf{H}, \varrho], \quad \varrho = \varrho(t, \mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{v}),$$

Abbar & Duan, PLB 2015 Dasgupta & Mirizzi, PRD 2015

$$\begin{split} \bar{\lambda}_{r} \mathbf{v}^{2} + k_{0} \frac{R^{2}}{2r^{2}} \mathbf{v}^{2} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega - \Omega_{r} \bigg) Q_{\Omega,k_{0},k,\omega,v} = \\ \mu_{r} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \int d\mathbf{v}' \, (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}')^{2} \, Q_{\Omega,k_{0},k,\omega',v'} \end{split}$$

- k<sub>0</sub> from Fourier transform to the time part, i.e., frequency
- $k_0$  can be both +ve and -ve, thus can nullify matter effect

#### BREAKING OF STATIONARITY (1+1+3)

• For simplicity assume spatial homogeneity,  $k = 0, K_0 \neq 0$ 

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### S.C., Hansen, Izaguirre & Raffelt, PLB 2015.

MULTI ANGLE PROBLEM (0+1+2):

Stationary, spherically symmetric, evolving with radius  $v_r \partial_r \rho(r, E, \theta) = -i [H(r, E, \theta), \rho(r, E, \theta)]$ 

*θ*' Zenith angle of nu momentum *p* (*E*), azimuthal symmetry in momentum: no φ
 *v<sub>r</sub>*' Radial velocity depends on *θ*, leads to multi-angle matter effect

Ignore matter: Matter induced resonance happens far away from collective, however.....

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

Esteban-Pretel, Mirizzi, Pastor, Tomas, Raffelt, Serpico & Sigl, PRD 2008